dictment, &c.; and the question was, whether Governor Clayton was an "officer of election" within the sense of these words in section 22. In holding that he was not such an officer, Judge Dillon, in a careful opinion, states the rule we now invoke for obtaining the intent of the legislature, as found in the words of one of these penal laws, as follows:

"In the office of interpretation courts, particularly in statutes that create crimes, must closely regard and even cling to the language which the legislature has selected to

express its purpose. * * *

) Wer

udicia

tention

f pen

is not

It 181

to this

ly, the

tates

s; for

must

7-25-1

Arkali

Jongred

n act

to voil

e Unite

ficate

"When courts, in construing statutes, depart from the language employed by the legislator, they incur the risk of mistaking the legislative will, or declaring it to exist where, in truth, it has never had an expression. The legitimate function of courts is to interpret the legislative will, not to supplement it or to supply it. The judiciary must limit themselves to expounding the law; they cannot make it. It belongs only to the legislative department to create crimes and ordain punishments.

"Accordingly, courts, in the construction of statutable offenses, have always regarded it as their plain duty cautiously to keep clearly within the expressed will of the legislature, lest otherwise they shall hold an act or an omission to be a crime, and punish it, when, in fact, the legislature had never so intended. 'If this rule is violated,' says Chief Justice Best, 'the fate of the accused person is decided by the arbitrary discretion of the judges, and not by the express authorities of the laws.' (Fletcher vs. Lord

Sondes, 3 Bingham, 580.)

"The principle that the legislative intent is to be found, if possible, in the enactment itself, and that the statutes are not to be extended by construction to cases not fairly and clearly embraced in their terms, is one of great importance to the citizen. The courts have no power to create offenses, but if by a latitudinarian construction they construe cases not provided for to be within legislative enactments, it is manifest that the safety and liberty of the citizens are put in peril, and that the legislative domain has been invaded. * *