8. Rebounds off opponent's backboard: Opponents recovered 40; Kansas recovered 78

9. Good passes and catches: Opponents, 607 good pa sses; 485 catches
Kansas, 1043 good passes; 998 catches

10. Wild passes: Opponents, 20; 6 out of bounds, 14 to an opponent
Kansas, 19; 6 out of bounds, 13 to an opponent

11. Fumbles: Opponents, 20; 9 out of bounds, 11 to an opponent
Kansas, 20; 10 out of bounds, 10 to an opponent

12. Tapped ball out of bounds: Opponents, 4 times; Kansas, 4 times

13. Held balls: Opponents obtained 17; Kansas obtained 16

14. Jump ball: Opponents tapped and recovered own jump ball 1 time
Kansas tapped and recovered own jump ball no times

15. Jump ball: Opponents recovered teammate's jump ball 32 times
Kansas recovered teammate's jump ball 23 times

16. Assists: Opponents made 54 assists; 29 immediate, 25 secondary
Kansas made 82 assists; 46 immediate, 36 secondary

17. Evaluation points: Opponents, 1997 positive; 244 negative
Kansas, 3327 positive; 237 negative

18. Evaluation points per minute: Opponents, 14.6 Kansas, 25.8

19. Evaluation points per score: Opponents, 14.6 Kansas, 22.2

20. Playing efficiency: Opponents, 89.1% Kansas, 93.4%

21. Ball handling error rate: Opponents, 4.9% Kansas, 2.6%

(Totals are shown.)

In comparing the totals one can see that the opponents made more attempts at both field goals and free throws than did the Kansas team. However, it should be noted that the home team scored more goals (56 for 33.9%) than the opponents (39 goals for 21.2%). This same thing is true of the free throws with Kansas making 27 free throws for 64.3% and the opponents making 24 free throws for 54.5%.

When one examines the personal fouls Kansas made less (27) than the opposition (36). However, the Kansas fouls yielded the greater number of free throws (44) to the visiting teams (42). It seems that the Kansas personal fouls occurred more often when a man was in the act of shooting than did the fouls of the opponents, by the rate of 8 to 15. In this case the total is somewhat misleading, as the discrepancy occurred almost entirely in one game that Kansas won by 20 points. The most outstanding difference to be pointed out occurred in two places; in the recovery of rebounds and in ball handling.

In the recovery of rebounds, one sees that the Kansas players recovered 70 rebounds off their own backboards, while the opponents recovered 45 off their backboards. The same ratio holds when one notes the rebounds of the opponent's