November 2, 1937.

Senate Advisory Committee, University of Kansas.

The University of Kansas Physical Education Corporation, otherwise known as the Athletic Board of the University, is a non-profit-sharing corporation of fourteen members, eight of whom are faculty members which control the finances and direct the intercollegiate policy of the University of Kansas.

The eight faculty members of the University of Kansas Physical Education Corporation (Athletic Board) are:

W. W. Davis, Chairman Chancellor E. H. Lindley R. C. Moore Karl Klooz F. T. Stockton Lawrence Woodruff James B. Smith J. O. Jones.

There are also two student members - the president of the Men's Student Council, Don Voorhees, and one athletic member of the student body, Ferrell Anderson, elected by the student body. This election serves as a nomination to the University of Kansas Physical Education Corporation. The Corporation proceeds to elect these two student members to their board.

The Alumni Association also elects their four members, and that election serves as a nomination to the Corporation. The four alumni members who hold membership under this plan are:

George Nettels, term expires June 1940 Dan Servey, term expires June 1938 Dr. Marvin Hall, term expires June 1938 Tom Van Cleave, term expires June 1938

Chancellor Lindley is ex-officio member

board.

of all athletes is set by the Missouri Valley Intercollegists. Athletic Association, otherwise known as the "Big Six" Conference. Professor W. W. Davis is faculty representative, representing Kansas in this conference. The faculty representatives are the legislative body of this Conference, making all laws rules covering participation of the athletes.

The athletic directors serve as business managers arranging all schedules and business details, all of which must be approved by the faculty representatives.

The Senate Committee on Athletics has not been extremely active since the formation of the Physical Education Corporation in 1923. However, it seems entirely logical that intra-mural athletics should come under the scope of the powers of the Senate Committee on Athletics.

The charter of the University of Kansas Physical Education Corporation states that when the stadium is free and unencumbered of debt the earnings of the Corporation shall go toward play utilities for the students of the University of Kansas. The total cost of the stadium to date is \$660,000, and there is yet a debt of \$150,000 remaining in the form of debenture bonds, bearing interest at the rate of 5½% per annum. An annual principal payment of \$12,500 is due December 1 each year, plus this year's interest, amounting to \$8,250 due semiannually on June and December 1. If the present payment schedule is maintained the stadium will be free of debt by December 1, 1948.

The State of Kansas purchased the land known as the intra-mural field of $10\frac{1}{2}$ acres south of the University and also purchased four houses and six vacant lots north of the University for \$31,000, the exact price paid several years ago by the University of Kansas Physical Education Corporation. The Corporation purchased these holdings in their years of abundance. The vacant lots are now in use for tennis courts and the intra-mural field has been used by the University ever since its purchase in 1923.

Several years ago Dean George C. Shaad, then faculty representative from Kansas, proposed to the Big Six faculty representatives that the academic hours for eligibility be reduced to 20 hours of work of "C" grade for the two preceding semesters instead of the 30 hours of work above "Failoure" for Engineers, 28 hours for Laws, and 27 hours for College fully representatives voted down. Three years ago the faculty representatives voted to reduce the Engineering requirement to 28 hours for the two preceding semesters, but no higher standard of grades was proposed.

It seems entirely fitting that an investigation into the normal amount of work successfully carried and passed by the majority of students at the University of Kansas should serve as a standard for athletic competition. Most of the athletes work all or part time in an effort to get through school. If a student works he may carry but 12 hours of academic work. Should he pass 100% of his work the two preceding semesters he would still be short three or four hours on his eligibility requirements. Summer school or correspondence study is the only thing open to him for eligibility. He needs the summer work to recoup his financial depletion.

I would respectfully recommend for consideration the raising of the standard to a "C" average and the lowering of the required hours to 10 each semester, making a total of 20 hours of "C" for the two preceding semesters prior to athletic participation. If such action was reported favorably by the Senate, the same could be conveyed to the Big Six Conference for their consideration at their next meeting.

Since Professor W. W. Davis is chairman of the University of Kansas Physical Education Corporation (Athletic Board), and since he is also faculty representative from Kansas to the Big Six Conference, which also means that he is our Senate representative in the conference of the Big Six, I respectfully propose that he be favorably considered for the chairmanship of the Senate Committee on Athletics.

Respectfully submitted,

Chairman, Senate Committee on Athletics.

Deptenber 50, 1000.

the state of the s

Chanceller E. H. Lindley, Introducty of Hance.

Donn Dr. Lindley:

It just communed to me that there might be a possibility of a Samte Committee on Physical Rimestion. Doubtless there would be several members of the Semate Committee on Athletics on the same committee.

Ordinarily when athletics and physical education are commidered by the same consistee, physical education antibure due to the spectacular nature and interest in competitive athletics.

I am thinking now of several faculty members as possible members of this occunition: Professors U. G. Mitchell, Gry Smith, C. D. Clark, Miss Boulah Morrison, Miss Wealthy Raboock or Miss Plearence Black, Dr. Twents or Dr. O'brion, J. B. Smith of the Law School, J. D. Strenathan or G. V. Kent, J. J. Wheeler, Howard Taylor, J. H. Taggart, P. A. Russell, Dr. D. H. Spensor, G. W. Stratton or Rebert Taft. I have just mentioned those people to show the probable personnel of a group of faculty members who would be transmissaly interested in recreative facilities for student bealth and play.

If we could have such a group most three or four times a year and discuss the recreation that students and faculty should have at the University, I am sure that there would be a more pronounced and more wholesome attitude toward student participation in worth wide recreational activities. This group would held up the arms of the individuals who are endouvering to incorporate a more same program for physical education and recreation at the University of Eurose. Doubtless it would be an excellent thing to have Professor W. W. Davis head up both committees.

Those augmentions are respectfully subsitted for your consideration.

Very almostaly yours,

Director of Hyudeal Education, Variatly Residethell Conch.

PUALAN

To the University Semite:

The committee appointed at the last meeting of the University Senate to nominate an Assistant Secretary of the Senate begs to submit the name of Professor C. J. Posey.

In the absence of Professor Earl D. Hay, chairman of this committee, this report is made by the undersigned.

Respectfully submitted,

Harl D. Hay, Chairman Rose Morgan Forrest C. Allen

F. C. allen 12. Dunch (C. H. Kent) C. D. Clark W. Davio F.a. Russell J. J. Stockton Karl Kloog. Bulah Morrison V Florence Black

- b- (/ a m) - a. ret -> 1 4/7 -1 2 4 0 2 4 1 Ro

Chancellor E. H. Lindley, University of Kansas.

Dear Dr. Lindley:

It just occurred to me that there might be a possibility of a Senate Committee on Physical Education. Doubtless there would be several members of the Senate Committee on Athletics on the same committee.

Ordinarily when athletics and physical education are considered by the same committee, physical education suffers due to the spectacular nature and interest in competitive athletics.

I am thinking now of several faculty members as possible members of this committee: Professors U. G. Mitchell, Guy Smith, G. D. Clark, Miss Beulah Morrison, Miss Wealthy Babcock or Miss Florence Black, Dr. Twente or Dr. OBrien, J. B. Smith of the Law School, J. D. Stranathan or G. V. Ment, Howard Taylor, J. H. Taggart, F. A. Russell, D. H. Spencer, G. W. Stratton or Robert Taft. I have just mentioned these people to show the probable personnel of a group of faculty members who would be tremendously interested in recreative facilities for student health and play.

times a year and discuss the recreation that students and faculty should have at the University, I am sure that there would be a more pronounced and more wholesome attitude toward student participation in worth while recreational activities. This group would hold up the arms of the individuals who are endeavoring to incorporate a more same program for physical education and recreation at the University of Kansas. Doubtless it would be an excellent thing to have Professor W. W. Davis head up both committees.

These suggestions are respectfully submitted for your consideration.

Very sincerely yours,

Dr. C. F. Nelson (Biochemistry Dept.) as Chairman of the Senate Committee on Committees, has your letter to the Chancellor in regard to a Senate committee on Physical Education. His committee must make a report to the Senate next Tuesday, and he would like to talk to you Monday at 2:30 about this new committee. His committee today (Fri.) met and will recommend that the Committee on Athletics be abolished and that a new committee - "Intramural Sports and Physical Welfare" (this is merely a suggested name) be set up with you as chairman. You should suggest the personnel of this committee, to be approved by the Committee on Committees, so that the whole new set-up can be presented in complete form to the Senate on Tuesday.

Suggested:

PHYSICAL WELFARE AND RECREATION

PHYSICAL EDUCATION AND RECREATION

Recreation Ed Thysical Milfore Thysecal Melfore Ed Recreation Physical Education & Recreation Trofosoer F. L. Tooter, Topertment of Thystos, University of Tenence

Don't Postocor Bootor:

in regard to the discussion on the floor at the Senate consisted on Thysical mosting perfection, conforming the proposed Senate consisted on Thysical Molinge and Dourostion, I desire to make a brief statement so that there will be no missingerstanding.

I am somding you a copy of a lotter that I wrote to Charceller Lindley on September 30. You can see from this letter that I did not have in mind, nor did I suggest the abolition of the Senate Committee on Athletics.

State Receiver Association, when Er. C. F. Helson came to my office and stated that he, as chairman of the Semate Committee on Committee, had my letter to the Chamceller in regard to a Semate committee on Thysical Education. He stated that his committee must make a report to the Semate on Tuesday and that he would like to talk to me Henday about this new committee. His committee met last Friday and he stated that they were in position to recomment that the Committee on Athletics be abolished and that a new committee - Physical Delfare and Recreation - be set up with me as chairman, and that the matter should be presented in complete form to the Semate on Tuesday.

Tou may know this was a surprise to me because I had received no confirmation from Chanceller Lindley of this letter that I had written him on September 30. I had no metive in mind other than to develop a more wholesees recreation set up for the students who are not participating in competitive athletics.

and of a committee on Physical Education and Athletics. He desired possible data occounting the plant needs of physical education. I wrote him a letter in which I outlined an eleven-point program which would remove some of the play and recreation poverty for students and faculty of which Hount Great is now so strikingly desolate. The possible topopathy of this campus provides no adequate level spaces, and to install them makes the cost staggering. This program which I outlined to lear Stockton contained the following points:

Do light equipment and unintermine of light questry colf ocurred 6. Placing Ploor above booms in besiethell court in granetim

Tor research and residents and rectange

To Boolealis Potter a Lake with a modern concrete owishes pool for this worms or more

De Paradist outdoor allegants wante

De 180 come colf course two miles from the linitestic with colour recordables alles all models

(Introduce disposite Plants) 10. Lamb bonds (bonding on the proph).

The Principle of the Constitute

Samble I tied wroott windle to diverse wrott from the thought thee the neglecte of the depute equaltice about feel themcolvee reconnectate for the whole life of the student - their play life no woll no thair alone room instruction. And I am one who is positive in the belief that the play and recruitional life of the etudente is destablis and and the the that and take the

Tory william will yours

narouter of lightson's minimum and minimum Torus Daminghall Contha

新印度

Dr. C. F. Welson. Department of Biochemistry. University of Kensas.

Dear Dr. Melson:

I am sending you a vopy of a letter which I have written to Professor Kester in regard to the new proposed Samate committee on Physical Welfare and Recreation. I just wanted to keep you in touch with the situation.

Very sincerely yours,

Miss Elizabeth Meguiar, Advisor of Momen, University of Mansas.

Dear Miss Meguier:

As a student who sat last Tuesday in the University "seminar" for a 50-minute dissertation, I am sending you my thoughts which are no longer concealed.

Very cordially yours,

FCA:AH

Professor A. H. Turney, School of Education.

Dear Professor Turney:

I am sending you a copy of a letter which I have just written to Dr. Kester.

Doubtless you can see how far the Senate committee has functioned regarding improvements of play facilities for the students of the University. This is exactly the distance they have traveled in the past several years.

Very sordially yours,

FCA:AH

Dean R. A. Schwegler, School of Education.

Dear Dean Schwegler:

I am enclosing a copy of a letter which I have sent to Professor Kester in regard to the new proposed Senate committee on Physical Welfare and Recreation. At the Senate meeting last Tuesday this was referred back to committee.

Cordially yours,

ro. D. Clark 7 a. Russell Professor J. B. Smith, Law School, University of Kansas.

Dear Professor Smith:

I am sending you a copy of a letter which I wrote to Professor Mester in regard to the new proposed Senate committee on Physical Welfare and Recreation. I thought you would be interested in this statement since your name was included in the personnel of the committee.

Very sincerely yours,

Professor U. G. Mitchell, Department of Mathematics, University of Kansas.

Dear Professor Mitchell:

I am sending you a copy of a letter which I wrote to Professor Mester in regard to the new proposed Senate committee on Physical Welfare and Recreation. I thought you would be interested in this statement since your name was included in the personnel of the committee.

Jery sincerely yours,

Professor J. B. Smith, School of Law, University of Kansas.

Dear Professor Smith:

Thank you very much for your fine letter of November 5th. You have enunciated an opinion regarding a policy that seems wholly acceptable and logical, but it is not for me to express that opinion. However, I do want you to know that I very much appreciate your conviction along the lines that you expressed.

I might say, Professor Smith, that while I feel that you have expressed a point of view sympathetic to me, I should say that this committee should not be considered a committee representing the Department of Physical Education. Rather, I feel that it is just as important as a committee on Student Health and Housing. This affects the entire student body and faculty of the University, both men and women, and that is why I felt that a group of men on this committee representing all angles of the interests of the University would be most helpful to it.

I did not say that I desired the Committee on Athletics abolished. Rather, that committee could still function if it so desired, although nothing has been done for quite some time.

Doubtless all of the legal affairs, as well as the relationship of one school with the other in athletics, would naturally be administered by the University of Ransas Physical Education Corporation, which is in fact the Athletic Board. But if the Senate Committee desired to recommend that the number of hours for participation be cut down and the academic requirement raised, then that would be in their right. Say that no student might participate by representing the University of Kansas unless this individual had 20 hours of C average the two preceding semesters. A Senate committee could work this out and pass it on to the faculty representative, who is Professor W. W. Davis. Then Professor Davis could go before the Big Six Conference and propose it. This was done several years ago, and nothing came of it. So long as we are members of the Big Six athletic body we, of course, must follow the Big Six rule. And our Athletic Board is faculty controlled, and since the members are appointed by the Senate it is a Senate committee.

But getting back to the proposed Senate Committee on Physical Welfare and Recreation, there is so much wonderful work that could be done by this committee where the other benign and rather impotent committee has failed to function, that I feel we should no longer wold back many of the much-needed improvements here at the University. The topography of Mount Oread renders play space here practically nil. We are further behind in this feature at this University than most any University in the United States. By getting faculty members on this committee there would be a certain responsibility felt by this group and transmitted to others that would be very helpful.

So while I desire help from the outside group regarding a larger policy, I have not felt that this should be looked at as an offering of the department, but rather a thought springing from the department stimulating all groups in various schools to help toward a betterment of recreation poverty here on Mount Oread.

With appreciation, I am

Very sincerely yours,

FCA:AH

UNIVERSITY OF KANSAS THE SCHOOL OF LAW LAWRENCE

November 5, 1938

Dr. Forrest C. Allen Director of Physical Education, University of Kansas Lawrence.

Dear Dr. Allen:

Dis 45 00

It was very thoughtful of you to send me the copies of the correspondence enclosed in your letter of the third of September. I am distressed, however, by the dilemma presented by the resulting choice. The honor and distinction offered to me by the opportunity to serve on the proposed new Senate Committee on Physical Welfare and Recreation is not one to be lightly put aside. I deeply appreciate the proposed offer so what follows is said with much difficulty.

A deep sense of fair play and proper appreciation of the position of the several departments of the University operating, each in its own field, for the whole family, causes me to conclude that the proposal overlooks a fundamental point. When the present committee for which the proposed new one is to be substituted was created the organization of the University was not the same as at present. Now we have a separately organized department in which training is done toward a special degree. I can see no more reason for a committee which must dominate that department's policy and conduct than a similar committee over the other separate departmentwof the University. The new department is the Department of Physical Education. No one proposes such a general committee domination of other departments and no reason is apparent to me why the Department of Physical Education should be differently treated by Senate Committee administration. This leads to the conclusion that the old committee should be discontinued and that the proposal should be abandoned.

With kindest personal regards, I remain

Sincerely,

er

THE UNIVERSITY OF KANSAS

Lawrence

April 12, 1939

TO THE PERSON ADDRESSED:

At the meeting of the University Senate on April 4 it was voted that the following persons be appointed to serve on the Senate Committee on Athletics for the year 1938-39:

W. W. Davis, Chairman F. C. Allen F. L. Brown Gwinn Henry Karl Klooz

James Naismith N. P. Sherwood F. T. Stockton J. D. Stranathan

We trust that you will find it possible to serve on this committee.

Sincerely yours,

J. J. WHEELER, Secretary of the Senate I am sending you a copy of a letter I wrote to Professor Kester on November 2, 1938

Pursuant to my discussion with you and the members of the committee when they assembled in Room 16 Fraser on Saturday, morning, February 28, at that time I told you that there had been reports to the Senate Committee on Physical Education and Athletics.

I am sending you a copy of a letter I wrote to Professor Kester on November 2, 1938, and also a copy of a letter I sent to the Senate Advisory Committee on November 2, 1937. This latter letter was written to the Senate Advisory Committee taxinfarm thank so that they would know something about the University of Kansas Physical Education Corporation, the Athletic Board, and that its relation to the Department of Physical Education and the University. Many of the faculty members didn't know the inherent power which resides in the Department of Physical Education. This department controls the intramural program, the recreation program, and the required EDUCATE and elective courses in physical education.

Doubtless you will remember that when it was proposed by the Senate that I be the chairman of the Senate Committee on Recreation, Professor Posey recommended that it be referred back to the Senate Committee.

A deep sense of fair play and proper appreciation of the position of the several departments of the University, each operating in its own field, for the whole family, causes one to conclude that the proposal overlooks a fundamental point. The Department of Physical is a separately organized department in which training is done toward a special degree. I can see no more reason for a committee which must dominate that department's policy and conduct than a similar committee over the other separate departments of the University. No one proposes such a general committee domination of other departments and no reason is apparent why the Department of Physical Education should be differently treated by Senate Committee administration.

Trusting that this clarifies your thinking in this regard and aids the committee in some of the things they are attempting, I am

Very sincerely yours,