



THE DAILY OKLAHOMAN
OKLAHOMA CITY TIMES
THE FARMER-STOCKMAN

Phone 2-1211

E. K. GAYLORD, PRESIDENT

EDGAR T. BELL, SEC'Y & TREAS.

THE OKLAHOMA PUBLISHING COMPANY

OKLAHOMA CITY, OKLAHOMA

April 22

Dr. F. C. Allen
University of Kansas
Lawrence, Kan.

Dear Doctor Allen:

I was very interested in your side of the Kansas-Aggie basketball game and assure you the story as you gave it to me will remain off the record. I had not known about the friendship between Ab Hinshaw and Clyde McBride, but can understand the point you make on McBride's resentment on treatment of the officials.

I certainly hope Kansas and the Aggies get together on basketball and very soon. You and Hank have the ~~most~~ outstanding teams of this section, year in and year out, and it would be a crying shame to keep them apart, especially ~~the~~ if the feeling of last winter's series can be forgotten.

Your position on N.C.A.A. matters was known to me before, as I said, and I agree with you that Oklahoma didn't realize much more than a fraction of its deserved share of the Kansas City pot.

But this question occurs to me (being practically unversed in the actual operations of the N.C.A.A.): Does the organization have any other source of income? If not, it seems the setup is entitled to enough money to keep it alive as a governing body. If the income isn't available elsewhere, perhaps the N.C.A.A. is entitled to take it from whatever event will support the group.

It's something like football and the other sports in college, isn't it? If all the income from football was plowed back into a football fund, what would become of track, baseball --- and even basketball in some schools? If all the N.C.A.A. basketball cash goes to the competing teams, and there are no other sources of revenue, what's to become of the colleges' governing body?

Perhaps the division isn't fair, as now set, but isn't the N.C.A.A. entitled to its endowment, so to speak?

Yours very truly,

Hal Middlebrook