though Stevens was occupying but part of the house and there would be no need of his going out if Blood was to have but part of it yet Lane excused himself from giving possession because he could not get Stevens to go out but Blood was to get the whole house when Stevens should go out (See Bloods evidence from 12th page and onward and the cross Examination) Blood thinks Lane told him he had sold out to Jenkins but is not certain. Let this go for nothing We do not wish important interests to hang upon uncertainties - or faint recollections

Blood

To the same Effect is the testimony of Judge Smith - When Blood's load of furniture drove up to the Lane house Lane said What will I do I have promised this house to Blood who has rented it of Jenkins - and afterwards Jenkins complained to Lane that Stevens the tenant of one part of the house was burning rails. Lane offered to go to Stevens and forbid him from doing so because the rails belonged to Jenkins - About this time Jenkins paid Lane $40 in Smiths presence nothing being said what it was for. This is the substance of Smiths testimony and corroborates Bloods evidence (See Smiths testimony 17th page and onward) Such statements on the part of Lane

and between Lane