

guide which the editor issues. If our complete guide is not of sufficient merit in and of itself as a means of promoting all that this committee stands for, possibly we should sell only the official rules and nothing else and get our royalty by charging more for copies of the official rules as they are, and insure to the committee from that royalty source sufficient funds to carry on its essential business."

Mr. Swaffield: "Would this not be the same as the permission that the Chartered Boards now have for their guide?"

Dr. Brown: "This is a difficult matter, because we pay 55¢ each for that guide and this committee gets a royalty and, furthermore, it goes to the men who are pushing basketball and those encouraging the sale of the guide as is because others cannot get it. When you let out the rules by the thousands at a very small cost you take away our chief source of income. If we urged everyone to do this, we should insure ourselves of sufficient royalty and ask 10¢ instead of 5¢ and stick together. When a man buys a guide, who does he represent, the college, high school, grammar school? I am thinking of basketball, of the joint committee, and not trying to pigeon hole the 'Y' in any of my relationships. Basketball is unique in sport, in age range, in its institutional range, in club life and its uniqueness is its greatest fascination to me. For the sake of the dollar, or a separate guide, is it more to be desired to start this division than to go forward together?"

Mr. St. John: "A year ago the 'separates' of the basketball guides were made available for high school or other use. The State high school secretary in Columbus, Ohio, bought 1,000 and distributed them gratis to the high schools of the State. It is my intimation that this did not affect in any appreciable way the sale of basketball guides in the State of Ohio."

Dr. Brown: "That might conceivably be so the first year, but as other folks learned that this man had got a cheap, concise book, they might hold off to try to get one like that."

Mr. Salmon: "As a matter of factual presentation to the committee, I wish to state that last summer I had occasion to go over the records with John Doyle, from 1920, and there is a direct inverse ratio as between the issues and sale of 'separates' and sale of the guide. In those years when there were more 'separates' there were less sales of guides."

MOTION by Dr. Brown:

Seconded by Mr. Ornstein.

That we continue our joint committee on an equal basis of representation insofar as the four major organizations are concerned and that the two Canadian representatives be included, with the further understanding that the Editor be an additional member of this committee; the size of the committee to be not less than four (4) for each of the four major groups rather than 3 as suggested in the plan proposed.

(not carried.)

Mr. Porter: "This plan ought to be on the plan as suggested and presented by the Chairman."