

But I want to get the computations that are much easier for John Q. Public to arrive at, and instead of talking about the past, let's get down to the present. Let's take the teams that played this year. I have just mentioned them. Instead of a larger amount of money that is difficult for people to follow in fractions and figures, let's take, say, the distribution of a \$5 bill and see where this \$5.00 goes as regards the N.C.A.A. and these eight colleges who make the tournament possible. Say that we work on the basis of \$5, or multiples thereof, and let's agree that we had \$5 net to be divided among the N.C.A.A. and the eight schools.

The N.C.A.A. takes their first 10% out of the \$5, and therefore get 50¢. That leaves \$4.50 to be divided between the eight teams and the N.C.A.A. Now the N.C.A.A. takes 50% of the \$4.50, which makes \$2.25. Add the 50¢ that they got on the first 10% cut to the \$2.25, and the N.C.A.A. receives \$2.75.

Now let's divide this 50% of the \$4.50 among the eight competing teams. Colorado, Rice and Kansas, of the Western division, each played two games. Penn State, Illinois and Kentucky each played two games. That makes a total of twelve games. Stanford and Dartmouth each played three games, and that makes six games. The twelve and six games make the total of 18 games, and that is how the division is made. Kansas and these other schools having played two games get $\frac{2}{18}$ of the \$2.25. One-eighteenth of \$2.25 is $12\frac{1}{2}\%$. Therefore, all of these schools that won their right to play in this tournament get 25¢ a piece for playing two games on this ratio of \$5 distribution. And Stanford and Dartmouth get $37\frac{1}{2}\%$ each for playing for the national N.C.A.A. title. While the winner, Stanford, gets $37\frac{1}{2}\%$, the N.C.A.A. gets \$2.75, showing the absolute inequality of the distribution which is promulgated, fostered and promoted by the N.C.A.A.

I have heard Major Briffith and ex-President William Owens of the N.C.A.A. liken track men and other athletes who desire gold watches for their participation to "pot hunters". I am wondering how these gentlemen can define the pot of gold hunting that the N.C.A.A. is in quest of.

The Pacific Coast Conference, I understand, takes enough out of the Rose Bowl game to pay the commissioner's salary, and after the rental of the Bowl is paid for the money is divided between the two participating college athletic associations who play for the Rose Bowl title.

The National Association of Baseball Clubs, called the National Commission, takes a percentage part of the World Series, but by and large the players in professional ball and the colleges in amateur ball receive the most of the money. Only the A.A.U., which takes it all, and the N.C.A.A., which takes 55%, believe in taking the major portion of it. And yet the N.C.A.A. is supported by the colleges and universities who pay annual dues in the amount of \$25 a piece, and there are no paid officials in the N.C.A.A.