Now, again, may I emphasize that I would not suggest the 12 feet basket for high school players because many of the gymmasia in the East do have low ocilings, but this is not true in the West, and there is not a college gymmasium in America or a large auditorium in the big cities that holds any sizeable crowd but what could stand a 12 feet goal elevation without any difficulty. And by asking a raise to 12 feet this even keeps the 7 feet 6 inch player sheeting for a goal and not dunking a goal. This height basket would for all time seal the fate of the gangling, inept player; but for the good, big, tall man - he would still be in the game and he could pass and sheet along with the rest of them. The premium on height would not amount to the great advantage that it does at the present time under the 10 feet basket.

There are some conferences so unpregressive that they are still using the "horse-and-buggy" four by six backboard rather than the new stream-lined board. Manbe some of these fellows couldn't hit a stream-lined board and they need that large area to step the ball from going out of bounds. And the Joke of it is that the board was never put up for a rebound board by Er. Raismith, but rather it was to keep the spectators away from the basket. They would reach over the balcomy and kick or knock the ball away from the basket, so they built a wooden barrier large enough to keep the crowd away, which proved to be the four by six backboard. Anyhow, the Big Tem and some of the unprogressive conferences still need that backboard to keep their players from throwing the ball out of bounds.

Buch of this backboard is unfertile banking area and it obstructo the view of more than fifty per cont of the people who sit behind it. Olson say, "The worth of the 12 feet basket has not been demonstrated in connection with the tall 'goon' problem, and such a change would have many disadvantages -- chiefly the expense of changing the height of backets all over the country at a terrific total cost." As if this large backboard if not obstructing the view of many people in many of the high schools who may their good memor to see the game and yet sit behind this large obstructing surface without amything being dome about it. Cortainly the expenditure of the people who pay to see a game and fail to see it far summounts the so-called terrific total cost that Mr. Cloon speaks about of raising the backets and yet we are not asking that they raise the basket for high school boys but for college messanine hurdlers. I do not call these boys "gooms". I think it is an unfair mismomor. Some of the finest athletes that I have seen have been beys ever six feet six inches tall. "Mezsanine Hurdlere seems to me a more polite appellation.

Faceticusly I might suggest that in your questionmaire you ask the public, the sportscasters and the sports editors how many 12 foot baskets they have ever seen. There are some in the Smithsonian Institute, but we have two here in Robinson Gymnasium. Then if you want to get a thousand per cent negative anser ask new many seaches have actually put up a 12 feet basket and played a game under these cenditions.

It is interesting to note that in your questionmaire 52.14 per cent of the public veted for a higher basket. Doubtless the sportseasters and