Over a period of 24 years, the "Grads" have won 482 games and lost but 20 (official contests); included in our losses are six against men's teams. I therefore feel that there can't be much wrong with the methods I have been using, but I am sorry to say that I can detect among my players a feeling that I am terribly old-fashioned in my plays. Naturally, unless one's players are "sold" 100% on the coach's ability, there is sure to be a falling off in efficiency.

For many years--in fact, ever since it was my good fortune to meet you--I have spoken of your remarkable work as something to be admired, and the thought occurred to me that it would help to restore any confidence which has been lost if I knew that your team, for instance, specialized on the fast-breaking attack, and a man-to-man defense. Perhaps you don't--in which case I shall be glad to know that, too.

In the current issue of the Athletic Journal, I checked through the account of every state tournament, and it is safe to say that the vast majority of teams reported upon are using the style of play which I am teaching here. For instance, in the report of the South Carolina tournament (page 9), I note this comment: "Our offense was fast, short-passing and quick breaks. I believe this style of play makes a better game, and certainly a more interesting one." Those are my ideas exactly.

We are having our annual meeting on Thursday, June 15, and if you can find time to drop me a line before that time I shall be most grateful.

Sincerely yours,