

## SYNOPSIS OF COMPLAINT FOR SEMINOLES.

We have above given a synopsis of the pending complaint filed in behalf of the Creek Nation. We now add below a synopsis of the complaint filed in behalf of the Seminoles. By contrasting the Creek synopsis with the Seminole synopsis, which we give below, it will be seen wherein they differ, such difference being in fact only as follows :

- (a) The difference in the names of the tribes.
- (b) The difference in the amount sued for.
- (c) The Creek complaint contains the averment that the \$270,283.71 was paid to the Creek delegates, whereas the Seminole complaint alleges that the \$191,294.20 was paid to A. J. Brown.

(d) The Creek complaint avers that the delegates received the \$270,283.71 without authority, but in the same connection says that they received it in trust for the Creeks, to be carried and paid into the national treasury of the Creek Nation; being therein contradictory; whilst the Seminole complaint says that Brown was authorized to receive the \$191,294.20.

(e) The Creek complaint alleges that the Creek delegates conspired with the other co-respondents to defraud, whilst the Seminole complaint alleges that A. J. Brown failed to pay and combined with the other respondents.

The substance of the Seminole complaint is as follows :  
That A. J. Brown and J. F. Brown and Thomas Factor were, on the 2d of March, 1889, duly appointed agents for the Seminole Nation in negotiations then pending for a sale and cession to the United States of certain Seminole tribal lands, designated as Oklahoma ; \* \* \* that said agents on said day consummated the sale for \$1,912,942.20 ; \* \* \* that said A. J. Brown was then and there authorized to receive from the United States, on account of