

control over its activities except in so far as it has become the practice of the Athletic Board to delegate the choice of faculty members to the Senate.

3. There appears to be considerable evidence that provision for student physical recreation other than intercollegiate athletics is inadequate in comparison with <sup>that of</sup> other institutions. This inadequacy seems to be the result of numerous factors, including insufficient funds, inadequate equipment, and insufficient personnel.

4. No definite information is available as to the actual number of students served by the present intramural program, but it is highly probable that not more than fifty percent of the total student body is served by such programs and that disparity exists in respect to the proportion of students in organized fraternities and those not so organized who are involved; also there appears to be a disparity in respect to the number of men as compared to the number of women served.

5. The intramural and general physical recreation program as now existent seems to have no recognized sponsoring body. It is at present carried on by the Department of Physical Education, whose principal recognized obligation is the physical education curriculum in all its phases.

6. There is, in fact, an almost complete separation between competitive athletics and the physical education activities and physical recreation activities. The personnel concerned with the former is almost, though not completely, separate from the latter two, and the administrative machinery of the former almost completely divorced from the latter two. In effect the competitive athletics are carried on and financed by a corporation outside the University, though theoretically responsible to the faculty according to the regulations of the conference to which we belong (see quotation above).