Voting and comments Contd.

1. It would have to be done secretly, of course.

2. It is done in the Illinois
High School Assn. and I do
not believe the privilege
is abused.

3. Would, if unbiased.

4. In private, but publication would ruin official.

1. No, unless a penalty could be assessed in cases where sports-manship is usually bad.

2. Too embarrassing.

3. Their opinions would be influenced by possible future reaction of crowds criticized.

4. Didn't work out in our Association because officials were afraid to rate coach.

5. No, but report any obnoxious conduct on part of coach or principal.

6. Have commissioner assign coaches, then coaches could

not ruin them.

"XIV. - - COMMENTS.

"I believe that there is such a difference in officiating and coaching even within states that it is next to impossible to get any uniform officiating. Schools for officiating are needed.

"I believe coaches can educate their students to be good sports, either by talks, articles in the college paper, or discussion with their squads.

"In so far as possible it might help to pair off officials and have them work games in teams.

"College and university players, expertly and intensively coached, should be able to play the game according to the book and climinate leeway in traveling and conduct, which are considered necessary in modern 'big time' basketball. The real pressure for calling 'em according to the book must come from the coaches, whose influence started the 'not too close' trend some years ago.

"Fans, players, coaches, can be controlled if administrative officers care to make the effort.

"The problems referred to here seem to me to apply to hotly contested games in certain communities. It is not wide spread in the western section of Illinois. School officials by proper conduct, themselves, and a building up of a sportsmanlike attitude on the part of the local crowds could do a great deal. A psychological move to get people to look for the official's good points would help.