number of times chances for errors were present. By using the formula given in definition of terms, No. 8, an index was established. The guards handled the ball more often than did the forwards, and the two players with the lowest ball handling error rate (players A and G) are guards. However, player G also played as a forward. The lowest error rate for a forward was 2.0 for player F.

In order to find further ratings for the purpose of analysis, the scores from the evaluation chart were computed on a point per minute basis and a rating from 1 to 13 given the various players. The material in this chart (Table VII) is of value until one reaches the players with only a few minutes of playing time. Here the chart breaks down because these players did not perform all of the items mentioned, and are rated too highly. This material is discussed somewhat in the summaries dealing with the individual players.

TABLE VII.

Rating on Activities, Per Minute*																				
	Posttion	Time played	Eval.Points	Coals per min	Free throws	Personal foul	Timed. assitt	per min.	Ball off opp.	Ball off our	Dackboard Dermann		Recovers tm.	Good passes	Good catches	Fumbles ber	min. passes	per min.	Negative poil	
A	g	2	T	2 5	2 3	5	8		8	TOTAL SECURITION OF	T 7		10	5	3	TA	9	-5	9	
C	0"	3	7	8	11	9	10		i	1	3		2	4	7	4	6		5	
n	C	4	2	7	9	10			10					Service of the servic	. 2	9	3		3	
						2												.5	10	
						11			A / City and Control of the Control	Secretary and the second secon										
						12														
TO THE WAY TO SEE THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF				The U.S. of Particular Control of the Control of th		8		Committee to the second	THE REST OF STREET, ST						13	The state of the s			The state of the s	
I	f	9	11	4	8	1	9		3		2	4 42	7	12	11	3	7		8	
						3.5														
K	ge	11	6	12	12	7	11		11		8		6	2	4.5	10	2)	4	
L	g	12	13	13	13	3.5	12.	.5	5		6		5	8	6	11	11		13	
						13							13	6	4.5	9	13		11	

[#] A ranking of 1 is the best performance.