

Mr. Crocker: "In the meeting of the Canadian Intercollegiate Unions we had this question of rules before us and we were unanimous in saying that there was no disposition on our part for separate rules or for the publication of rules of 15 universities in Canada. We found that nine of them played with universities and YMCA's and athletic clubs in the United States last year and we had a large number of those units visit Canada. We feel the importance of the unification of rules; you have treated us generously in giving us a place on this joint rules committee. It is the feeling of the universities that more skillful games will be fostered by them. I certainly would like to see one rule book published on the North American Continent, as I believe this has done more in the last few years to help basketball, probably, than any other one thing."

Mr. St. John: "I believe in the principle of a single code, but I do not believe it necessarily follows that we must have a single publication. Any organization such as the High School Federation should not be denied the privilege of putting out a guide, in promulgating rules in any reasonable way, if they wish. I have been embarrassed personally by the attitude of our committee, that we could not afford to let the high schools publish their own handbook with our rules. The matter of distribution of funds would have to be settled in some manner. I do not know how we will resolve our different points of view as to what would be a fair distribution of the royalties on the sale of the guide for all of us, for three of us, or for two of us. I am ready and willing to negotiate this matter."

"The Executive Committee of the N.C.A.A. meets April 30 or May 4 and whatever we have to present to them with regard to our reorganization, I would feel it necessary to tell them and get their approval or disapproval or modification of it."

Mr. Barber: "The question seems to be a matter of distribution of the proceeds and there has been no suggestion as to how this may be successfully done."

Mr. Crocker: "As I understand the situation, even if the high schools did publish their own guide, this committee would probably publish a guide for the balance of the groups if there were only two or three."

Mr. Porter: "There is a tendency to make a mountain out of a mole hill. Perhaps this is due to a misunderstanding as to the way in which the Federation operates. There is no disposition on their part to publish a book that will take the place of this guide. I assume it would be exactly as it now is with the possible exception that they would not want to include the high school section when it comes to the abridged rules. This is a matter of convenience. A number of states have as many as 500 officials registered and they are certified, just as teachers are certified, and these books are distributed to these officials free, with the understanding that part of the dues which the official pays for his certificate goes toward that material. In addition, various interpretation meetings are held in which these materials are used. The dangers of publishing these rules separately have been over-emphasized. Incidentally, the Canadians asked for the same permission."

Mr. Rogers: "We agreed that there would be no deviation from the rules as published by this committee, but it was a question of the cost which kept us from doing anything about publishing them."

Dr. Brown: "The premium of the guide is on the rules to the extent that the rules are broadcast, and they cut into (only time will tell) the sale of the complete