WEARY BUCKKEEPER TO FOLD HIS TENT BEGINNING JUNE 20

• A new Buckkeeper is being sought by the Great White Fathers of TBMC. The old one has resigned, effective June 20, 1937, the end of his second year in office.

It had to come sooner or later and everybody familiar with the size of the burden the Buckkeeper must tote knew it was coming. The decision was made only after long consideration of the factors involved and the members

of the Club should know what these factors are.

In its beginning the Club was conceived as a small, closely-knit but strictly informal organization with a possible membership of probably not more than 100. On this basis, its few fundamental rules were laid down, chief among which was that every cent collected should go to Stanford University in payment of tuition of needy student athletes.

It was believed that its secretary, or Buck-keeper, could handle all details of collection, correspondence, accountancy and editing of the monthly bulletin without pay and without undue hardship.

It Wasn't So

Long before the end of the first fiscal year it became obvious to Murray Draper, the original Buckkeeper, that the burden carried by the Buckkeeper was over the preliminary estimate by some 700 per cent. In other words, instead of carrying this detail for a possible 100 members it had been multiplied to 700.

Since then it has grown to approximately 850.

Theoretically, this means opening 850 letters per month; endorsing 850 checks; making 850 journal entries; making 850 card index entries; making 850 deposit slip entries. And this is but a part of the routine. If payments are not made by members, it means sending of delinquent notices, added detail of personal letters, rendering of accounts.

That's Not All

This takes no account of gathering, sorting and writing of news for the monthly bulletin; of preparing monthly financial statements; of the routine of withdrawing money for tuition payments; of the conduct of membership campaigns by personal letters; of the personal letter acknowledgment for every new member.

It takes no account of daily trips to the postoffice; semi-weekly trips to the bank; routine engagements with coaches, students, alumni and, of course, the printer.

It takes no account of time devoted to new devices for keeping interest in the Club alive or to making the Club interesting to potential members.

It takes no account of personal expense involved for telephone, telegram, transportation and many other normal costs of running a show of this kind.

A Hobson's Choice

In brief, whoever is charged with running the Buck of the Month Club must be in a position to devote a good share of his day to it every day. And if he is making his living at the same time, either his living or the Club must suffer.

It is the belief of your present Buckkeeper that the Club has not suffered during his incumbency. The same cannot be said for his income. A newspaper man, unless he is in the high salary bracket where he wouldn't have any time to run the Buckklub anyway, must use his spare time in outside work to build up his income. Of these opportunities your present Buckkeeper could not avail himself.

In its final analysis, this becomes the donation, not of service, but of money. Whatever it is, it has been given gladly and with a sense it has been a pleasant privilege.

Can't Afford It

But further enjoyment of this privilege the Buckkeeper must deny himself. It's a luxury he can no longer afford.

So convinced was Murray Draper of the need for caring for some of the extra expenses to which the Buckkeeper is put, that upon withdrawing he recommended and had approved a method of securing from outside sources \$25 per month to pay in part for stenographic services needed by the Club.

Your present Buckkeeper, in withdrawing, recommends considerably more. Unless the next Buckkeeper is independently wealthy, he will find he has been called on to make a considerable personal sacrifice, not only of time, but of money. If he takes his duties seriously, he will find that the Buck of the Month Club will rule his life.

Can't Buy It

The services of a competent Buckkeeper are not something money can buy. All money can do is to make it possible for somebody to undertake the work. If you entered the market for a man to do the work the Buckkeepers of record have done, you would have to find a separate source of income far beyond anything to date proposed.

But we don't want a hired man. We want a guy who will put his heart into the work and whose stomach won't suffer because of the overuse of his heart.

We think we have solved the financial part of the problem. We think we have found a source of income that will give the next Buckkeeper at least a reasonable down payment on the time he must give.

Next step is to find the man.

There is another very real reason for the current Buckkeeper's resignation—a situation that can be corrected only by resignation.

One-Man Show

The TBMC has become too much of a one-man show. In two years the Buckkeeper has jumped from secretary to dictator and that's no business for any organization.

More and more the original enthusiasm of members has waned, partially because the novelty has worn off, partially because members felt the central organization would take care

of things.

It can't go on indefinitely. Strong local committees must carry on their work as they did in the early phases of the Club's history. More frequent trips to the field should be made by representatives at Stanford. There has been no tour of California in behalf of the Club since the current Buckkeeper took office.

The burden must be shared. If it isn't, the four months left in which to find a new Buckkeeper won't be long enough.

Well, that's that. The Buckkeeper awaits your pleasure and solicits your comments and recommendations. The one-man show is over and the 1000-man show is about to begin.

STANFORD GOLF STAR IS TOURNEY MEDALIST

Although Jack Wallace, Stanford's long lean economics scholar and great golfer, won his way to the finals in the Pacific Coast intercollegiate golf championships at Del Monte February 20, and had been medalist in the qualifying round, he was trimmed next day in the gruelling finals 5 and 3 by Roger Kelly, Loyola law student.

The second flight was won by Bill O'Neal of Stanford, who poured it on 13 and 11 to win from Alan McLenegan of California.

RUGGERS RANK WELL UP DESPITE LOSS TO U.S. C.

A single loss has come to Stanford's Rugby football team, this at the hands of Southern California's Trojans on February 13 by a 0-3 score, leaving the Indians wellup in the running for the title.

Previously, they had defeated the Palo Alto All Blacks 9-0, the U. C. L. A. 15 by a 6-5 score and the Stanford Medics by a rout. On February 20 they asked University of San Francisco down to the Farm and smacked over their guests 11-0.