The group above 90% consisted of 13 players; the two highest in this group were forwards. Three centers and eight guards composed the remainder of the list. The next group consisted of 22 players, four of whom were centers, seven were guards, and eleven were forwards. The group below 80% contained eleven players, three centers, four forwards and four guards.

It should be pointed out that out of the high eight players from the standpoint of playing efficiency, four of these players belong to School D, and that the players ranked one and two in evaluation points carned per minute also were from the same team. Also, it should be noted that two players of School D were ranked among those that were listed with zero ball handling errors.

On the basis of the data presented in Table V it would be interesting to select an all-opposition team.

## Summary and Conclusion

Research of this type depends to a great extent upon the accuracy of the observers. Realizing this, the observers were very carefully selected from student majors and other interested students. The same observers were used in all the games and there is every reason to believe that the results are very nearly correct.

It is the opinion of the writers that this study has merit because:

- 1. It points out mistakes made during a game, thus causing the players to be more conscious of them.
- 2. It stresses the importance of game fundamentals.
- 3. It provides an itemized history of the contest.
- 4. It makes possible a more accurate means of comparing individuals and teams.

### TABLE I

### ITEMS USED IN BASKETBALL EVALUTAION 1939 STUDY

FFENSIVE	Weight in evaluation po	int
A. Positive items		
1. Field goals 2. Free throws	10	
3. Immediate assists	4	
4. Secondary assists	3	
5. Recovers ball off own backboard	2	
6. Recovers teammate's jump ball	1	
7. Recovers opponent's fumble	1	
8. Good pass to a teammate	1	
9. Catches teammate's pass		
B. Negative items		
1. Error of omission		
2. Held ball forced by opponent		
3. Fumbles ball and it goes out of bow		
4. Fumbles ball and it is obtained by	epponent	
5. Taps ball out of bounds		
6. Wild pass out of bounds	5	
7. Wild pass to an opponent		
8. Violation of rules	0	
9. Offensive personal foul		
DEFENSIVE		
A. Positive items		
1. Blocking opponent's shot	4	
2. Recovery from opponent's backboard	4	
3. Intercepting opponent's dribble	3	
4. Intercepting opponent's pass	2	
5. Forcing held ball with opponent	2	
6. Batting ball from opponent's hands	and recovering 2	
7. Batting ball from opponent's hands		
	recovering 1	
8. Cuts off opponent's pass, but not r	ecovering	
B. Negative items		
1. Fouling opponent with ball	8	
2. Fouling opponent without ball	8	

# AVERAGES OF THE KANSAS TEAM 1938-39 Study

	1938 Nine Game Averages	1939 Eight Game Ave.	17 Game Averages
Score	42.7	40.3	41.5
Goals attempted	61.5	72.8	66.8
Goals made	16.5	15.6	16.1
Free throws attempted	16	16.1	16.1
Free throws made	9.56	9	9.3
Personal fouls	10.2	12.1	11.1
Offensive personal fouls	.78	,88	.82
Violations	3.7	3.8	3.7
Rebounds from own backboard	21.3	15	18.3
Rebounds from opponent's backboard	22.3	27.4	24.7
Total passes and catches	706.3	728.6	716.8
Wild passes	7.57	3.5	5.6
Held balls obtained by opponent	3.1	3.3	3.2
Fumbles	6.1	2.9	5.2
Recovers jump ball	10.8	7.1	9.1
Offensive positive eval. points	1103	1055	1080.4
Offensive negative eval. points	73.2	48.4	61.5
Defensive positive eval. points		144	
Defensive negative eval. points		97	
Net eval. points per player per minute of play	5.14	5.3	5.2
Immediate assists	13	13.4	13.2
Secondary assists	11	11.3	11.1

### TEAM SUMMARY

											Efficiencies					
1 E A W	シャックの	God is made	To ot Goals Made	Free Throws Ma	Yoor F. T. Made	Lersanal Fouls.	Toral Rasses	Mense Erroring	Freezenthang	Mecovery of Re-	Violations.	OFFENSIVE 9'0	DEFERSIVEGO	Composite of	Eva L. Points	
Kansas	27 20	8	14.5	9 8	52.9	15	732	20	1.1	32 27	2 8	95.4	52.0	86.9	890 754	
Kansas														89.2		
Kansas														86.1		
Kansas	* 500													88.4		
Kansas					36.4									92.5		
Kansas														88.7		
Kansas														89.9		
Kansas		23												91.2		
Ka nsas Totals		125	21.5	72	55.8	104	5829	77	1.3	339	30	95.6	59.8	89.2	8053	
Opp. Totals	269	102	21.7	65	55.6	112	4372	99	2.2	245	28	93.9	48.5	84.8	5932	

						YER A 1938-	NALYSIS 39)	Playi	· C5		
** Ployer	Position	Mim. Played	*Scoring Index	* Points Scored	Pointspor Game	Games Mayed	*Ball Handling Error ofong	* OFFENSIVE of	DEFENSIVE 90	* 2-92.9 * 50 m posite 9	*Frat. Points
										3-92.4	
										6-90.4	
D	f	4-187.5	1-1383	1-73	9.1	8	7-1.8	9-93.4	4-73.	5-90.6	6-5.5
E	f	5-150	3-759	3-47	7.8	6	9-1.9	8-94.3	10-37.2	9-83.4	9-4.5
F	f	6-140.5	6-386	6-26	3.3	8	4-1.1	3-97.8	8-54.1	4-90.9	7=-4.9
G	g	7-105	8-268	9-15	2.5	6	11-2.9	7-94.6	5-67.3	8-88.5	7월-4.9
H	c,f	8-91.5	5-426	7-20	2.9	7	3-0.9	1-99.0	1-82.4	1-96.4	4-5.9
I	f	9-67.5	9-207	8-17	4.3	4	9-2.4	10-90.8	11-29.2	10-74.6	10-3.1
J	g	10-48.5	10-81	10-6	1.5	4	10-2.6	11-89.6	12-26.2	11-74.1	11-2.6
K	f	11-33.5	12-7	11-2	.4	5	12-9.2	12-79.4	6-57.9	12-73.6	12-2
L	C	12-21.5	11-25	12-2	•5	4	6-1.5	5-97.5	7-55.6	7-89.7	1-7.1

Position - guard, forward, center

<sup>\*</sup> Indicates player rank in squad.

<sup>\*\*</sup>This includes the players who had a playing time of more than 20 minutes on the home court.