

hands, but in the past - more's the pity - it has failed, either through insipidity or cowardice, to use!"

Certainly from as stalwart an enthusiast for football as is Cy Sherman this is unmistakably an open confession that he and all other insiders know the mockery that is now being practiced under the guise of character building in a major sport - especially when big time proselytors and subsidisers work.

When a gardener trims excess branches from a grapevine he does it to improve the fruit. By lopping off many of the football barmocles, football could be saved. And so could basketball, for that matter. But the way it is going at the present time causes people to wonder whether the men who make money out of football will permit it to be saved.

Another observation is worth while; namely, the future crop of coaches in both football and basketball who will of necessity come from a group of men who are outstanding in the sport from the angle of technical skill. This is their college laboratory work to show that they are experts. These men, by and large, are some of the athletes who are receiving either their board, room, tuition, books, and so forth, or a large part of that, and some are men who positively leave school with a larger bank account than they entered with. How in the world can many of these future questionable builders of character challenge a boy to enroll in the university except by the same and only method they know - that is the pay check.

The undergraduate newspaper council of New York University, an organisation composed of editors and sports editors of the four university papers, recently called on the school authorities to subsidise the New York University football team. The request printed on the front pages of the four newspapers expressed the view that subsidisation is generally