

rules will enter into this because conferences do make changes in spite of the rule book. We, of course, want to have our Canadian friends with us and continue one book with an equal division of funds to all organizations interested in the publication of that book. I wish we might try this out for a year or two and when the time comes I feel confident we will want to continue on this equal basis of representation and distribution of funds."

Mr. Porter: "Naturally these matters have all been discussed in some of the High School Federation meetings, particularly in the executive committee meetings and I would say that taking the plan proposed by Mr. St. John in its entirety, I think the Federation would have no objection to the reorganization. You all know we have had something of the same situation in the colleges. No one would blame the N.C.A.A. for publishing their own rules as they are powerful enough and have enough basketball games. In some sections of the country right now the high school groups would vote to publish their own rules just as is done in football. Reorganization such as has been mentioned should be satisfactory. However, I would agree to the modifications which have been suggested here. I think the mechanics of publishing and distributing of the National Federation material are such that there is no good reason why they should not have the right to publish these rules exactly as made by the joint committee, in any form they please. The distribution of the funds in the plan suggested by Mr. St. John seems fair enough."

Mr. Rowe: "The National Federation, because of the fact that they have at the present time published a great deal of material, should be permitted the right to publish the basketball rules, absolutely as written by this body, but I do not think they should in any way participate in the earnings of the rules as published by the other bodies. In addition, I think the National Federation should pay its pro-rata share of running expense of the Federation including treasurer, office, honorarium given to the editor, etc."

Dr. Brown: "I have no disposition to advocate anything. I have my personal opinions and the Y.M.C.A. has its place as an organization. At a time when we were stronger in basketball interests we published our own guides. We had our own rules. The time came when we thought the game would be advanced by giving up one set of rules and publishing these rules. We did away with our own guide and discontinued to discuss basketball rules in any of our Physical Directors' Society Meetings. We were among the first to advocate the admission of the high school group, long before they were admitted and on an equal basis. We stayed in the Committee with a much smaller representation than we thought we were entitled to have. At the last annual meeting of our Physical Education Committee, instructions were given authorizing Mr. Metzdorf and myself, to negotiate and we would like to go forward with good will, with equal representation, equal distribution of funds and one guide. It becomes a question of what is best for basketball interests as a whole. Could we have an Editorial Committee that would actually publish the guide and incorporate advertising space that would add an equal footing to all? From year to year, perhaps, from a financial standpoint, any arrangement would change with a changing constituency. Anything but an even arrangement on every particular would always be subject to new negotiations and misunderstanding. What to my mind is likely, but I would hate to see it happen, is, that the colleges and high schools might publish their own rules and the other organizations cooperate and go forward excluding those two with the publication of official rules in a joint guide or handbook."