I am very happy to have you and Mr. Tower agree on this size, as I find myself agreeing with this. However, there is one thing I think would be well to do, Mr. Porter, and that is for you to write the Medart people to find out if the small board that you and Mr. Tower proposed would have attachments to the old supports that are now in place. Many schools, of course, would object to a board that would necessitate the new fixtures on account of the expense.

The problem of a smaller board should have these minimal considerations: first, it should not destroy the target for the player; second, it should not increase the number of out of bounds balls; third, it should help the view of the spectators; fourth, the beneficial qualities of the convexity regarding bankable space and the benefit to the shooter in the end zone and the benefit to the spectator at the side and back of the basket.

H. V., here is one problem. John Bunn, St. Clair, Dr. Maguire and others are desirous of using this modified board in the coaching school sessions. Many of these people desire to use the convex board. I am wondering if you will write to Mr. Weinzettel, of the Medart Company, and work with him regarding a modified convex board that we can use in the coaching schools this summer. If I have my notes correctly, that is what they were expecting me to do. I was to write the committee members and agree on a convex board the size of which would be agreeable, and see if we could have the board ready for summer school work. Am I correct?

With all good wishes, I am

Very sincerely yours,

Director of Physical Education,
Varsity Basketball Coach.

FCA:AH