

The group above 90% consisted of 13 players; the two highest in this group were forwards. Three centers and eight guards composed the remainder of the list. The next group consisted of 22 players, four of whom were centers, seven were guards, and eleven were forwards. The group below 80% contained eleven players, three centers, four forwards and four guards.

It should be pointed out that out of the high eight players from the standpoint of playing efficiency, four of these players belong to School D, and that the players ranked one and two in evaluation points earned per minute also were from the same team. Also, it should be noted that two players of School D were ranked among those that were listed with zero ball handling errors.

On the basis of the data presented in Table V it would be interesting to select an all-opposition team.

Summary and Conclusion

Research of this type depends to a great extent upon the accuracy of the observers. Realizing this, the observers were very carefully selected from student majors and other interested students. The same observers were used in all the games and there is every reason to believe that the results are very nearly correct.

It is the opinion of the writers that this study has merit because:

1. It points out mistakes made during a game, thus causing the players to be more conscious of them.
2. It stresses the importance of game fundamentals.
3. It provides an itemized history of the contest.
4. It makes possible a more accurate means of comparing individuals and teams.

* * * * *
 * * * * *
 * * *
 * *
 *