does not show) that Lenkins was to have the Land or any part of it, Lane the purchaser in good faith is entitled to hold the Land — Lenkins in such case is estopped by his own acknowledgements —

6. But why did Lenkins afterwards purchase of Lane an undivided half of the tract? Did he not thereby acknowledge & emphatically admit the Land was Lanes? —

5. The Office will readily perceive that the Loose testimony of Patterson and other witnesses in reference to Lanes pretended admissions that he had sold to Lenkins are all traceable to Lanes sale of his undivided half of the Land — "For that was evidently a solemn act — and in it they (Lane & Lenkins) "pledge their lives their fortunes & their sacred honors".

6. Do they even pretend that Lenkins ever bought & paid for the remaining or Lanes half? —

7. Did Lane give it gratuitously to Lenkins? —