

decided with no interpretation, but would follow strictly to the letter the rules as printed in the book. These and other acts of yours caused me to feel very uncomfortable when I was dealing with you.

I had stated that I was not interested in playing in the tournament, and it was only because the boys desired to play that I acquiesced. I can assure you now that it will take more than that to ever get me into another tournament where the University loses a large sum of money and the N.C.A.A. takes 55% of it. The 55% "lug" of the N.C.A.A. is an outrageous discrimination against the sport of basketball. In no other sport that the N.C.A.A. supervises do they take more than 10% - swimming, wrestling, boxing, track and field.

In order that the average layman can see the unfairness of this "lug", let us divide a \$5.00 bill the same as the N.C.A.A. divides ~~any profit that might be made from the sport.~~ *the basket ball receipts from the NCAA Tournament.* First, the N.C.A.A. takes 10% of the \$5.00, which is 50¢. Then they divide the remaining amount, \$4.50, the N.C.A.A. taking 50% of that, which is \$2.25. The N.C.A.A. ~~then~~ <sup>therefore</sup> gets 50¢ plus \$4.25, making a total of \$2.75. The other \$2.25 is divided eighteen ways,  $\frac{2}{18}$  going to each of the eight teams which play two games in the Western and the Eastern N.C.A.A. play-offs. That leaves the remaining  $\frac{2}{18}$  for the two teams in the finals, such as Stanford and Dartmouth. One-eighteenth of \$2.25 is  $12\frac{1}{2}$ ¢. Therefore, Stanford and Dartmouth get three times  $12\frac{1}{2}$ ¢, or  $37\frac{1}{2}$ ¢ for playing in the final N.C.A.A. tournament and the two previous games. Colorado, Rice, Penn State, Kentucky State, Illinois and Kansas would therefore receive  $\frac{2}{18}$ , or 25¢ for playing two games. The most any school would get would be  $37\frac{1}{2}$ ¢, and the least any school would get would be 25¢, and the N.C.A.A. would get \$2.75. If that is not a "lug", what is it?