

1. Zone defense.
2. 5 or 4 personal fouls: Mentions lengthened playing time; possibility of allowing 5 if both coaches agree or only in tournament; letting player have 4, then leave game 3 minutes — then can come back in until he makes another foul. Most think add a 5th foul.
3. Goal tending:
 

Many feel that this should be done away with. There is a very sharp difference of opinion here. Possibilities are: No interference on downward flight. Eliminate.

Coaches vote 33% for change; 67% leave as is.
4. Eliminate 3 second rule for man without ball.
 

15% yes; 85% no.
5. Unlimited substitution:
 

55% voted for; 45% against. Those "for" maintained that it would be easy to keep track of substitutions, and there would be no problems on going back in.
6. Fan shaped or Rectangular Board. This was a most troublesome question; some sections using fan. 80% voted for rectangular, claiming they had not used fan shaped board. In national rules committee, they feel college coaches have not used the other board — to give it a chance. Both for a while must stay in.
 

20% voted for fan shaped; said they have used it, and feel they get along with it. High school boys seem to say OK, but know no other.

Just why do we want rectangular board?  
Think of reasons.
7. The molded ball.
 

No comments on this problem.

Report was made by Bruce Drake on Goal Tender.

## RECESS

### BRUCE DRAKE'S REPORT:

One would have to play *against* the goal tender to appreciate the need for change.

64 coaches were contacted — 58 say something should be done.

First, we put in 3 sec. rule; this helped some.

You earn the right to the shot, then don't get it.

Will elimination of goal tending make for better basketball?

Does not have any definite solution — but should be changed: Drake's opinion.

Drake has used goal tender, but thinks it does not help game.

Solutions — possibilities:

1. Award goal if touched on downward arc. 49 of 64 coaches thought this. Read list (see his list).