

from intercollegiate athletics for years, or perhaps permanently, because a 'czar' had what he considered sufficient proof of some action that he believed 'detrimental to athletics.' Landis never actually put a club out of business, but he tossed out club owners.

Also, Landis ability to track down many cases of rule violations depended upon the fact that players who felt they weren't getting enough money or that they were getting the dirty end of some deal would complain to him. In presumably amateur college sport, I doubt if you could find many people ready to 'blow the whistle.'

The NCAA, as I understand that body, isn't intended to be an enforcement agency as much as a body for formulating policies on which the separate colleges will act. The AAU is a better example of a body which has real power in amateur athletics, and you know how seldom it gets 'the goods' on anyone. For instance, how about the story that Glenn Cunningham paid an income tax on nearly \$30,000 one year while he was still a student?

Getting back to basketball and betting-- and incidentally I think Ned Irish has taken an unjustified beating from the sports writers on that subject-- I don't know of a single case where it could be proved that the college boys were playing along with the gamblers. Having seen that NYU team you mention, I don't think it is good enough to cut the point margin that fine--certainly not good enough for the gamblers to risk much money on it. Apparently Temple knew what it was doing last spring when that kid was quietly allowed to drop out, but that's an example of local authorities handling a case to everyone's satisfaction.

It may be beside the point, but one of our men who is on friendly terms with 'the mob' tells me the gamblers themselves don't want to put the fix on college basketball and that their favorite betting game is baseball because they know it is absolutely on the level. His story is that