

"4"

offense will be stimulated, that the scores will be higher due to more scoring attempts made and although there may be more free shots at the basket, there will be more field goals and the free throw will lose some of its power that it now possesses. I made the point that although 55% of the games were won on free throws, that it is fouls in any game that defeat a team. Interfering with the forward pass in the Notre Dame-Southern California game cost Notre Dame the victory. Snodgrass dropped a foul in the World's Series and Merkle failed to touch second base in those memorable battles of other years, which all goes to show that it is errors that defeat us. So, it is not an uncommon thing for the fouls to cause defeat.

The Nat'l A.A.U. championship was changed and it showed that 50% of all the fouls were in the two free throw areas or within 5 ft. adjacent thereto. Feeling that the post play or the pivot play on the free throw line was contributing definitely to this situation, an effort was made to clear up this area by encouraging more passing.

The committee also ruled on blocking. Blocking is personal contact which interferes with the progress of an opponent who has not the ball. Blocking is a foul. There is no such thing as the so-called legal block. Screening is shutting off an opponent's approach to the ball without causing personal contact. Screening is legal. However, any attempt to screen by moving the body or any part of the body into the path of the opponent which causes personal contact is blocking, and is therefore a foul. Note - It is possible now for coaches to teach screening without cheating in the rules. Many times before coaches taught screening, but their terminology was bad and they called it the legal block. It was difficult to ~~differentiate~~ differentiate between screening and blocking. This definition clears up the matter beyond all doubt.

They also define face-guarding. Face-guarding is a foul when a defensive player with his back to the ball is facing his opponent squarely. Note - We have never had any difficulty with this face-guarding as our officials have been strict in calling it.

Note to Sport Writers:

If after perusing this stuff, there is anything not clear in your mind I will be very happy to answer any questions for you. No other changes of any importance were made but I think that we have moved ahead very definitely and very rapidly in this legislation. It is the most forward step we have taken in the last ten years. This legislation was only adopted because stalling and slow break games have been sapping the interest of basket ball for the last ten years. The 10 second rule still allows the methodical and ~~set-defense~~ steady defense on set plays but does not give time for the dilly-dallying hyper-slow break, super-stall game, with the emphasis upon blocking. It will be noted that practically all of these slow break games employed blocking as their chief weapon. They can still give go through with one screen play but for that they will have to pass or shoot. It should encourage longer shooting and discourage that dilly-dallying game that took place in the finals between the Henry's of Wichita and the Maryville Teachers. This game was described as the