USE OF 15 SPECIAL CONVEX BACKBOARDS

RESULTS OF VOTES BY VISITING COACHES
TO BASKETBALL COACHING SCHOOLS AFTER
TESTING SAMPLE BOARDS

COMMENTS BY COACHES AND OTHERS

With skilled erection crews located in all major cities it was possible for us to include in our offer of a sample board to numerous Coaching Schools, YMCA's, etc., an offer to supply the labor necessary to dismantle existing equipment, install the demonstration board, and later return the owner's backboard to its original position.

It should be noted, when considering the votes below, that in every instance it was impossible to obtain votes from all coaches attending the various schools. In some cases only a few of those present co-operated by filling in and forwarding the ballots. At other schools a majority of coaches in attendance complied with the request for an expression.

Unfortunately, a few coaching schools accepted our offer of a convex demonstration board but failed to take a vote. However, it is believed that the significant factor in the results obtained was the large percent of favorable ballots cast for this new thought in backboards.

IN ALL CASES THE ORIGINAL INDIVIDUAL VOTES RECEIVED AS A RESULT OF DEMONSTRATIONS WERE TABULATED AND DEPOSITED WITH MR. H. V. PORTER, SECRETARY, NATIONAL BASKETBALL COMMITTEE.

Coaching Schools returning ballots and break-down of opinions are as follows:

	Total Votes Cast	In Favor	Against	No Decision
Butler University Coaching School Paul Hinkle Indianapolis, Indiana	32	15	12	5
University of Kentucky Coaching School Adolph Rupp Lexington, Kentucky	43	24	18	1
Utah State University Coaching School Forrest Cox Logan, Utah	57	53	4	x
Duke University Coaching School Wallace Wade Durham, North Carolina	10	7	1	2
University of Indiana Coaching School Branch McCracken Bloomington, Indiana	35	11	22	2