

dogged position of the enlisted men is a trifle too
 obnoxious to retain proper relationships. There is
 just as contrasting a difference between a superior
 king on the one hand and ~~the~~ ignorant peasants on
 the other. Immediately one is forced to realize
 that there is a difference in social status and
 culture and its accompanying mental attainments with
 individual superiority, and that this difference is being
 displayed and emphasized. While such factors are
 not supposed to have any influence in officer - enlisted
 men relationships, they do exist as ^a definite reality here.
 I appreciate the theory and value of discipline and
 feel as if I should know how it should operate but am
 wondering if it is not actually being weakened by the
 attitude of the officers themselves. This displayed contrast
 of social status may be used as a means of creating respect
 for an officer and if it is there should surely be some
 changes made. Also here at Q, while not applicable
 in all instances, respect for officers is accomplished
 by loud voices, impatient manners and compulsion
 by fear. This type of discipline respect will handle
 the old army and the general run of enlisted men of this
 new army of ours but it does not seem to have an
 effect upon a good many men who's intelligence and
 former standing in life and society was far superior
 to that of the officers. I can readily see why there are
 so many dissatisfied enlisted men at Berkeley. In
 contrast Barnes was a democratic camp and functioned
 in perfect harmony simple because the officers be-
 lieved that each one of us was entitled to respect and
 was considered a man. As a result those officers were
 really admired and even loved by the men and every
 one of them would willingly and cheerfully obey every
 command that they may have given. I could truth-