Miss Ruth Hoover, Dr. E. R. Elbel, Department of Physical Education.

Dear Miss Hoover and Dr. Elbel:

I understand that the Chancellor's Office is not at all happy with the catalog copy submitted, and I desire to have a conference with you in my office early next week to go over the matter. The copy goes to the State Printer on December 1st.

last April 7th I wrote Dean Lawson as follows: "I have just had a conference with some members of our faculty regarding the small number enrolled in some of our classes. They tell me that where there were small enrollments in advanced work and a sizeable number in intermediate work, that they desired to put them together, but there was objection from the College Office in our doing this."

I distinctly remember that in my conference with you I found myself agreeing with the administration favoring the reduction of offerings. I recall telling you that the budget committee was of the opinion that due to the large number of courses in our department we should delete some of our offerings.

I am enclosing a copy of a letter from Dean Lawson dated April 9th in which he brings up this question: Do we really need to have Elementary, Intermediate and Advanced courses in swimming, tennis, fencing, tap dancing, etc.?

Henry Shenk came to me the other day and stated that Mr. Mott would like an advanced course in Equitation, and I told him that was out of the question, and not to consider it because the tendency is very definitely in the other direction.

So far as I am concerned, I would be in favor of having elementary and advanced courses, cutting out the intermediate sections. We have simply got to do something, and in my opinion, we can do a pretty good job of teamwork with the administration.

Will you please make an appointment with Miss Gowans for Monday morning?

Very sincerely yours,