

TABLE VIII.

Offensive Ability Rankings

Player	Position*	Varsity ranking	Freshmen's ranking	Coach's ranking	Scoring ability	Ball Handl- ing Errors	Playing efficiency
A	g	1	1	1	1	2	1
B	f, c	3	2	2	2	7	3
C	g, c	2	3	3	3	3	2
D	c	2	4	4	4	4	3
E	f	6	6	7	6	12	12
F	f	5	5	5	7	5	6
G	f, g	9	9	9	9	1	5
H	f, p	10	12	11	5	13	7
I	f, p	7	8	8	8	11	9
J	f	8	7	6	10	8	10
K	g, c	12	10	10	11	6	4
L	g	11	11	12	13	9	13
M	f	13	13	13	12	10	11

\* guard, forward, center.

At the close of the season a letter was sent to the 16 letter men of the varsity and the 17 numeral men on the freshman squad. (Sample letter and rating blank, Exhibits C and D) These 33 boys were asked to rate the 13 varsity players on their offensive playing ability. The 13 players included in the study were ranked by 21 players and the coach. On the basis of offensive playing ability, these rankings plus other significant rankings from the evaluation data are shown in Table VIII.

Of particular interest is the similiarity of the rankings that were given by the varsity, the freshmen and the coach. There are only 3 players where the disagreement is more than 2 rankings apart. All are unanimous on 5 players. It should be remembered that in spite of the apparent discrepancies between the judgment ratings and the computed ratings, the latter are built up of