

**STATE TEACHERS COLLEGES.**  
**COMMITTEE CONTROL OF ATHLETICS.**

TABLE 9.

Institution	Fac.	Stud.	Alumni	Trus.	Others	Total	Function. Advisory or Administrative
Flagstaff, Ariz. -----	3	3	0	0	0	6	Adm.
Santa Barbara, Cal. -----	3	4	0	0	0	7	Adv.
Cunnison, Colo. -----	2	0	0	0	0	2	Adv.
Carbondale, Ill. -----	3	0	0	0	0	3	Adv.
Charleston, Ill. -----	3	2	0	0	0	5	Adm.
Macomb, Ill. -----	3	8	0	0	0	11	Adv.
Normal, Ill. -----	9	5	0	0	0	14	Adv.
Muncie, Ind. -----	3	0	0	0	0	3	Adm.
Terre Haute, Ind. -----	4	0	0	0	0	4	Adv.
Hays, Kan. -----	9	0	0	0	0	9	Adv.
Bowling Green, Ky. -----	7	0	0	0	0	7	Adm.
Kalamazoo, Mich. -----	3	5	0	0	0	8	Adv.
Marquette, Mich. -----	6	0	0	0	0	6	Adv.
Ypsilanti, Mich. -----	3	0	0	0	0	3	Adm.
Moorhead, Minn. -----	4	1	0	0	0	5	Adv.
Hattiesburg, Miss. -----	*	0	0	0	0	*	Adv.
Cape Girardeau, Mo. -----	5	0	0	0	0	5	Adv.
Kirkville, Mo. -----	7	2	0	0	0	9	Adm.
Dillon, Mont. -----	3	4	0	0	0	7	Adm.
Albany, N. Y. -----	*	*	0	0	0	*	Adm.
Cortland, N. Y. -----	1	10	0	0	0	11	Adv.
Minot, N. Dak. -----	5	0	0	0	0	5	Adv.
Valley City, N. Dak. -----	4	3	0	0	0	7	Adv.
Kent, Ohio -----	8	0	0	0	0	8	Adv.
Indiana, Pa. -----	12	0	0	0	0	12	Adv.
Slippery Rock, Pa. -----	5	0	0	0	0	5	Adm.
Murfreesboro, Tenn. -----	4	0	0	0	0	4	Adv.
Canyon, Tex. -----	*	0	0	0	0	*	Adv.
San Marcos, Tex. -----	5	0	0	0	0	5	Adv.
Bellingham, Wash. -----	0	*	0	0	0	*	Adm.
Fairmont, W. Va. -----	No Committee						
Eau Claire, Wis. -----	No Committee						
Milwaukee, Wis. -----	4	6	0	0	0	10	Adv.
Oshkosh, Wis. -----	5	6	0	0	0	11	Adv.
Total—34	133	59	0	0	0	170	Adv. 22 Adm. 12

The committees above control or administer the affairs of intercollegiate athletics, and do not refer to special committees for eligibility of players. A number of institutions had a separate committee for this purpose.

The purpose of the committees varied somewhat in the institutions. But as a general rule the function which they performed were given as: finance-making of schedules, player awards, making the athletic policy of the institution, securing coaches, etc.

Whether the committee was administrative or advisory gives some idea of the use of the committee. In a few cases where the function of the committee was not stated, the writer used his judgment from the facts given to determine whether the committee was administrative or advisory in function.