can be expended for incidentals, we must plan an inclusive budget. My personal judgment is that the \$600 figure suggested would be entirely inadequate, since our director spent \$600 last year and recommended a minimum of \$1,000 hereafter; his estimate was made at the close of last summer's program and did not include the population influx we anticipate. In addition, Miss Ellsworth -- whose budget was independent of our own last year -- used a sum in excess of \$300 for the summer art school. The city program at the Community Building has been seriously hampered by lack of money for equipment and incidental expense. Our added responsibil ity as a defense area means an expanded program which will include adult participants as well as children, be city-wide in scope, and operate the entire year. Our past experience with the summer program for children will be invaluable as a guide for the city program. The mayor's committee has expressed a willingness to cooperate with us on putting over our program this summer, and our obligation to help with the broader city program is clear.

The possibility of reconsidering our motion to reserve half of our funds for next year has been suggested. Such action should be taken, however, only after serious consideration. The situation has changed since that action was taken, but pledges are not coming in to the office as quickly as they did last year. Our donors are facing many pleas for money, and the expenditure of our entire fund might mean that next year we could not afford even a skeleton program. PLEASE THINK THIS OVER CAREFULLY BEFORE THE TUESDAY MEETING. I must admit that I feel an intensive drive for contributions should be made in an effort to build up

our fund.

I hope I have covered the main issues; any omissions are regrettable and unintentional. I am using this method as a means of saving time at the meeting and of securing the judgment of those of you who are unable to be present on Tuesday.

Marge S. Stockton