tion rather than to inquire into the personal acts and transactions of a student, that is, so long as those transactions are honorable.

I do not find that the eligibility of the college glee club member is predicated upon his refusal to accept fees for singing at funerals.

What we need to do is to forget these out-moded rules of amateurism, and put on our college teams only those men whom the faculty members certify are actually making progress toward graduation.

If the eligibility test comes from the chassroom there will be small opportunity for proselyting and small temptation for a rich alumnus to subsidize a player because of his football ability alone, due to the fact that there would be two years interim before the boy could play on the team and then for only one or two years at most. Further, if this alumnus did want to subsidize this athletically inclined boy under this plan it would become an academic subsidization rather than an athletic subsidization because the student actually would be making real progress toward graduation.

If we put eligibility up to the faculty we will be getting fit representatives of their university, and such athletes would enjoy the greater thrills when they have wen their letter.

Put it up to the academician to insist that the athletes have
the same scholastic attainment as the non-athletes. Under our present Big Six Conference rules an athlete may continue his athletic
eligibility so long as he makes a passing grade (grade "D") in at
least twenty-seven hours for the two preceding semesters, yet if he
is ever to receive a degree, he must made a "C" average. Under our
present scheme added difficulties are heaped on the athlete who must
work his way, by limiting his college hours carried in any one semester