

The original unpleasantness was first precipitated by the Univ. of Oklahoma authorities, Mr Kraft, Mr Haskell and Mr. Drake when they each designated the disqualification of Gerald Tucker as a Pearl Harbor or a sneak attack and directly charged that F.C. Phay Allen was responsible for it.

In replying to the attack of these Oklahoma gentlemen upon me, I in turn exposed flagrant athletic irregularities of the school.

I desire to point out that I very properly wrote my Superior Prof. W. Davis and Edwin Henry

calling attention to the breach of athletic rules on the part of the U. of Okla concerning the Gerald Tucker case. To this day I have had no word from Mr. Henry but Prof. Davis acted very

promptly on the matter. Instead of keeping this communication with the personal attack within due bounds the Oklahoma authorities launched a ~~series of~~ ^{series of} personal attacks upon me. The Oklahoma authorities are also negligent athletes Messrs Kraft, Haskell & Drake

until this attack upon me had made its public point that before I wrote Prof Davis & Mr. Henry there already had appeared a feature article in the Okla Star airing the Tucker case. Two protests are from Topeka

The other from Manhattan had inquired of the Star how Mr Tucker could be included in the Okla basket ball lineup. He already had played ^{for} ~~for~~ and was clearly ineligible so the enquirers stated.

Mr. Harold Kuth the sports writer of the Okla Star endeavored to explain why Oklahoma considered Tucker eligible to play as he explained Oklahoma's version in Sunday's Star. The cardinal weakness of the Big Six Conference is that there is no enforcing agency hence it seems that the school that breaks the most athletic rules is apparently the most successful on account of no practiced inhibition. Personally I believe in subscribing to the rules of an organization