Charcellor Deane W. Malott, University of Kansas.

Dear Chancellor Halott:

At a meeting held this morning in my office with Messrs. Shenk, Kanehl, Strait, Schaake and myself, we went over very carefully the proposed plans for rehabilitation of the swimming pool. We do not feel that the advantages much outweigh the disadvantages.

The strongest point we could see in favor of the pool would be the graduated depth, which would aid us in doing a better job of teaching. However, we view with a degree of alarm the precarious condition of our filter plant. It is near a break-down. The iron shell is crumbling to pieces and it will not be long before the filter must be entirely replaced with a new one. We have a new chlorinator and the results obtained from that are highly satisfactory.

There would be a great loss of time in the installation of a new pool. The pool cannot be widened due to unalterable situations. We would have an additional ten feet in length which would be good only from a standpoint of competitive swimming. As we figure it, we would have less water capacity in the new plan than in the present one, although the plans have been changed somewhat from our original sketches. This is in regard to graduation.

It was the frank and unanimous opinion of our entire group that we would rather worry along with what we have and use this money some time in the future to get a first class swimming pool, if that time ever happens.

Very truly yours,

Director of Physical Education, Varsity Basketball Coach.

FCA:AH