CHAIRMAN, L. W. St. JOHN Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio VICE-CHAIRMAN, H. H. SALMON, JR. 40 Wall St., New York, N. Y.

SECRETARY, H. V. PORTER
11 So. LaSalle St., Chicago, Illinois

TREASURER, FLOYD A. ROWE Board of Education, Cleveland, Ohio

NATIONAL BASKETBALL COMMITTEE of the UNITED STATES AND CANADA

	1937 ANNUAL Q	UES	TIONNAIRE
This	s questionnaire is sent annually to representative basket	ball me	n of the country in order that their views may be
presented at the annual meeting of the National Committee. The Committee will be grateful if you will check these answers and RETURN THE QUESTIONNAIRE PROMPTLY to Dr. F.C. Allen, Dir. of Ath U. of Kansas, Lawrence, Kans.			
The	Committee Member or State Athletic Officer whose name		
	to H. V. Porter, 11 South LaSalle Street, Chicago, Illi		
1.	Concerning rule changes which were made last spring:	4.	Concerning the three second lane rule (check one)
	a. Is the new rule on communication satisfac-		a. Present rule is satisfactory
	tory? Yes. No		b. Remove all three second lane restriction
	b. Do you favor the restraining circle around center circle? Yes. No		c. Make restriction apply only to lane and half the circle.
	c. Do you favor the rule which allows four charged time out periods? Yes No	5.	a. Would you favor having all jumps following held ball at the nearest one of the three restraining circles? Yes. No
	d. Do you favor the present rule which allows a defensive player to strike the ball and hand of an opponent while the hand is on the ball? Yes		b. If (a) should not be adopted and the center jump should be eliminated would you favor retaining the center restraining circle? Yes
2.	Concerning center jumps: a. Do you favor eliminating the center jump following a field goal? Yes	6.	In your territory is the rule relative to "guarding from the rear" being properly enforced? Yes
		7	Would you favor making the free throw lanes
	b. If (a) should be adopted, ball should be thrown in (check one)		wider? Yes No
	(1) Immediately from end of court	8.	Would you favor changing the rule so that touching the ring or net is a violation even when the ball is not on or in the basket? Yes. No
	(3) From end of division line after being	•	
3.	Should the following be legalized for those schools that choose to use the following modifications:	9.	Would you favor having the proper bouncing reaction of a ball specified and the requiring of factory tests which would determine the legal pressure which would secure that reaction and which would be stamped on the ball? Yes.
	a. Backboards which would be placed four feet		No
	inside the end lines. Yes No	10.	If any particular section of the rules needs
	b. Baskets which deviate from the customary single net and ring type (such as the double ring recording device which causes a light	^	improvement give rule and section number
	to flash). Yes No	Comment on reverse side.	
	c. A smaller backboard designed so that more		QUESTIONNAIRE COMMITTEE W. E. MEANWELL
	spectators at the end of the court will be able		SAMUEL ROGERS

J. W. ST. CLAIR OSWALD TOWER, EDITOR H. V. PORTER, CHAIRMAN

to see the ball go through the goal. Yes.....