

4

greatest single force in driving the ringer or the itinerant athletic tramp from the athletic fields.

Therefore, it is at least thinkable that the American Association of University and College Presidents could inaugurate another workable plan to meet these new and perilous conditions which are making dangerous inroads into the very life of the sport itself. This group of men is the Supreme Court of College life. Their fine job of thirty years ago paid huge dividends.

The very antithesis of this plan which I am proposing is the plan recently endorsed by the National Association of State Universities wherein they suggest a court of inquisition commanding every boy of athletic tendencies and desires to sign an affidavit attesting to his amateur standing. Under this plan the only crime is in getting caught.

We all know how very difficult it is to make honest men by legislation. Any boy who is required to sign an affidavit that he is an amateur, will resent the insinuation, and when we define as professional things that appear perfectly normal and harmless to him, we are inviting mass perjury.

There are but few University Presidents who would applaud a faculty member requiring his students to sign affidavits that they had not cheated in examinations. Yet this is exactly what the presidents propose in regard to athletic regulations.

Why should we as universities be so concerned about whether or not the young man played summer-baseball for money or carried ice during the summer? Either form of labor is honorable.

It would seem more consistent for college presidents to make rules enlarging upon resident requirements for athletic participa-