

charity toss, every undergraduate in the joint let loose with an explosive "Shhhhh" which nearly tore the roof off. It sounded as though 11,000 cobras had been turned loose.

NCTE - Vaughn miss the free throw.

Letter from Carl B. Hoy, University of South Dakota's Athletic Director.

Mr. Edward J. Hickox,
Springfield College
Springfield, Mass.

Dear Coach:

I am writing you a few lines this morning concerning a thing which I have in mind, which has gained a lot of publicity and momentum in this region to date and I noticed there was an article in the last bulletin by some one else, and the thing I have in mind is this and I would like to get a note in the next bulletin if possible, that I am coming to the National Meeting prepared to discuss thoroughly the proposed rule to eliminate the fourth foul disqualification in the game as it is to date. In my twenty-two years of coaching I have never been able to figure out why a boy should be put out of the ball game for trying to do his best and why all the extra penalty because of some unforeseen contact. I am sure that the free throw is enough penalty especially with the great balance of power among the teams that a few extra free throws will win the game, and we already have a rule in the book that a flagrant foul will disqualify a player so I don't see why we have used this old unfair tactic in handling the boys.

For every one reason that a boy should be ejected from the game, I think I have twenty-five sound reasons why he should stay in the game, instead of having the referee decide who should leave the game, I still prefer to do that myself. I don't think we have another game on the record book that disqualifies a boy for attempting to do his best.

Now I know this is an old question and has been discussed and know everyone of the reasons why it is in the book and none of them have ever been sound as far as I am concerned. They could just as well disqualify a boy with three as well as four because a boy with three of them who wants to win the game is almost helpless and you have to take him out anyhow.

Now I am hoping in the next meeting to have a good free discussion of this matter and I believe it would be the greatest improvement to the game from both the spectators and players angle that we have had.

At least when you come to see a star play you know he isn't going out of the game the first ten minutes and of course, when you get to be a star to the magnitude of Lusetti of Stanford a foul is never called on him anyway, and if you have a boy that good you don't need to worry about the book or anything else.

But knowing the good discussions we have at the meeting and as I say I am prepared with a five to one advantage for the elimination of this oldest rule probably in the book.

I would appreciate if you would make a note of this in the next bulletin.

Carl B. Hoy.