

played at the University of Kansas and was my assistant coach here before going out to the Pacific Coast. They all do it out there and nobody has any qualms about it.

A shameful procedure happened a few years ago in our own Big Six Conference, when it was necessary to resort to the application of a retro-active rule to make Jim Bausch a professional, although he was hired by an insurance company at \$75.00 a month, a thing that is practiced and has been practiced for years by athletes of other schools which are members of conferences many, many times older than the Big Six Conference.

Now, regarding the effect and solution that you mentioned in your letter, I had touched upon this on page 3, but perhaps did not get enough of the dramatic effect that you desired. I also touched upon the morale of youth on pages 1 and 2, but I imagine not strongly enough. You have my permission to tone this up in keeping with the negative side of the question and I am sure that you can do it better than I can by having the two articles before you.

One observation that I would like to make is that one of the requirements for a Rhodes Scholarship is that the applicant must be outstanding in at least one sport. The English idea is that an individual should be proficient in some sport to be a gentleman. In other words, the English encourage the physical skill idea, while apparently the American educator looks upon this skill with suspicion.

Football is no longer a sport - it is a business. There is not a college, outside of Johns Hopkins University and one or two others, that does not subsidize athletes. This produces hypocrisy and mass perjury, as I stated. I have coached football in this conference and in the Missouri conference for ten years, and I know as athletic director during the past eighteen years what is practiced by most football coaches and their colleagues. The college presidents are afraid of the alumni. And the only way that this racket will ever be corrected is by abolishing the American game of football and substituting the Canadian game. Most American colleges who have played both of them say the Canadian game is much superior.

The other method, and the one that I would recommend, is that the question of eligibility should be solely one of academic credit, with permission for athletic scholarships as well as any other special scholarships which are offered by alumni and other beneficiaries. This is the one that you have permission to print. This would do away with hypocrisy, and not make a boy a part of the cheating plan that is now infamous and most degrading to the welfare of the youth. The other sports outside of football do not draw the gate that makes proselyting and professionalizing of these boys profitable.

If the Federal government has the license on liquor and other deterrents to the physical and moral health of the individual, I imagine the college administrator could figure that football with all its corrupt practices is legitimate as it contributes to intramurals. But I think it is a very weak point.