

10. Wild passes: non-conference, 8.5; out of bounds, 2.75; to an opponent, 5.75
conference, 6.8; out of bounds, 2.6; to an opponent, 4.2
11. Held balls obtained by opponents: non-conference, 2
conference, 4
12. Fumbles: non-conference, 6.25; out of bounds, 3.25; obtained by opponent, 3
conference, 6.0; out of bounds, 3.0; obtained by opponent, 3
13. Tapped ball out of bounds: non-conference, 1.25
conference, 1.4
14. Tapped and recovered own jump ball: non-conference, .5 times
conference, no times
15. Recovers teammate's jump ball: non-conference, 13.75
conference, 8.4
16. Assists: non-conference, 25.25; immediate, 13.5; secondary, 11.75
conference, 23.0; immediate, 12.6; secondary, 10.4
17. Evaluation points: non-conference, $1132.75 - 69 = 1063.75$
conference, $1079.2 - 76.6 = 1002.6$
18. Evaluation points per minute of play: non-conference, 26.59
conference, 25.07
19. Evaluation points per score: non-conference, 25.36
conference, 23.22
20. Playing efficiency: non-conference, 94.3%
conference, 93.4%
21. Ball handling error rate: non-conference, 2.2%
conference, 2.5%

It is interesting to note that the scores are almost identical and that the number of goals are about the same. However, in the conference games, the team took 13 less shots per game. This means that the team's shooting average was considerably better, being 29.4% for the conference games and 24.4% for the non-conference games. From the standpoint of ball handling, there were 24 more passes and 31 more catches per game in the non-conference matches than in the conference games. Both the playing efficiency and ball