
The viewa and research committee recommends that we coordinate our activities more closely with the questionnaire committee and the rules revision committee. Further that the material for study by this own committee be based rife froposale which have been made to the rules renow committee and which seem to han merit. W'& recommend forther that some of the froblems contained in the the study made by the views and research committee and of the rules revision Eonmitteer.



JOHN J. WOELFLE MANAGER

HOTEL MCALPIN

BROADWAY AT 34TH STREET

NEW YORK CITY

THE REPORT AND DESIGNATION OF THE PERSON OF

Abandle bare Landra Allenge Liberary

WITHAM OF ARLAN ARE

NATIONAL COLLEGIATE ATHLETIC ASSOCIATION

RULES COMMITTEES FOR 1934

Rules Committees for 1934

For football, basketball, and track rules the figures 1, 2, 3, and 4 before the name of a member of a committee indicate that the individual in question is to serve one, two, three, or four years, beginning this year.

Association Football Rules

H. W. Clark, Harvard University; A. W. Marsh, Amherst College; Douglas Stewart, University of Pennsylvania; Lt. Com.

W. W. Feineman, U. S. Naval Academy.

Advisory Committee: Thos. J. Dent, Dartmouth College; S. C. Staley, University of Illinois; H. W. Maloney, Stanford University; C. S. Moll, Kansas State College; N. M. Fleming, Pennsylvania State College.

Basketball Rules

L. W. St. John, Ohio State University; Oswald Tower (editor), Andover Academy; Jas. A. Naismith, University of Kansas, Life Member; (1) W. E. Meanwell, 4th district; E. L. Romney, 7th district; (2) H. H. Salmon, Jr., 2nd district; J. F. Bohler, 8th district; (3) W. McK. Barber, 1st district; Forrest C. Allen, 5th district; (4) Henry Crisp, 3rd district; James St. Clair, 6th district.

Boxing Rules

Francis C. Grant, Chestnut Hill, Philadelphia; James G. Driver, University of Virginia; Wm. H. Cowell, University of New Hampshire; F. L. Kleeberger, University of California; Hugo Bezdek, Pennsylvania State College; Lieut. J. B. McInerney, U. S. Military Academy; Lt. Com. J. H. Brown, Jr., U. S. Naval Academy.

Fencing Rules

Hugh Alessandroni, Columbia University; Wm. Pecora, Princeton University; J. Howard Hanway, Yale University; Miguel A. de Capriles, New York University.

Football Rules

Walter R. Okeson, Lehigh University, Chairman; W. S. Langford, 30 Maiden Lane, New York City, Secretary; A. A. Stagg, Life Member; (1) W. G. Crowell, 2nd district; C. Henry Smith,

7th district; (2) W. J. Bingham, 1st district; D. X. Bible, 5th district; (3) H. J. Stegeman, 3rd district; F. H. Yost, 4th district; (4) Ray Morrison, 6th district; W. O. Hunter, 8th district.

Advisory Committee: (Officials) A. W. Palmer, Philadelphia; Herbert Dana, Oakland, Cal.; A. R. Hutchins, Lake Wales, Fla.; Col. H. B. Hackett, Chicago. (Coaches) Louis Little, Columbia University; Noble Kiser, Purdue University; O. E. Hollinberry, Washington State College; W. A. Alexander, Georgia School of Technology.

Gymnastic Rules

Christopher A. Beling, 111 Clinton Ave., Newark, N. J.; P. M. Clark, U. S. Naval Academy; D. L. Hoffer, University of Chi-

cago; C. W. Graydon, Flushing, N. Y.

Advisory Committee: Roy Moore, New York University; E. G. Schroeder, University of Iowa; Harry Maloney, Stanford University.

Ice Hockey Rules

Albert I. Prettyman, Hamilton College; J. Edward Lowrey, University of Michigan; H. O. Crisler, Princeton University; Louis F. Keller, University of Minnesota; Joseph Stubbs, Har-

vard University.

Advisory Committee: L. K. Neidlinger, Dartmouth College; E. S. Bronson, Yale University; Rev. F. H. Sill, O.H.C., Kent School; Donald P. Sands, Boston, Mass. (President Eastern Officials Association).

Lacrosse Rules

L. J. Korns, Swarthmore College; R. D. Root, Yale University; R. Van Orman, Johns Hopkins University; I. B. Lydecker, Syracuse University; Capt. R. W. Schumann, U. S. Naval Academy.

Advisory Committee: J. B. Crenshaw, Georgia School of Technology; Lieut. I. P. Duffy, U. S. Military Academy; L. B.

Johnston, Dartmouth College.

Swimming Rules

F. W. Luehring, University of Pennsylvania; A. E. Eilers, Washington University; R. J. H. Kiphuth, Yale University; Neils Thorpe, University of Minnesota; H. S. Ulen, Harvard University.

Advisory Committee: Frank Wall, New York University;

C. J. Alderson, University of Texas; E. McGillivray, University of Chicago; A. Y. Russell, Drake University; A. H. Armstrong, Georgia School of Technology; Ernest Brandsten, Stanford University; Fred Cady, University of Southern California; Chas. Welch, University of Utah; T. K. Cureton, Springfield College.

Track Rules

T. N. Metcalf, University of Chicago; (1) K. L. Wilson, 4th district; Lawson Robertson, 2nd district; (2) H. J. Huff, 5th district; Dean Cromwell, 8th district; (3) Clyde Littlefield, 6th district; Harry Hillman, 1st district; (4) R. A. Fetzer, 3rd district; H. W. Hughes, 7th district.

Wrestling Rules

R. G. Clapp, University of Nebraska; G. M. Trautman, Ohio State University, Editor; C. P. Miles, Virginia Polytechnic Institute; J. A. Rockwell, Mass. Institute of Technology; D. B. Swingle, Montana State College; C. F. Foster, Princeton University; E. G. Schroeder, University of Iowa; P. E. Wiggins, High School Federation.

Advisory Committee: C. J. Gallagher, Harvard University; E. C. Gallagher, Oklahoma A. & M. College; Walter Franklin, University of Colorado; Maj. H. M. Read, Virginia Military Institute; Wm. Sheridan, Lehigh University; R. J. McLean, University of Texas; H. A. Stone, University of California; Hugo Otopolik, Iowa State College.

NATIONAL BASKETBALL COMMITTEE of the UNITED STATES AND CANADA

ANNUAL QUESTIONNAIRE

This questionnaire is sent annually to representative basketball men of the country in order that their views may be presented at the annual meeting of the National Committee. The Committee will be grateful if you will check these								
nswers and RETURN THE QUESTIONNAIRE PROMPTLY toAmateur Athletic Union of U.S. Room No. 2742 233 B'way, New York								
The Committee Member whose name appears above will	send all replies received up to March 25 to H. V. Porter,							
11 South LaSalle St., Chicago, Illinois.								
 (a) Has the new note on held ball resulted in a better game? Yes	 7. Would you favor allowing play to proceed at the end of a quarter until the play which is in progress when the signal sounds is completed? Yes							
tentionally hits the opponent on the leg with the ball so that it caroms out-of-bounds. There are other similar situations. Should these be regarded as legitimate plays and allowed without penalty? Yes	(c) would it result in more play in the center floor zone and in more tries from there? Yes							
3. Check the court size which you regard as best: (a) For high school play 48x75 48x84 50x94 (b) For college play 48x75 48x84 50x94	Yes No 9. Would you favor a plan whereby a free throw for							
4. (a) Has the removal of the "due allowance" clause in the traveling rule caused any difficulty? Yes No	a personal foul would be made from the spot where the foul is committed (with proper adjustments for unusually long or short distances)?							
(b) It is claimed that few players in starting a dribble drop the ball before the pivot foot leaves the floor. Do you favor rigid enforcement of this rule?	Yes No List other suggestions on reverse side.							
Yes No Z. Wanted non-tolling time out often each backet?	QUESTIONNAIRE COMMITTEE,							
5. Would you favor taking time out after each basket? Yes No	J. H. CROCKER, E. J. HICKOX,							
6. The new rule for starting second and fourth quarters reduces the number of center jumps. Would	A. A. SCHABINGER, F. A. SCHMIDT,							
you favor further gradual reduction? Yes No	OSWALD TOWER, H. V. PORTER, Chairman							

Annual Questionnaire National Basket Ball Committee

Mount Bake Ball Commillee.																
	Held Ball	On Floor	Weld	Ball Striking Leg	(2) College	CONTROL SERVE CONTROL	Starting	A .	2nd-42 quarte H.S. Auter Dumps	Continuing	Moving back board in to ft.	Relieve crowding	out-of-vous	play	Stensive	free throw from spot of personal foul
Louis Menze 0	Yes	71-		Ues	48 X 84	1100	110	Y_	na	na		to some extent	Ues	Ma	1100	V
Mike nijkor U	"	100	Y	"		nes	Geo	710	100	/(0			1,	11-	yes	10
1001		h	11.	N.	2)50 × 94 48 × 84	110	16	11	110	Yes		110	",	yes	1.0	
Gach Olson C.	",	,	yes	"	50 × 94	yes	yes	Yes	yes !	and a series		Gen	11	51	1,	yes:
Karl Berninger C	•		1	11	"	/6	n	76 "	n	160		Mo.	N	160	yes	10
Elest B I had	"1	11.	11	Yes	50 × 94	yes	110	11	160			11	110	1,	10	
avere pourer		Yes	N	1/6	50 × 97 48 × 84	110		'1	yes !	1,		"	yes ,	yes /"	les	11
Wohn C. Truesaale C.	*1	110	110	yes	50 X 94 48 X 84	,,	1/	11	N				11		1/0	•
K. 10		*		N	48 X 84 48 X 84	11	Yes	N	160	ч						"
Karl Couvence C.		'1	Lea	160	50 × 94		160	1,	1,	4		N	N		4	· ·
Goscoe I Brown NS	160	1,	1/10	1,	11	LI	yes	yes	yes !			140	/6	Jes "	11	
a.R. Gilbert C.	yes	Yes	71	yes	"	4	110	No						-	1.	
E.S. Folty C.		No	1)	-	2)48 X 84 48 X 75	11	64	Yes	No			Yes	Yea		yes	Yes
M.C. Bowtes			,	4	50 X 94 48 X 84			100	· · ·			1/6	Mo	No	Mo	Ma
P.W. Mason C.	No	Yes	41	11	50 X 94 48 X 75			1	Yes				Yes		Yes	4,
T.C. Reid C.		No	11	"	48 X 84 48 X 84	••	Yes	46	100	"		Yes	"	yes !		
John C. Lomborg H.S	yes	,		1,	50 × 94	.,	Mo	-1	Yes	11		No		1,		
Forest S. Rettgers C		11	yes	,	"		4					Hes				Yea
7.R. Fulmer C.			Mo		"	Yes	Yes	Yes				No	10	No	16	V*
N.U. M. Dermott 11	No		Ges		11	1 "	Mo	"		yes		Yes	yes	yes	Yes	No
lon Faurot C	yes		0"	1,	"	No	4		No	76		No	0"	Mo	1/6	
a. Eugene Haylett C.	-		No		3)48 X 84	**	••	Mo	-	"		Yes		•	*	
Elmer W. 746lm C			yes	· ·	48 X 84 50 X 94 48 X 75		Hea	4		*		No	,	Yes	Yes	4
harren Littrell 4.0	"	- "	Mo	No	48 X 84		No	Yes		"		*	No	Mo	Mo	Yes
John F. Lauce C.	16	**	Yes	Yes	50 X 94 50 X 94		"	1/6	-	Yes			Yes	',	*	1/6
R.E. Peters H.S	Yes	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	No		48 X 84 50 X 94		Yes	••	Yes	Mo			1 "		*	4
U. T. Trusler C.	0"		1,	*	48 X 75 48 X 84	"	0		1 "	**			1.	•		4
Chas D. Errickson U.	76	1'	Yes	No		"	76	4	No	•		Yes	"	"	Yes	L
															10	

L.S. Herting C. Yes	No Her	yes 4	8 X 75 8 X 84 No	Yes	No	Yes	Hea	Yes	Ges	26	Yes	No
Gooch Cohlmeyer	"		18 X 8 4 TO X 94	76	11	V"	No	No				
7.P. Root 11 yes	760	'		"	Yes	No	"		Yes	No	,,	11
C.73. Hon 11 310	Yes Ges		8 X 84 "	, "	76	,	11	Yes	11	//	yes	*1
H.S. Slagmaker N.S. No C. E. Sesher 4.C Ues	160 /60	Geo 50	0 × 94 "	yes.	',	l,	L.	160	-11	yes 51	160	**
E. O. Williams U. "	" Rea		8 X 75 " 0 X 94	",	Ues	Uks	4	Ues	.,	110	"	н
L. g. Frank C. "	"	Jes 50 41 41	18 X 75 " 8 X 84	"	No	4	Yes	Mo		Yes	1	+1
L'Glenn Rogers C.	"	"	W .,	26	1/		26	"	11	1/2/0	h	Yes
Clarence Cokeel 9 76	"	5	8 X 84 0 X 94	"1	11	"	4	· yes	4	Yes	11	No
La Bughem C.	" Year	4	18 X 75 " 28 X 84 "	"	"	"	4	Mo	No	20	"	7
Ira D. Stockebrand ?	Hes "	No	11 11	,"	""	No	4	Yes	Yes	1/	1/	/1
Cycle Smith C Geo 040 00	16 /6		" "	Nea	Ues	110	No	No	"	yes	no	"
W. H. Browne U. No	Ro Yea	yes 25	50 X 94 Yea	Hes	J.	yes	1.	geo		Ulea	Ues	Maa
P. W. Parks 14.5. Her	1/6	5	50 X 94 No	1.	No	"	"	Yes	,			1/0
a.D. Dickinson C. No	1.	" 50	0 × 94 Yes	76	,	"		76	"	Yea	No	"
John E. Roberts U. Yes	yes "	yes 5	0 X 94 No	",	1,	Hea	"		Possibly	16	"	**
C.a. Clingenpeel C.	" Hes	4	8 X 75 " 8 X 84	"		"		yes	Gen	Hee	Hea	

NATIONAL BASKETBALL COMMITTEE OF THE UNITED STATES AND CANADA

Honorary Members James A. Naismith Ralph Morgan

April 3, 1934

CHAIRMEN OF SUB-COMMITTEES

Executive Committee L. W. St. John Ohio State University Columbus, Ohio.

Committee on Research and Visual Investigation Forrest C. Allen University of Kansas Lawrence, Kansas.

Special Publication Committee A. E. Metzdorf 97 Elmdorf Avenue Rochester, N. Y.

Committee for Permanent Organization H. H. Salmon, Jr. 67 Wall Street New York City, N. Y.

Officials Committee John Brown, Jr. 347 Madison Avenue New York City, N. Y.

Questionnaire Committee H. V. Porter 11 So. LaSalle Street Chicago, Ill.

Rules Co-Ordination Committee H. H. Salmon, Jr. 67 Wall Street New York City, N. Y.

Complete list of Committee Members

F. C. Allen Wm. McK. Barber W. I. Black J. F. Bohler John Brown, Jr. J. H. Crocker Geo. T. Hepbron W. E. Meanwell A. Paul Menton A. E. Metzdorf H. V. Porter E. L. Romney Floyd A. Rowe L. W. St. John H. H. Salmon F. A. Schmidt H. A. Swaffield G. K. Tebell Oswald Tower

Dr. Forrest C. Allen University of Kansas Lawrence, Kansas.

Dear Dr. Allen:-

Your letter of March 27th received together with the copy of letter which you are sending to Mr. Roy Mundroff, President of the National Association of Basketball Coaches.

I am planning to have this letter with me at the Basketball Committee meeting and if you desire will turn it over to Salmon for consideration by nis Rules Revision Committee.

I feel that I am not a very good member of your Committee as I really have no suggestions to make but may be able to contribute something in some other way.

George Williams College Chicago

5315 DREXEL AVENUE CHICAGO MIDWAY 8910

March 30, 1934

"LAKE GENEVA"
COLLEGE CAMP, WISCONSIN

Mr. Forrest C. Allen
Director of Athletics
University of Kansas
C/o Mr. Roy Mundorff
Basketball Coach
Georgia Tech
Atlanta, Georgia

Dear Mr. Allen:

I received your letter of March 24 regarding the Rules Committee and Research on Basketball during the past year. I will not be able to get to the Coach's meeting at Atlanta because of work here, and I am not certain as yet whether I will beable to get away to New York for the Rules' Meeting. However, I am sending you the results of my study of the last couple of years and the development of a norm for basketball shooting. I have been gathering the statistics on College basketball of attempted shots and baskets made. I will send this to you to the Hotel McAlpin at New York.

Yours very truly,

H. D. Edgren

Dept. of Physical Education

G

NATIONAL BASKETBALL COMMITTEE of the UNITED STATES AND CANADA

ANNUAL QUESTIONNAIRE

mined boas of the	
This questionnaire is sent annually to representative basks presented at the annual meeting of the National Committee.	etball men of the country in order that their views may be The Committee will be grateful if you will check these
answers and RETURN THE QUESTIONNAIRE PROMPTI	LY to Dr. F. C. Allen
Rep. of 5th District, Universit	
	ill send all replies received up to March 25 to H. V. Porter,
11 South LaSalle St., Chicago, Illinois.	
1. (a) Has the new note on held ball resulted in a better game? Yes	7. Would you favor allowing play to proceed at the end of a quarter until the play which is in progress when the signal sounds is completed? Yes
 (b) For college play 48x75 X48x84 50x94 4. (a) Has the removal of the "due allowance" clause in the traveling rule caused any difficulty? 	a personal foul would be made from the spot where the foul is committed (with proper adjustments for
(b) It is claimed that few players in starting a dribble drop the ball before the pivot foot leaves the floor. Do you favor rigid enforcement of this rule? No. 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 10	unusually long or short distances)? Yes
5) Would you favor taking time out after each basket? Yes No 6. The new rule for starting second and fourth quarters reduces the number of center jumps. Would you favor further gradual reduction? Yes No	J. H. CROCKER, E. J. HICKOX, A. A. SCHABINGER, F. A. SCHMIDT, OSWALD TOWER, H. V. PORTER, Chairman
This questionnaire checked	Warrenten High School Central Wesleyan College Central Wesleyan College

I think the most necessary chance in the MORALET AND A GOLD THE STATE OF SHOWEN AND STATE OF SHOWEN players has been fouled and six strong or clever enough to break away with one or two drubbles and makes a goal. Under present rules he is allowed hee thrown (which may be missed) and loses of the country in order land and the country in order to representative basketball merget the country in order loses of the country in order loses. presented at the annual meeting of the National Committee. The Committee will be grateful if you answers and RETURN THE QUESTIONNAIRE PROMPTLY to of 5th District. University of Kansas, iswrence, kansas no decided in the contract of the cont The Committee Member whose name appears above will send all replies received up to March 25 to H. V. Porter, Il South LaSalle St., Chicago, Illinois. 7. Would you favor allowing play to proceed at the 1. (a) Has the new note on held ball resulted in a end of a quarter until the play which is in progress better game? when the signal sounds is completed? Yes___A_ No___ Yes______No____ (b) Should a held ball be declared whenever a player in control is touching the floor with any part 8. There is some sentiment in favor of moving the of his body other than hands or feet? backboards 6 feet inside the end lines. Assuming. Yes____No__seY that over a period of years such a change might be (c) when a team is attempting to consume time by made, in your opinion holding the ball near the division line should a held (a) Would it relieve the crowding in front of the ball be declared whenever a closely guarded player basket? holds the ball for three seconds? Line Yes____No____ Yes____No_____N (b) Would it result in fewer out-of-bounds balls? 2. Player in possession near the sideline sometimes in-Yes____No____ tentionally hits the opponent on the leg with the (c) would it result in more play in the center floor ball so that it caroms out-of-bounds. There are zone and in more tries from there? other similar situations. Should these be regarded Yes_____No_Z____ as legitimate plays and allowed without penalty? Yes____N (d) Would the increased possibilities for offensive 3. Check the court size which you regard as best: (a) For high school play 48x75 X48x84 50x94 (b) For college play 48x75 X48x84 50x94 9. Would you favor a plan whereby a free throw for 4. (a) Has the removal of the "due allowance" clause a personal foul would be made from the spot where the foul is committed (with proper adjustments for in the traveling rule caused any difficulty? unusually long or short distances)? Yes_____No____ Yes_____No_X_ (b) It is claimed that few players in starting a dribble drop the ball before the pivot foot leaves List other suggestions on reverse side. was gets too technical to walk made draw manual drawing. More chance for one or other toom getting break J. H. CROCKER, Yes____ No____ E. J. HICKOX. A. A. SCHABINGER, 6. The new rule for starting second and fourth quar-F. A. SCHMIDT. ters reduces the number of center jumps. Would OSWALD TOWER, you favor further gradual reduction? H. V., Porter, Chairman This questionnaire checked by

NATIONAL BASKETBALL COMMITTEE of the UNITED STATES AND CANADA

ANNUAL QUESTIONNAIRE

better game? Yes No	This questionnaire is sent annually to representative basketba	ill men of the country in order that their views may be
The Committee Member whose name appears above will send all replies received up to March 25 to H. V. Porter, 1 South LaSaile St., Chicago, Illinois. 1. (a) Has the new note on held ball resulted in a better game? Yes. No. (b) Should a held ball be declared whenever a player in control is touching the floor with any part of his body other flan hands or feet? Yes. No. (c) when a team is attempting to consume time by holding the ball near the division line should a held ball be declared whenever a closely guarded player holds the ball for three seconds? (c) when at the division line should a held ball be declared whenever a closely guarded player holds the ball for three seconds? (c) when at the sideline sometimes intentionally hits the opponent on the leg with the ball so that it carons out-of-bounds. There are other similar situations. Should these be regarded as legitimate plays and allowed without penalty? Yes. No. (d) Would it result in fewer out-of-bounds balls? Yes. No. (d) Would the increased possibilities for offensive strategy be desirable? Yes. No. (d) Would the increased possibilities for offensive strategy be desirable? Yes. No. (d) Would would be made from the spot where the fool is committed (with proper adjustments for unusually long or short distances)? Yes. No. (d) Would be made from the spot where the fool is committed (with proper adjustments for unusually long or short distances)? Yes. No. (d) Would you favor a plan whereby a free throw for a personal foul would be made from the spot where the foul is committed (with proper adjustments for unusually long or short distances)? Yes. No. (d) Would you favor a plan whereby a free throw for a personal foul would be made from the spot where the foul is committed (with proper adjustments for unusually long or short distances)? Yes. No. (d) Would you favor a plan whereby a free throw for a personal foul would be made from the spot where the foul is committed (with proper adjustments for unusually long or short distances)? Yes. No. (d) Would you fav		
The Committee Member whose name appears above will send all replies received up to March 25 to H. V. Porter, 1 South LaSalle St., Chicago, Illinois. 1. (a) Has the new note on held ball resulted in a better game? Yes		
1. (a) Has the new note on held ball resulted in a better game? Yes No. (b) Should a held ball be declared whenever a player in control is touching the floor with any part of his body other than bands or feet? Yes No. (c) when a taken is attempting to consume time by holding the ball near the division line should a held ball be declared whenever a closely guarded player holds the ball nor these seconds? Yes No. 2. Player in possession near the sideline sometimes intentionally hits the opponent on the leg with the ball so that it caroms out-of-bounds. There are other similar situations. Should these be regarded as legitimate plays and allowed without penalty? Yes No. 3. Check the court size which you regard as best: (a) For high school play 48x75 48x84 50x94 (b) For college play 48x75 48x84 50x94 4. (a) Has the removal of the "due allowance" clause in the traveling rule caused any difficulty? Yes No. (b) Would it result in fewer out-of-bounds balls? Yes No. (c) would it result in more play in the center floor zone and in more tries from there? Yes No. (d) Would the increased possibilities for offensive strategy be desirable? Yes No. (d) Would wou favor a plan whereby a free throw for a personal foul would be made from the spot where the foul is committed (with proper adjustments for unusually long or short distances)? Yes No. List other suggestions on reverse side. J. H. Crocker, E. J. Hickox, A. A. Schaminger, F. A. Schmidt, A. A. Schmidt, F. A.		
1. (a) Has the new note on held ball resulted in a better game? Yes No		send all replies received up to March 25 to Fi. V. Porter,
better game? Yes	1 South LaSalle St., Chicago, Illinois.	
better game? Yes		
(b) Should a held ball be declared whenever a player in control is touching the floor with any part of his body other than hands or feet? Yes		end of a quarter until the play which is in progress
player in control is touching the floor with any part of his body other flap hands or feet? Yes No Call of the flap hands or feet flap hands or feet flap the backboards 6 feet inside the end lines. Assuming that over a period of years such a change might be made, in your opinion (a) Would it relieve the crowding in front of the basket? Yes No Cover		Yes No
backboards 6 feet inside the end lines. Assuming that over a period of years such a change might be made, in your opinion (c) when a team is attempting to consume time by holding the ball near the division line should a held ball be declared whenever a closely guarded player holds the ball for three seconds? Yes	player in control is touching the floor with any part	
ball be declared whenever a closely guarded player holds the ball for three seconds? Yes		backboards 6 feet inside the end lines. Assuming that over a period of years such a change might be
holds the ball for three seconds? Yes		
2. Player in possession near the sideline sometimes intentionally hits the opponent on the leg with the ball so that it caroms out-of-bounds. There are other similar situations. Should these be regarded as legitimate plays and allowed without penalty? Yes No		hasket?
2. Player in possession near the sideline sometimes intentionally hits the opponent on the leg with the ball so that it caroms out-of-bounds. There are other similar situations. Should these be regarded as legitimate plays and allowed without penalty? Yes	Ves 1 Reporting seconds!	Ves V
2. Frager in possession lear the studies such estimates the tentionally hits the opponent on the leg with the ball so that it caroms out-of-bounds. There are other similar situations. Should these be regarded as legitimate plays and allowed without penalty? Yes		
(c) would it result in more play in the center floor zone and in more tries from there? Yes No No (d) Would the increased possibilities for offensive strategy be desirable? Yes No (d) Would the increased possibilities for offensive strategy be desirable? Yes No (d) Would the increased possibilities for offensive strategy be desirable? Yes No (e) Would you favor a plan whereby a free throw for a personal foul would be made from the spot where the floor. Do you favor rigid anforcement of this rule? Yes No (D) It is claimed that few players in starting a dribble drop the ball before the pivot foot leaves the floor. Do you favor rigid anforcement of this rule? Yes No (D) It is claimed that few players in starting a dribble drop the ball before the pivot foot leaves the floor. Do you favor rigid anforcement of this rule? Yes No (D) It is claimed that few players in starting a dribble drop the ball before the pivot foot leaves the floor. Do you favor rigid anforcement of this rule? Yes No (E) Would you favor a plan whereby a free throw for a personal foul would be made from the spot where the foul is committed (with proper adjustments for unusually long or short distances)? Yes No (E) Would you favor a plan whereby a free throw for a personal foul would be made from the spot where the foul is committed (with proper adjustments for unusually long or short distances)? Yes No (D) Would you favor a plan whereby a free throw for a personal foul would be made from the spot where the foul is committed (with proper adjustments for unusually long or short distances)? Yes No (D) Hand the increased possibilities for offensive strategy be desirable? Yes No (D) Would you favor a plan whereby a free throw for a personal foul would be made from the spot where the foul is committed (with proper adjustments for unusually long or short distances)? Yes No (D) Hand the increased possibilities for offensive strategy be desirable? Yes No (D) Hand the increased possibilities for offensive strategy be desirable? Yes No (D) Ha		
zone and in more tries from there? Yes		(c) would it result in more play in the center floor
(d) Would the increased possibilities for offensive strategy be desirable? Yes No. (a) For high school play 48x75 48x84 50x94 (b) For college play 48x75 48x84 50x94 4. (a) Has the removal of the "due allowance" clause in the traveling rule caused any difficulty? Yes No. (b) It is claimed that few players in starting a dribble drop the ball before the pivot foot leaves the floor. Do you favor rigid enforcement of this rule? Yes No. (a) Would the increased possibilities for offensive strategy be desirable? Yes No. (b) Would you favor a plan whereby a free throw for a personal foul would be made from the spot where the foul is committed (with proper adjustments for unusually long or short distances)? Yes No. (c) Would you favor a plan whereby a free throw for a personal foul would be made from the spot where the foul is committed (with proper adjustments for unusually long or short distances)? Yes No. (c) Would you favor a plan whereby a free throw for a personal foul would be made from the spot where the foul is committed (with proper adjustments for unusually long or short distances)? Yes No. (c) Would you favor a plan whereby a free throw for a personal foul would be made from the spot where the foul is committed (with proper adjustments for unusually long or short distances)? Yes No. (d) Would the increased possibilities for offensive strategy be desirable? Yes No. (e) Would you favor a plan whereby a free throw for a personal foul would be made from the spot where the foul is committed (with proper adjustments for unusually long or short distances)? Yes No. (e) H. CROCKER, E. J. HICKOX, A. A. SCHABINGER, F. A. SCHMIDT,		zone and in more tries from there?
3. Check the court size which you regard as best: (a) For high school play 48x75 48x84 50x94 (b) For college play 48x75 48x84 50x94 4. (a) Has the removal of the "due allowance" clause in the traveling rule caused any difficulty? Yes		
(a) For high school play 48x75 48x84 50x94 (b) For college play 48x75 48x84 50x94 4. (a) Has the removal of the "due allowance" clause in the traveling rule caused any difficulty? Yes		
(b) For college play 48x75 48x84 50x94 4. (a) Has the removal of the "due allowance" clause in the traveling rule caused any difficulty? Yes		
4. (a) Has the removal of the "due allowance" clause in the traveling rule caused any difficulty? Yes		1 es
the foul is committed (with proper adjustments for unusually long or short distances)? (b) It is claimed that few players in starting a dribble drop the ball before the pivot foot leaves the floor. Do you favor rigid enforcement of this rule? Yes No QUESTIONNAIRE COMMITTEE, 5. Would you favor taking time out after each basket? Yes No QUESTIONNAIRE COMMITTEE, 6. The new rule for starting second and fourth quarters reduces the number of center jumps. Would		
yes		
(b) It is claimed that few players in starting a dribble drop the ball before the pivot foot leaves the floor. Do you favor rigid enforcement of this rule? Yes No QUESTIONNAIRE COMMITTEE, 5. Would you favor taking time out after each basket? Yes No QUESTIONNAIRE COMMITTEE, J. H. CROCKER, E. J. HICKOX, A. A. SCHABINGER, ters reduces the number of center jumps. Would F. A. SCHMIDT,		
dribble drop the ball before the pivot foot leaves the floor. Do you favor rigid enforcement of this rule? Yes No No OUT OF COMMITTEE, 5. Would you favor taking time out after each basket? Yes No No OUT OUT OF COMMITTEE, 6. The new rule for starting second and fourth quarters reduces the number of center jumps. Would List other suggestions on reverse side. QUESTIONNAIRE COMMITTEE, J. H. CROCKER, E. J. HICKOX, A. A. SCHABINGER, F. A. SCHMIDT,		Yes No
the floor. Do you favor rigid enforcement of this rule? Yes No No Officially QUESTIONNAIRE COMMITTEE, 5. Would you favor taking time out after each basket? Yes No E. J. Hickox, E. J. Hickox, A. A. Schabinger, ters reduces the number of center jumps. Would F. A. Schmidt,	dribble drop the ball before the pivot foot leaves	List other suggestions on reverse side.
5. Would you favor taking time out after each basket? Yes No E. J. Hickox, 6. The new rule for starting second and fourth quarters reduces the number of center jumps. Would F. A. Schmidt,	the floor. Do you favor rigid enforcement of this Lee	
5. Would you favor taking time out after each basket? Yes No E. J. Hickox, 6. The new rule for starting second and fourth quarters reduces the number of center jumps. Would F. A. Schmidt,	rule?	ative.
Yes No E. J. H. CROCKER, E. J. HICKOX, A. A. SCHABINGER, ters reduces the number of center jumps. Would F. A. SCHMIDT,	Yes	QUESTIONNAIRE COMMITTEE,
6. The new rule for starting second and fourth quarters reduces the number of center jumps. Would E. J. Hickox, A. A. Schabinger, F. A. Schmidt,	5. Would you favor taking time out after each basket?	I. H. CROCKER.
ters reduces the number of center jumps. Would F. A. Schmidt,	Yes No	
	6. The new rule for starting second and fourth quar-	A. A. SCHABINGER,
you favor further gradual reduction? Yes No		

This questionnaire checked by ______

In honor of the conspicuous excellence of Purdue basketball and Ward Lambert, Coach

SUPPLEMENT

Compliments
Purdue Alumni
Association, Inc.

O. M. BOOHER,
Executive Secretary

THE PURDUE ALUMNUS

Memorial Union Building

West Lafayette, Ind.

CONFERENCE CHAMPIONS 1934



First row, from left to right: Coach Ward Lambert; Leon Dailey, Gary; Charles Meade, Camden; Ed Shaver, Frankfort; Emmett Lowery, Indianapolis; Norman Cottom, Terre Haute; Ray Eddy, Columbus; W. Fehring, Columbus; Doxie Moore, Delphi; R. L. Kessler, Anderson; L. A. Harmon, Gary; R. L. Craig, West Lafayette.

Second row: T. Fehring, Columbus; Robert Dornte, Fort Wayne; Duane Purvis, Mattoon; Frank Haas, Chicago; Herbert Duggins, New Albany; George Fisher, Union City; G. E. Brown, Hammond; Ed Elliott, Lafayette; R. C. Wheeler, Terre Haute; G. A. Hertzing, Otterbein; Charles Murray, Selma; E. A. Lambert, Hall; Lon Mann, Trainer.


Hail the Champions!

Winners of Purdue's third Conference Championship during the last five years. Boilermaker fans and lovers of the great indoor sport everywhere are exceedingly proud of Purdue's brilliant 1934 team.

Seldom has a team been as well balanced as this season's champions. Norman Cottom, who won the Conference individual scoring honors, teamed with the veteran Eddy, who is recognized as the greatest floor worker and passer in the circuit. Eddy was named honorary captain by his teammates at the close of the season. "Dutch" Fehring, one of the most versatile athletes in Purdue's history, who will be seeking his ninth major letter this spring in baseball, lived up to his great reputation at center . . . outjumping many opponents who were considerably taller than he. Emmett Lowery was practically an unanimous choice for all-Conference honors at floor guard, and was second only to Cottom in season's baskets scored.

While Ed Shaver at back guard had no equal defensively and was a brilliant long range shot.

On the squad were many very capable relief men, including George



WARD LAMBERT
The nation's leading Basketball Coach.

Fisher, center; R. Kessler, forward; Doxie Moore, forward; Charles Meade, floor guard; Ed Elliott, center, and others.

No other team in Purdue's brilliant basketball history has won more honors than has this team of 1934, including—

High all time scoring record.

Low defensive record.

Permanent ownership of the Allerton trophy.

High individual scoring record—in addition to the Big Ten Champion-ship.

Truly a great team—a product of the capable efforts of a great coach . . . Hail the Champions!

Season's Record

	Demour D Itee	OLU		
d	Purdue	46	State Teachers	30
			Pittsburgh	
	Purdue	28	Notre Dame	36
			Butler	
			Washington	
			Arizona	
			Mississippi	

mailed to you by

	Purdue	45	Minnesota	30
^	Purdue	36	Illinois	21
	Purdue	47	Indiana	13
Your	Purdue Purdue	27	Wisconsin	26
	Purdue	38	Wabash	27
	Purdue	36	Iowa	38
	Purdue	51	Michigan .	20
0	Purdue	45	Iowa	33
a and	Purdue	47	Minnesota	30
1	Purdue	(60)	Michigan .	33
	Purdue	37	Wisconsin	25
-0	Purdue		Indiana	
- N	Purdue			
1-				
	Totals	831		554

THE FI	NAL	STA	NDI	NG	
	W	L	Pct.	TP	OP
Purdue	10	2	.833	512	324
Northwestern	8	4	.667	389	348
Wisconsin	8	4	.667	374	333
Illinois	7	5	.583	324	334
Iowa	6	6	.500	397	383
Indiana	6	6	.500	353	368
Minnesota	5	7	.417	363	398
Ohio State	4	8	.333	356	406
Michigan	4	8	.333	319	412
Chicago	2	10	.166	318	399

THE LEADING SCORERS						
	FG	FT	TP			
Cottom, f, Purdue	48	24	120			
Fisher, c, Northwestern	41	36	118			
Haarlow, f, Chicago	38	32	108			
Froschauer, f, Illinois	41	25	106			
Lowery, g, Purdue	46	11	103			
Norman, c, Minnesota	39	23	101			
Froschauer, f, Illinois Lowery, g, Purdue	41 46	25 11	106 103			

Purdue Individual Scoring Records (Big Ten Games Only)

	G.	В.	r.	11.
Cottom	12	48	24	120
Lowery	12	46	11	103
Eddy		31	23	85
Shaver		27	18	72
Fehring	12	25	12	62
Fisher	6	16	6	38
Moore	10	2	5	9
Kessler	11	1	3	5
Harmon	6	2	1	5
Lambert		2	0	4
		~		1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -

In addition to "Norm" Cottom, six other Lambert stars have led the Big Ten in scoring, namely, Gullion, White, Cummins, Spradling, Murphy and Wooden.

Purdue's Wins, Losses and Final Conference Ratings Since 1920

Control	ice italians	THE TE	
Year	Won	Lost	Place
1920	8	2	2
1921		4	1*
1922	8	1	1
1923		3	4




EMMET LOWERY
Floor Guard



The Allerton Trophy

This trophy is now in permanent possession of Purdue. Three points were necessary for ownership, one undisputed title counting one point. Shared title one-half point. By virtue of Purdue's 1928 shared title and her clear titles of 1930, 1932 and 1934, she has earned 3½ points. Northwestern with 1½ points is her closest competitor. Michigan, Wisconsin, Ohio and Indiana each have ½ point.



"DUTCH" FEHRING
Center

7	5	4
7	4	4
8	4	1*
9	3	2
10	2	1*
9	3	2
10	0	1
8	4	2
11	1	1
6	6	5
10	2	1
		$egin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

The 1934 team's offensive and defensive record—also its wide victory margin:

	Off.	Def.	Vict.
	Aver.	Aver.	Marg.
PURDUE	42.67	27.00	15.67
Northwestern	32.42	29.00	3.42
Wisconsin	31.17	27.75	3.42
Iowa	33.08	31.92	1.16
Illinois	27.00	27.83	-0.83
Indiana			-1.25
Minnesota	30.25	33.17	-2.92
Ohio State	29.67	33.83	-4.16
Chicago	26.50	33.25	-6.75
Michigan	26.58	34.33	-7.75

Big Ten Basketball History

Winner
Minnesota
Chicago-Wisconsin
Chicago
Chicago
Chicago
Purdue-Minnesota
Purdue-Minnesota
Wisconsin
Wisconsin
Illinois
Wisconsin
Illinois-Minnesota
Wisconsin
Minnesota
Chicago
Purdue-Wisconsin-Michigan
Purdue
Wisconsin-Iowa
Chicago-Wisconsin-Illinois
Ohio State
Purdue-Indiana
Michigan-Iowa
Michigan
Purdue-Indiana



ED SHAVER
Back Guard

1929	Michigan-Wisconsin
1930	Purdue
1931	Northwestern
1932	Purdue
1933Ohio	State-Northwestern
1934	Purdue

Clear Titles Won

Purdue	4	Ohio State	1
		Northwestern	
		Illinois	
		Iowa	
		Indiana	

Titles Won or Shared

Purdue	9	Ohio	2
Wisconsin	9	Iowa	2
Chicago	6	Northwestern	2
Minnesota	5	Illinois	2
Michigan	4	Indiana	2

Lambert's Big Ten Record 1919-1934, Inclusive

Purdue's Big Ten basketball record under Coach Ward Lambert for the sixteen year period from 1919-1934, inclusive, reads like a Ripley story and establishes him as the most successful basketball coach in the country.

Big Ten Games Only

2018 2011	duilles of	LLJ	
Opponent	G.P.	W.	L.
Iowa	21	11	10
Michigan	17	11	6
Illinois	24	14	10
Chicago	19	14	5
Minnesota	18	14	4
Wisconsin	16	12	4
Ohio State	22	17	5
Northwestern	24	19	5
Indiana	22	18	4
			_
Totals	183	130	53

The Star's 1934 All-State Team

First Team		Second Team
Cottom, F'.	Forward	Stout, I.
Voegele, N. D		
Weir, I.		
Krause, N. D		
Fehring, P.		
Lowery, P		
Baird, B		
Crowe, N. D.		

All-Conference Teams By Ralph Cannon, Chicago Daily News

First Team Forward—Ray Eddy, Purdue. Forward—Frank Froschauer, Illinois. Center-"Dutch" Fehring, Purdue. Guard-Ben Selzer, Iowa. Guard-Ed Manske, Northwestern.

Second Team


Forward—Norman Cottom, Purdue. Forward-Bill Haarlow, Chicago. Center-Hudson Hellmich, Illinois. Guard-Emmett Lowery, Purdue. Guard—Ed Shaver, Purdue.



PURDUE'S BRILLIANT 1934 QUINTET A Group of All-Stars. From left to right: Lowery, Shaver, Eddy, Cottom, Fehring, Coach Lambert.

Big Ten Coaches Pick 1934 All-Conference Teams

First Team		Second Team
Cottom, Purdue	Forward	Moffitt, Iowa
Froschauer, Ill	Forward	Karlow, Chi.
Fisher, N. W	Center	Norman, Minn.
Lowery, Purdue	Guard	Selzer, Iowa
Poser, Wisconsin	Guard	Shaver, Purdue
Honorable Ment	ion-Fehring	g, P.; Hellmich,
Ill.; Manske, N.	W.; Culver	, N. W.; Weir,
Indiana; Colburn,	Ohio, and	Eddy, P.



"NORM" COTTOM Forward. Winner of Conference scoring honors

A. P. 1934 All-Conference Team

Team		Second Team
Purdue	Forward	Haarlow, Chi.
uer, Ill	Forward	Eddy, Furdue
N. W	Center	Norman, Minn.
Purdue	Guard	Selzer, Iowa
Wisconsin	Guard	Shaver, Purdue
able Me	ntion — Feh	ring, Hellmich,
Colburn.		
	Purdue uer, Ill N. W Purdue Wisconsin	Team PurdueForward uer, IllForward N. WCenter PurdueGuard WisconsinGuard able Mention — Feh

The Following Basketball Letter Awards Have Been Announced

Majors-N. T. Cottom, Terre Haute; J. R. Eddy, Columbus; R. L. Kessler, Anderson; W. P. Fehring, Terre Haute; G. H. Fisher, Union City; E. P. Lowery, Indianapolis; Ed Shaver, Frankfort; G. A. Hertzing, Otterbein; Doxie Moore, Delphi; Robert Dornte, Fort Wayne; Austin Lambert, Hall; Ed Elliott, Lafayette; C. M. Meade, Camden; Louis Harmon, Gary; A. Giannini, East Chicago; V. J. Craig, West Lafayette.

Minors—Frank Haas, Chicago, Ill.; T. T. Fehring, Terre Haute; R. L. Duncan, West Lafayette; C. L. Murray, Selma; J. Wiley, manager, West Lafayette.

Freshman Varsity Numerals—R. B. Abbott, West Lafayette; C. C. Baumbach, Indianapolis; C. F. Bowman, Alvin, Ill.; J. A. Bruce, Peru; G. Downey, Michigantown; G. M. Herriman, Brook; R. O. Kleppe, Indianapolis; N. L. Korty, Lafayette; F. R. Milanovich, Aliquippa, Pa.; R. E. Morgan, Washington; R. L. Robinson, Indianapolis; C. E. Rowland, Indianapolis; J. Seward, Indianapolis; W. L. Sibflbitt, Fillmore; R. W. Smith, Anderson; F. O. Thompson, Gary; J. E. Zachary, Helena, Mont.

The Past 16 Years

This season brought to a close 16 consecutive years in which the Purdue teams have won 130 Conference games and lost 53, a winning percentage of over 71 per cent. During these years Purdue has won or shared the title seven times, been runner-up for titular honors four times, and with the exception of one year has always been in the first division. Certainly a great record, against perhaps the strongest competition in the country. During Lambert's coaching at Purdue over twenty Boilermakers have been named on first all-Conference teams and two have been named as all-Americans — Johnny Wooden, perhaps the greatest of the great, won all-American honors for three consecutive years, in addition to leading the Conference in scoring. His senior year he won the Conference alltime individual scoring record with 154 points, later exceeded by Reiff, of Northwestern. Murphy, one of the greatest centers in the history of the game, won all-American honors for two consecutive years, and also led the Conference with high individual points. Other all-Conference stars coached by Lambert were: White, Miller, Kemmer, Eversman, Masters, Harmeson, Gullion, Parmenter, Keller, Cummins, Spradling and many others of almost equal abilities.

Purdues all-time Conference record registers 202 victories and 129 defeats. For all games, 348 victories and 158 defeats.


Do You Remember Purdue's Great Court Team of 1912? Here's Its Record

Purdue	. 51	Butler	12
Purdue	. 67	Earlham	8
Purdue	31	Northwestern	10
Purdue	54	Indiana	18
Purdue	35	Illinois	20
Purdue	33	Chicago	23
Purdue	. 28	Illinois	14
Purdue	30	Minnesota	16
Purdue	31	Chicago	22
Purdue	33	Northwestern	13
Purdue	24	Minnesota	12
Purdue	45	Indiana	11
Total	462		179

This team was considered the strongest team ever developed up until this time in America. Ralph Jones was coach and the regular players were Captain McVaugh, Barr, Malarkey, Stockton and Johnson. This team was Purdue's 2nd 1,000% Conference team.

Purdue's All-Time Basketball History

			Big 10			All	Games	
Year	Won			Oppon	. Won	Lost	Points	Oppon.
1897					1	0	34	19
1900					0	1	11	16
1901	2	0	46	30	16	3	585	274
1902	2	0	103	41	8	1	382	159
1903	2	0	69	29	8	0	295	108
1904	2	1	75	71	11	2	363	303
1905	1	4	102	138	3	6	203	258
1906	3	5	193	217	4	6	248	274
1907		5	175	201	7	8	416	360
1908	2	9	205	320	5	9	317	362
1909	6	4	197	206	8	4	294	231
1910	5	5	236	220	8	5	376	252
1911	8	4	310	254	12	4	569	318
1912	10	0	344	159	12	0	462	179
1913	6	5	262	235	7	5	313	243
1914	3	9	272	290	5	9	344	330
1915	4	8	191	244	5	8	225	270
1916	2	10	227	305	4	10	291	338
1917	7	2	196	165	11	3	335	237
1918	5	5	276	245	11	5	529	359
1919	4	7	256	255	6	8	331	316
1920	8	2	382	227	16	4	711	485
1921	8	4	324	270	13	7	594	491
1922	7	2	599	185	15	3	568	405
1923	7	5	331	275	9	6	431	350
1924	7	5	355	356	12	5	535	455
1925	7	4	331	317	9	5	431	385
1926	8	4	353	319	13	4	528	469
1927	9	3	377	351	12	5	562	519
1928	10	2	445	304	15	2	652	447
1929	9	3	441	308	13	4	645	428
1930	10	0	383	215	13	2	553	350
1931	8	4	353	276	11	5	542	388
1932	11	1	465	302	17	1	718	442
1933	6	6	409	354	11	7	641	516
1934	10	2	512	324	18	2	831	554
	202	129	9,461	8,008	348	158	15,800	11,854



RAY EDDY

Forward. Elected Honorary Captain of team by
his teammates at the close of the season.

The Record of the 1930 1,000% Team

Purdue	. 23	Michigan	19
Purdue	. 39	Northwestern	22
Purdue	. 60	Ohio	1.4
Purdue	. 37	Chicago	25
Purdue	. 27	Ohio	25
Purdue	. 42	Minnesota	24
Purdue	. 29	Northwestern	16
Purdue	. 50	Minnesota	22
Purdue	. 44	Michigan	28
Purdue	. 32	Chicago	20
Total Purdue	383	Opponents	215

This team was Purdue's 3rd 1,000% aggregation. Its line-up was as follows: Kellar, G. Harmeson, Murphy, Boots and Wooden. . . . Remember?

Purdue boasts of her first 1,000% team in 1903—winning 8 games. The principal players on this team were J. F. G. Miller, Herzsch, Peck, Lucas and Knapp.

FOR PURDUE NEWS, READ THE ALUMNUS

IOWA STATE COLLEGE OF AGRICULTURE AND MECHANIC ARTS

AMES, IOWA

DEPARTMENT OF PHYSICAL EDUCATION FOR MEN

January 5, 1934

Dr. F. C. Allen University of Kansas Lawrence, Kansas

Dear Doc:

In the December issue of the Athletic Journal Craig Ruby has a series of cuts on the legal and illegal maneuvers in basketball. I think we are all agreed that the biggest criticism that we have of the officiating in our conference is its lack of uniformity, and I am wondering if we took it upon ourselves to provide each of the officials in our conference with this December issue and urge them to study those pictures, if this would not perhaps tend for better officiating.

If you agree with the interpretations as given by Ruby and think the idea worth while, will you take it upon yourselves to give to the men in your territory a copy of this issue?

Yours very truly,

LEM: JH

L. E. Menze

vorass The idea

The top tunders, resident, topology, the tions of the sket hill Concluse.

Leady Fresident name

AGAIN TO THE RESERVE

possible to arrange a pair of goals 12 ft. in height at the Atlanta Athletic Glub or wherever you plan to work your test games, for the purpose of trying out the 12 ft. baskets so that the couches might see a game in action under those conditions.

If it would be possible to have a sliding scale for this game cay an adjustable tasket, that we could try a game at 11 ft. and one at 12 ft., it would give the conches a definite idea as to what the possibilities might hold by incorporating for the future a consideration of a possible change in the height of the basket.

I claim that it will decrease the number of fouls by at least 30%. On all lay-up shots and outs for the backet, the higher backet will do away with these. It will diminish or practically exterminate the pivot post play. Big, husky, powerful guerds will not be able to block opponents out every from the backet under this proposition.

tion of raising the baskets and I believe that the quest for tall players, especially centers, that the elevation of the basket will equalize the height sore proportionately between the extra tall can and the medium individual and it will also serve to clear up the congestion under the basket.

gestion as to a possible increase in the width of the court to a sexious of 56 ft. instead of 50 ft. as a blow against the so-called zone defense or cass defense throwing itself around the backet. This will make fewer out of bound balls.

fit Mr. Hoy Mundorff March 91, 1034

And, by moving the back-boards

4 to 6 ft. Inside the end line with the raised basket, this
will further tend to decrease the number of out of bound plays.
Under these conditions it might be necessary to increase the
field goal to three points, leaving the free three at one point
and the four personal foul rule as it is.

onything about the side or end-line, I am in favor of prohibiting the pivot post man from shooting at a basket when he receives the ball with his back to the basket in the free throw lane. Allow him to handle the ball in this area with the three second restriction as now.

no time out for substitutions in the last minute of each half as is the case in the footbell rules. I have seen this thing abused this year and I believe before the abuse gets too flagrant, we should crop this play situation closely at the start.

sider the minimum size of a basket ball. Most conches went it smaller and by discussing that at the present time, we could agree on something that would not be hazardous to the sanufacturers of balls.

Turther, I think it would be well to take up the booing situation and see if we can't as cosches cooperate with the newspaper men and the athletic authorities in
downing some of the more rabid manifestations of disapproval.

12 ft. baskets installed at the ends of our practice court and I use these in emphasizing the necessity of the arch. Then, after going back to our regulation 10 ft. basket, our boys roll them in there readily.

You will please understand, Roy, that I am not fighting for any of these proposals to take effect this year. The game is splendid as it is, but why not use some of these items for trial and research and see if an improvement cannot be made in the game?

13 Fr. Roy Sundorff Neron 21, 1934

three days and I know that you are going to have a fine turnout.
I am trusting that Are. Allen will drive down with me.

With overy sood wish, I am

Sincerely yours,

於進於奇德也也對 *

到的点 + YW

DIVISION OF PHYSICAL EDUCATION AND INTERCOLLEGIATE ATHLETICS

SUGGESTIONS FROM BASKET BALL QUESTIONNAIRE

- John C. Truesdale, Grinnell College, Grinnell, Iowa
 - #4 (b) I favor letting the foot come up but no more than that.
 - #5 No. But I would favor a time limit that could be invoked.
 - #8 Why not increase to 3 ft. so guards will have chance to get away when they get rebound without stepping on end line?

 I would suggest:
 - 1. Eliminate the free throw--award 1 or 2 points for fouls.
 - 2. Do not increase score for a basket to 3 points unless free throw is raised to 2 points. A close ratio of value of free throw to field goal is necessary to retain the proper punishment for fouling.
 - 3. Reduce the emphasis on giant centers and get the emphasis back on cleverness, speed and agility by removing part of the reason for giant jumpers. In other words, abolish the center jump. Initiate play after a score by granting ball to team scored upon. Get some of these clumsy "monkeys" out of sight and off the floor-they are a disgrace to the game. In case a big fellow is good, he can still play because height around the back boards would still be desirable. Improve technique and increase crowd appeal.

Karl Cawrence, Midland College, Fremont, Nebraska

- #8 (a) It would put an added burden on defensive team and I feel the offense has the advantage at present.
 - (c) Do you want B. B. to be a passing and shooting game or just a shooting game?
- Roscoe I. Brown, Rosedale High School Kansas City, Kansas
 - 1. I would suggest that the rule be changed to award 3 points for a field goal and 1 point for a foul.
 - 2. I would also suggest on the underhand two handed shot that a foul not be called on the guard if his arms are in a plane parallel to the floor or above when contact occurs.
 - 3. I would also suggest that the goals be placed at a sufficient height to eliminate the advantage a tall team has on a small team near the basket and especially on the post play.

DIVISION OF PHYSICAL EDUCATION AND INTERCOLLEGIATE ATHLETICS

- #2 Suggestions from Basket Ball Questionnaire
- A. R. Gilbert, Graceland College, Lamoni, Iowa
 - #9 This would complicate free throw lanes. When player is in act of throwing for basket and is fouled, there might be some merit in awarding one point and one throw instead of two throws. Deliberate fouls on players making shots from reasonable or comparatively "sure" spots should be checked.
- R. E. Bowles, Wm. Jewell College, Liberty, Mo.

Do away with the jump ball at center.

F. R. Fulmer, K. S. T. C., Kearney, Nebraska

#8 (a) Old gyms would not permit

Present rules are 0. K'

- H. V. McDermott, University of Oklahoma
 - 1. To cut down tall center's importance, make the center jump play rotate among the other (8) players. This would make a center play a team play rather than a one man specialty act.
- John F. Lance, K. S. T. C., Pittsburg, Kansas

Why should a captain not be allowed to decline a penalty as in football? Example: Player of team A breaks for basket. Player of team B pushes, holds or trips player of team A as he breaks for basket. Official calls a foul on player of team B just before player of team A makes good his shot at the basket. Why should we reward the defensive player for having fouled the offensive player by taking the basket away from the offensive team and allowing but one free throw?

V. T. Trusler, State Teachers College, Emporia, Kansas

Eliminate the free throw entirely.

C. Youngworth, Yankton College, Yankton, S. D.

I still think some better plan of play can be devised than the center jump after every goal. More and more the effectiveness of center play is dominated by the official tossing the ball. No two men do it uniform. Very few can toss it the same every time. The resultant to me is that a large

DIVISION OF PHYSICAL EDUCATION AND INTERCOLLEGIATE ATHLETICS

- #3 Suggestions from Basket Ball Questionnaire
- C. Youngworth, (Cont'd.)

part of success or failure of center play rests with the toss. I don't fell this should play such a big part in a game where officiating at best creates inequalities.

I also favor higher baskets for college basketball. The unfortunate team now without tall center or hole man is considerably handicapped with 10 ft. basket.

F. P. Root, K. S. C., Manhattan, Kansas

#5 Yes, if the huddle is developed.

C. E. Sesher, Hutchinson Jr. College, Hutchinson, Kansas

#7 No, If ball is in air on shot, yes.

R. Glenn Rogers, Morningside College, Sioux City, Iowa

I am in favor of educating the official not to play up to the crowd, but do their work modestly and without participating into showman part of the game. In our 1934 H. S. State Tournament, the officials stole the show from the boys. I believe the best official works the game without being a showman.

Clarence C. Keel, Hastings College, Hastings, Nebraska

- 1. Elimination of tip-off.
- 2. Making baskets higher.
- G. A. Bingham, Iowa Wesleyan College, Mt. Pleasant, Iowa

Greatest uncertaintty in the matter of interpretations of playing rules now seems to be:

(a) Did the dribble change?


(b) Did the defensive man block?

Nor do I see any way to make it read any clearer. Perhaps only a matter of common practice on the part of officials will remedy it in time. That is, at present one official is sure (a) is always correct, the next one is equally as sure (b) is. The coach on the bench, of course, feels his player was fouled.

LAWRENCE

DIVISION OF PHYSICAL EDUCATION AND INTERCOLLEGIATE ATHLETICS

- #4 Suggestions from Basket Ball Questionnaire
- W. H. Browne, University of Nebraska, Lincoln, Nebraska
 - 1. Lessen the importance of the free throw by increasing the value of the field goal.
 - 2. Some personal and technical fouls now listed to be penalized by loss of the ball only--thus lessening the importance of free throwing in a game.
 - 3. Continued study and thought on how to coordinate and make more uniform the work of our officials.
 - 4. I would like to see the experiment tried--raising the basket.



Attend the Twelfth Annual

KANSAS RELAYS

APRIL 21st LAWRENCE

-MANY OLYMPIC TRACK STARS IN ACTION

March 24 1 9 3 4

Mr. H.D. Edgren, 5315 Drexel Ave., Chicago, Ill.

Dear Mr. Edgren:

annual meeting of our Committee on Research and Visual Investigation.

Committee I would appreciate it very much, if you would send me at your earliest convenience, a report on your work during the past year. I desire to place our report in written form before our Rules body in New York and I shall therefore appreciate your cooperation in giving me the results of your investigation during the past year.

I will be in Atlanta for the National Association of Basket Ball Coaches meeting on the 29th, 30th and 31st of March. Will you kindly send me, care of Roy Mundorff, basket ball coach Georgia Tech, Atlanta, this very necessary information?

to New York with my family. While in New York I expect to stay at the McAlpin or the Hotel New Yorker. I prefer to have you send my mail to the McAlpin because that is where the coaches meeting will be held and I can get it there.

at Atlanta and we can discuss matters there and be in a position to do better work by personal cooperation. Perhaps, if you can arrange to abrive in New York a day or so before the Rules meeting, we can discuss the problem thoroughly before making a written report.

Appreciating your cooperation and trusting to hear from you in the very near future, I am

Very cordially yours,

COMMITTEE ON RESEARCH AND VISUAL INVESTIGATION

Acting for the

NATIONAL BASKETBALL COMMITTEE

Representing the

NATIONAL COLLEGIATE—A. A. U. Y. M. C. A.—National Federation Canadian I. A. U.

Forest C. Allen, Chairman University of Kansas Lawrence, Kansas W. E. MEANWELL University of Wisconsin Madison, Wis.

H. D. EDGREN
5315 Drexel Ave.
Chicago, Ill.

GEO. T. HEPBRON 105 Nassau St. New York, N. Y.

H. V. PORTER
II S. LaSalle
Chicago, Ill.

FLOYD A. Rowe
Board of Education
Cleveland, Ohio

Oswald Tower Andover, Mass. THE NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF BASKETBALL COACHES

SOCIATION OF BAUNITED STATES

DR. H. C. CARLSON, Univ. of Pittsburgh

Secretary-Treasurer

OFFICERS AND BOARD OF DIRECTORS

DR. JAS. A. NAISMITH, University of Kansas

Honorary President

Roy Munpoper Georgia Tech

Roy Mundorff, Georgia Tech

President

H. B. ORTNER, Cornell University

First Vice-President

A. C. Lonborg, Northwestern University

Second Vice-President

H. C. Beresford, University of Colorado

Third Vice-President

Dr. H. C. Carlson, University of Pittsburgh

Secretary-Treasurer

H. G. Olson, Ohio State University
George Edwards, University of Missouri
E. A. Kelleher, Fordham University
Dr. W. E. Meanwell, University of Wisconsin

MEMBERSHIP COMMITTEE

B. T. GROVER, Ohio University, Chairman

1st Dist.: E. J. Hickox, Springfield College

2d Dist.: Lon Jourdet, University of Pennsylvania

3d Dist.: G. K. TEBELL, University of Virginia 4th Dist.: A. F. Rupp, University of Kentucky

5th Dist.: RAY O. DIETRICK, Ohio Wesleyan Univ.

6th Dist.: J. C. TRUESDALE, Grinnell College
7th Dist.: Louis Menze Lowa State University

7th Dist.: Louis Menze, Iowa State University
8th Dist.: Willard Witte, University of Wyoming

9th Dist.: C. M. PRICE, University of California

PRESS COMMITTEE

P. D. HINKLE, Butler University
NAT HOLMAN, C. C. N. Y.
NELS NORGREN, University of Chicago

COACHING ETHICS COMMITTEE

RAY HANSON, Teachers' College, Macomb, Ill.,

LEW ANDREAS, Syracuse University

BASKETBALL RULES COMMITTEE

1st Dist.: A. D. KAHLER, Brown University

2d Dist.: L. P. Andreas, Syracuse University
3d Dist.: Val Lents, St. Johns College, Annapolis

4th Dist.: Josh Cody, Vanderbilt University

5th Dist.: WARD LAMBERT, Purdue University

6th Dist.: Henry Iba, Northwest Missouri State
Teachers

7th Dist.: Francis Schmidt, S. M. U.

8th Dist.: Howard Beresford, Univ. of Colorado

9th Dist.: R. W. GILL, Oregon State College, Corvallis, Oregon

OFFICIALS COMMITTEE

A. A. Schabinger, Creighton University
George Keogan, Notre Dame University
E. A. Kelleher, Fordham University
B. L. Van Alstyne, Michigan State College

RESEARCH COMMITTEE

JOHN W. BUNN, Chairman, Stanford University
W. S. CHANDLER, Marquette University
DR. F. C. Allen, University of Kansas
CRAIG RUBY, University of Illinois
E. J. HICKOX, Springfield College
EVERETT S. DEAN University of Indiana
DR. W. E. MEANWELL, University of Wisconsin
DR. JAMES A. NAISMITH, University of Kansas

Fellow Coaches:

Herewith is presented another contact for our nembership. Everyone has a standing invitation to write in suggestions to improve the common cause. If you have some ideas and hesitate to send them in, then you should retain the ideas for the nest meeting. If you are behind in your dues, consider this as a bill and pay up. If in doubt as to your obligation, we can let you know how you stand. We can profit little from this organization unless everybody makes some contribution.

Now is the time to start to make your plans to go to Atlanta. Consult your Railroad agent as to the best rates. We expect to make application for convention rates and we must get 100 tickets and certificates to take advantage of this method. This calls for one and one third of the one way fare, for the round trip. There are tourist rates, short limit rates and other possibilities.

President Mundorff has a great program in the making. You will get full details in another bulletin, shortly after March 1. Fred Young says the Illinois Wesleyan team will be along for the exhivition games. The Pitt boys are saving their nickels. It is hoped some Missouri valley club will be able to make the trip. Add a Southern club to the above and we shall have a few games. Now is the time to make any suggestions to help this years convention.

The contributions to the bulletin were made without any dire throats to the contributors.


Your humble servant.

46-61 and

H. C. Carlson

THE INDIAN-DETOUR AND GRAND CANYON LINE

The Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Railway System




From Lawrence

To Lexington Ky. &

Itinerary of Your Trip Lawrence.

	ALCON AND OF	16/1 /s	A SEL FIL	1 1 2 1 2 11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		
	Station	Road	Time	Day	Date	
	Lv. Lawrence	Santa/Fe	12.44 PM	Mon	March 26	
	Ar. Kansas City	10 00	1.50 PM	88 00	11111 26	
	Lv. Kansas City	Mo/Pac	1.40 PM		26	
	Ar. St. Louis Mo.	10 mm/	9.20 PM	00 00	mm 26	
	Lv. St Louis	L/& N.	10.40 PM	00 00	m m 26	
	Ar. Louisville,		7.15 AM	Tue	27	
	Lv. Louisville	7 700 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 1	7.40 AM	11-11	27	
	Ar. Lexington Ky	0000	10.45 AM	00 00	1111 27	TUE
Or	Lv. St Louis	B & 0.	10.20 PM	Mon	10 10 26	
	Ar. Cincinnati	5 /000	7.45 AN	Tue	11 11 27	
10 10	Lv. Cincinnati	Southern	7.35 AN	00 00	10 00 27	
	Ar. Lexington Ky	2000 H	9.30 AN	08 00	10 10 27 .	
	Lv.					
1	Ar.					
0	LvAtlanta, (terminal Sta.	Southern	7.30 AN	o Thru S	leeper.	
	ArNew York NY	Penna	7. Al	Next	day	
9:	Lv. Atlanta ""	Southern	12.01 PN	Thru	Sleeper.	
	Ar. New York	Penna	9.10 AN	Mext"	day.	
0	Lv. Atlaita ""	Southern	5.15 PN			
	Ar. New York	Penna	4.17 PM	90 61		
Or	Lv. Atlanta ""	Southern	12.01 AN	Thru	sleeper.	
	Ar. New York	Penna	5.50 AN	Secon	d morning.	
	Lv.					NA P
	Ar. \			1		
Or	Lv. New York	New York Cent	ral-10.40	PM.	1 311	
		Michigna Centra	1. 5.30	PM. Nex	t day	MOORE
	Lv. New York	Pennasylvania	10.30 I	M.	8/15	
	Ar. Chicago		5.30 1	M.	98 66	-
	Lv. Chicago	Santa Fe	11.30 1	M M		
	Ar. Lawrence	100	1 10 1	M. Ziels	10 00	
145	Lv.					
	Ar. Rail fare Lawrence to	Lexington chair	car to St	Louis, the	via	
	Lv. I&N thru Louisville \$	17.36, upper sle	eper \$2.00	Lawrence	to Lexing	ton
	Archair car to St Louis, t	hen via B&O, Cin	cinnati ar	d Southerr	\$18.78,	1
	Lvupper sleeper \$3.00.Rai	1 fare Atlanta	to New Yor	k \$27.29,1	pper sleep	er
BOLLAUTO CO T. THO	Ar\$6.00, New York to Lawre	27 12 30 12 30	7 12		7///	
TO WAR	at St Louis, Louisville a					
0.1	Remarks: train for connecting nearrival and Central time	for departure				
SE SES	The Indian-detour—Motor trips take you t	through the colorful Indian pr	LHC Mar	ch 18 1934 d to the pre-histor	ic cliff-dwellings of	

The Indian-detour—Motor trips take you through the colorful Indian pueblos of today and to the pre-historic cliff-dwellings of yesterday, on your way to or from California. Santa Fe-Harvey Co., management. Ask for free picture-folders. Insist on including GRAND CANYON NATIONAL PARK among your stop-over points



THE NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF BASKETBALL COACHES OF THE UNITED STATES

1934 CONVENTION BULLETIN, ATLANTA, GEORGIA

Date: March 29-30-31. Assembly: 10:00 A.M., March 29th, Atlanta Athletic Club

Business meetings to be held mornings, nights and Saturday afternoon. Thursday and Friday afternoon for sightseeing (cars furnished) and golf tournament. Banquet (with entertainment) Friday night. Basketball exhibitions (20-minute sessions) on Thursday and Friday nights. Fittsburgh and Illinois Wesleyan have accepted. Kentucky and possibly an outstanding team from the Atlantic Coast will accept. Members will be admitted free to exhibitions which will be held in Atlanta Athletic Club gym.

Bring your golf clubs. East Lake Country Club (Bobby Jones' home course) will be at your disposal. A tournament (with prizes) will be open to members with handicaps applying. If sufficient number of ladies present, will put on bridge tournament. Bring her along. We hope the "Sunny South" will be sunny at that time.

Sightseeing tours will be given (no charge) to all attendants and their families. Tours will cover Atlanta and include Stone Mountain and Federal Penitentian

Reservations: There are three first class hotels within one block of the Atlanta Athletic Club at which the following rates have been allowed: Single--\$2.50, \$3.00, \$3.50, \$4.00; Double--twin beds \$5.00 and \$6.00. The Winecoff, Ansley, and Piedmont Hotels are the city's best and comfortable accommodations may be expected. All of the rooms with either shower or tub or combination shower and tub. If you will write Roy Mundorff, Georgia Tech, expressing your desires as to rooms, number in party, etc., he will take care of your reservation; or you may deal with any of the hotels directly. Upon your arrival in Atlanta go to the Athletic Club where an information booth will be at your service.

Transportation rates: It has been the custom for this organization to apply for rail rates which offer a round trip fare for one and one-way fare. This was contingent upon one hundred fifty tickets being sold. In recent years we have been unable to sell the necessary number and hence there were no rates available, This plan has been known as the "straight certificate" plan. Another plan is known as the "identification" method. This, before effective, must be investigated by the various passenger associations in the country and will be granted if the opinion of these associations is that approximately two hundred tickets could be sold. From past experience you can see that the railroads would turn down our application for the "identification" method. However, we have the railroads' agreement to allow us the "straight certificate" plan (fare and one-third) provided we get one hundred tickets. This I think can be done. Suppose we lack twenty or thirty of the required number. This may be unethical, but we can purchase these twenty or thirty tickets from some point near Atlanta so that the rate may be effective. So let each one of us be sure to get a certificate at time of purchasing railroad ticket. If all of us cooperate, I think we will get the required one hundred.

Be sure to be present. This meeting will be one that you will regret missing. "See the South in its shining glory" (Chamber of Commerce). It will be Easter and vacation time so make the most of it. If at all possible, Bobby Jones will be present.

DON'T MISS