

#3 Mr. L.W. St. John  
March 26, 1934

enjoy my sessions, sitting in with the meditative and efficient Rules Committee.

I am of the opinion that our annual questionnaires are out a little too late for us to get the greatest benefit from an annual study of these rules. I have listed and codified all of the answers that have come in this year. And, from my study of this group, I know how next to impossible it would be for any Questionnaire Committee to agree on such an important thing in so little time left before the rules are acted upon. I received about 125 of the questionnaires and immediately sent them out. To date I have received 46 odd in return.

I have listed after the coach's name C for college, U for university and H.S. for high school. I am sending you a copy of this chart, which I have compiled, so you can look it over. It is interesting. If you took a total of the questionnaires in the United States, it looks as if it is an almost impossible situation to arrive at a satisfactory ruling to favor the entire country.

Don't you think that we should adhere to our policy that unless we get an overwhelming opinion in favor or dis-favor of the rule that we should recommend to the groups that they should try projects out before we agree on a change.

For instance, John Bunn wrote me that he thought that the Pacific Coast Conference might do away with the center tip. Unless there is an over-whelming vote in favor of the center tip, why not leave the rule as it is, even though a conference or a section does away with it, and see how they get along with it before we change the rule. Perhaps, sentiment in that way will crystallize one way or another and the fellows who did away with the center-tip may be just as much dissatisfied with the game under the change and they may want to