e decond Juess

By VIRGIL CORY

Monday Is a Quiet Day, So Let's Talk About the Granc American College Sport of Football, Its Schedules and Its Pay Rolls.

THAT there's "growing pains" in the great college sport of football is I no secret. From good old New Haven to Westwood Village in Los Angeles' suburbs, the fall sport has been in for more than its share of criticism this season and Wichita fans are pushing their noses right into

the discussion too.

personal opinions, and neither are they beliefs of any one man; they've been thrust on to this department by a number of students of the game and the serious manner in which they have been relayed carries some weight.

Here's two suggestions that these

local fans bring out plainly:

1. Colleges should play more than eight or nine games a season.

2. The hypocrisy of colleges with million-dollar mortgages on huge grid bowls should stop. They should pay their players openlyand pay them what they're worth as drawing cards.

The second suggestion is well known, but the first was slightly surprising to us. Why should colleges play more than eight or nine

games every season?

"Because they're not teaching a young man football in all its phases under the present method," snap exponents of this idea.

"Just look at the pros-they play. In compiling a schedule to fit this play to get the best out of a sea- games could be arranged son."

"In colleges the boys don't handle a football until they snow up around September 1. Then they practice for a month. About October 5 they usually play their first game. Then they play once a week until Thanksgiving and that's just eight short weeks.

"After they've played their first couple of games, most universities don't even have their players scrimmage, fearing that their stars will suffer a hurt that will lose some sport.

"Now if colleges played about 18 games a season the boys could get into action in regular play about September 15, and carry on to about December 5. When they wind up their season they'll still be in fine shape and will know more about football than they can learn in three seasons otherwise."

One of the men who believes in the above statements played his

football in 1898-99.

"In our day football was so rough that we had to keep our schedule down to eight or 10 games," he said. "Nowadays if a player even slips and falls in an open field he's down. That's just one example of the fact that football is much easier now than it used to be. To make it up and toughen these boys as they should, why don't they play more games?" Just to get an idea from a pro-circles.

The following facts are not fessional football player we called up Pete Bausch, who was selected on the United Press all-star pro team this season. Pete plays center with the Boston Redskins.

Pete said, "Yes, I finished our season feeling like I could play a half dozen more games. Let's see, we played 19 games this year and ·12 of these were league contests."

Bausch agreed heartily with the idea that more games on a college schedule would help players.

"I've learned more since I've played with the Boston pros than I ever learned in my life before," he admitted. "In college we never had time to digest the reasons why we were supposed to block this man or to run this direction. We did it blindly. In pro football we have enough games that we can learn every detail of the sport slowly and surely as the season progresses. Yes, I would certainly say that colleges could play about 20 games a season with more benefit than the usual number of eight or nine games."

from 18 to 24 games a season and proposed plan, it is suggested by are in fine condition all of the several that regular Saturday games time," these men continue, "These would still be in order. Say play 10 pros know just how much they can contests on Saturdays. Ten more

Wednesday nights.

This would take the nervewracking emphasis off "national titles" because few teams wolud go through long seasons unbeaten.

What do you boys who play football this season think of a 20-game

schedule?

In speaking of hypocrisy of big colleges in paying players Pete Bausch told of the standing joke in the national pro league. It's the kidding that big college stars get when they join pro ranks.

dollars at the gate on Saturday aft "Well, Joe, you sure had to take ernoon. Why in this day and age a cut in pay when you signed up a young man who wants to learn with the pros this year, didn't you?" football has to go on pro ranks in an all-American player from Alaorder to learn anything about the bama, U. S. C., Pittsburgh or other schools is razzed. And it's true, Bausch claims. Many of the college heroes make more money in college than they are making now as a pro.

"And to think that I used to have to borrow money to pay my tuition at Kansas university," Bausch moans, "I must have been plenty dumb in those days not to have me a manager at a 10 per cent cut to get me a \$300 monthly salary at a big school. Some of the

guys get that now."

And so the battle rages on over football. Anyhow, we've decided, as long as everyone at least is in-1 terested in criticising football, the dollars are still going to be shoved into the box office windows. And, pardon us if we are influenced by some of these gents we've been talking to, and say that's all that matters in the class A college grid