

Nine Game Averages

1. Score: ~~average~~ of 42.7 points
2. Goals: ~~average~~ shots attempted 61.5; ~~average~~ made 16.7; % 27.1
3. Free throws: ~~average~~ attempted 16; ~~average~~ made 9.33; % 58.3
4. Personal fouls: ~~average~~ 10.2
5. Offensive personal fouls: ~~average~~ .78
6. Violations: ~~average~~ 3.7
7. Rebounds from own backboard: ~~average~~ 21.3
8. Rebounds from opponent's backboard: ~~average~~ 22.3
9. Passes and good catches: 359.1 passes and 345 catches
10. Wild passes: total 7.57; out of bounds 2.67; to opponents 4.9
11. Held balls: obtained by opponents 3.1
12. Fumbles: total 6.1; out of bounds 3.1; to opponents 3
13. Tapped ball: out of bounds 1.3
14. Jump ball: tapped and recovered own jump ball .55
15. Jump ball: recovers teammate's jump ball 10.8
16. Assists: total 24; immediate 13; secondary 11
17. Evaluation points: $1091.2 - 73.2 = 1018$ points per game
18. Evaluation points per minute of play: 5.09 points
19. Evaluation points per score: 23.9 points

Four non-conference and Five conference games

1. Score: non-conference average 42 points
conference " 43.2 points
2. Goals: non-conference average shots attempted 68.75; ave. made 17; % .247
conference " " " 55.8 " " 16.4; % .294
3. Free throws:
non-conference average shots attempted 14.0; ave. made 8.00; % 57.1
conference " " " 17.6 " " 10.4; % 59.9
4. Personal fouls: non-conference average 10.5
Conference " 10.0
5. Offensive personal fouls: non-conference average .25
conference " 1.2
6. Violations: non-conference average 3
conference " 4.2
7. Rebounds from own backboard: non-conference average 22.0
conference " 20.6
8. Rebounds from opponent's backboard: non-conference average 19.0
conference " 25.0
9. Good passes and good catches: non-conference good passes, 374.75; catches, 362
conference " " 346.6 " 331.4
10. Wild passes: non-conference, 8.5; out of bounds, 2.75; to an opponent, 5.75
conference, 7.0; " " 2.6 " " 4.4
11. Held balls obtained by opponents: non-conference, 2
conference 4
12. Fumbles: non-conference, 6.25; out of bounds, 3.25; obtained by opponent, 3
conference 6.0 " " 3.0 " " 3
13. Tapped ball out of bounds: non-conference, 1.25
conference 1.4
14. Tapped and recovered own jump ball: non-conference, .5 times
conference no times
15. Recovers teammate's jump ball: non-conference, 13.75
conference 8.4
16. Assists: non-conference, 25.25; immediate 13.5; secondary, 11.75
conference 23.0 " 12.6 " 10.4
17. Evaluation points: non-conference, 1134 - 1065 eval. pts. = 69 eval. points
conference 1057.4 - 980.4 " " = 76.6 " "
18. Evaluation points per minute of play: 5.09
19. Evaluation points per score: 23.9

In Three Conference Games records were made on Both Teams

1. Scores: Opponents 102
Kansas 139
2. Goals: Opponents took 184 shots, made 39 goals; average ⁷⁰ 212
Kansas " 165 " " 56 " " 339
3. Free Throws: Opponents took 44 shots, made 24; average ⁷⁰ 545
Kansas " 42 " " 27 " " 643
4. Personal fouls: Opponents 36
Kansas 27
Offensive personal fouls:
5. Both teams made 3 personal fouls while they had the ball and called offensive fouls.
6. Violations: Opponents 16
Kansas 15
7. Rebounds off own backboard: Opponents recovered 51
Kansas " 70
8. Rebounds off opponent's backboard: Opponents recovered 34
Kansas " 78
9. Good passes and good catches: Opponents, 607 good passes; 485 catches
Kansas 1023 " " 998 "
10. Wild passes: Opponents, 20; 6 out of bounds, 14 to an opponent
Kansas 19; 6 out of bounds, 13 to an opponent
11. Fumbles: Opponents, 20; 9 out of bounds, 11 to an opponent
Kansas 20; 10 out of bounds, 10 to an opponent
12. Tapped ball out of bounds: Opponents, 4 times
Kansas 4 times
13. Held balls: Opponents obtained 17
Kansas " 16
14. Jump ball: Opponents tapped and recovered own jump ball 1 time
Kansas " " " " " " no times
15. Jump ball: Opponents recovered teammate's jump ball 32 times
Kansas " " " " 23 "
16. Assists: Opponents made 54 assists; 29 immediate, 25 secondary
Kansas " 77 " 41 " 36 "
17. Evaluation points: Opponents, 1995 positive; 244 negative
Kansas 3210 " 237 "
18. Evaluation points per minute of play: 5.09
19. Evaluation points per score: 23.9

TABLE II

EVALUATION CHART DATA, NINE GAMES

	Nine home games		4 Non-conference	5 Conference
	Totals	Average	games, average	games, average
1. Score	384	42.7	42.0	43.2
2. Goals attempted	554	61.5	68.75	55.8
3. Goals made	150	16.7	17.0	16.4
4. Percentage	27.1	27.1	24.7	29.4
5. Free throws attempted	144	16.0	14.0	17.6
6. Free throws made	84	9.33	8.0	10.4
7. Percentage	58.3	58.3	57.1	59.9
8. Personal fouls	92	10.2	10.5	10.0
9. Offensive personal fouls	7	.78	.25	1.20
10. Violations	33	3.7	3.0	4.2
11. Rebounds recovered from own backboard	191	21.3	22.0	20.6
12. Rebounds recovered from opponent's backboard	201	22.3	19.0	25.0
13. Good Passes	3232	359.1	374.75	346.6
14. Good catches	3105	345.0	362.0	331.4
15. Wild passes to out of bounds	24	2.67	2.75	2.60
16. Wild passes to opponents	44	4.9	5.75	4.4
17. Fumbled ball out of bounds	28	3.1	3.25	3.0
18. Fumbled ball to an opponent	27	3.0	3.0	3.0
19. Held balls obtained by opponents	28	3.1	2.0	4.0
20. Tapped ball out of bounds	12	1.3	1.25	1.4
21. Tapped and recovered own jump ball	2	.55	.5	0.0
22. Recovers teammate's jump ball	97	10.8	13.75	8.4
23. Immediate assists	117	13.0	13.5	12.6
24. Secondary assists	99	11.0	11.75	10.4
25. Total evaluation points	9162	1018	1065	980.4
26. Positive evaluation points	9821	1091.2	1134	1057.0
27. Negative evaluation points	659	73.2	69	76.6
28. Evaluation points per minute of play	5.09	5.09	5.33	4.9
29. Evaluation points per score	23.9	23.9	25.4	22.7

TABLE III

EVALUATION CHART DATA, THREE FINAL
HOME CONFERENCE GAMES

	Opponent's totals	Home team totals
1. Score	102	139
2. Goals attempted	184	165
3. Goals made	39	56
4. Percentage	21.2	33.9
5. Free throws attempted	44	42
6. Free throws made	24	27.77
7. Percentage	54.5	64.3
8. Personal fouls	36	27
9. Offensive personal fouls	3	3
10. Violations	16	15
11. Rebounds recovered from own backboard	51	70
12. Rebounds recovered from opponent's backboard	34	78
13. Good passes	607	1023
14. Good catches	485	998
15. Wild passes to out of bounds	4	4
16. Wild passes to opponents	14	13
17. Fumbled ball out of bounds	9	10
18. Fumbled ball to an opponent	11	10
19. Held balls obtained by opponents	17	16
20. Tapped ball out of bounds	6	6
21. Tapped and recovered own jump ball	1	0
22. Recovers teammate's jump ball	32	23
23. Immediate assists	29	41
24. Secondary assists	25	36
25. Total evaluation points	1751	2979
26. Positive evaluation points	1995	3216
27. Negative evaluation points	244	237
28. Evaluation points per minute of play	2.92	4.97
29. Evaluation points per score	17.2	20.8

Researches in Basket Ball
Dept of P.E. etc (1)
Personnel

~~With certain changes in the basket ball
rules~~

With the elimination of the center
jump the desire was to ~~find out~~ ^{ascertain} certain
facts concerning the so-called fast
break that the new rules might encourage.

The Big Six Conference coaches
agreed in a pre-season committee meeting
to gather and send in certain
facts from all of their conference ^{contests}.

All held balls or jump balls
were ~~thrown~~ ^{tossed} up at the nearest 6 foot
circle. The possibility of scoring from
this position was considered an important
finding.

Thirty Conference games were played. There is complete data ~~charted~~. There is complete data from 18 games, incomplete data from 6 games and no data was received from 6 games.

Three schools were especially diligent in sending statistics following each game while the other three schools ~~failed to send~~ sent partial data but not complete data on some of their games.

Some rather interesting findings were revealed in that for ^{Big Six} Conference play the average time for each individual movement across the division line was 4.37 seconds.

The number of held balls during an entire game in our Conference was ascertained and lastly the

possibility of scoring field goals after
the toss-up, when the ~~ball~~ held ball
was brought to the circle, was also determined.

BIG SIX CONFERENCE RESEARCH

1. ~~A study was made on the~~ length of time consumed in bringing the ball across the division line after a goal or free throw had been made. Complete information from 18 games shows that:

- (a) the act was performed 586 times for an average of 31.4 times per game;
- (b) a total time of 2527.1 seconds was used for an average of 140.4 seconds per game; *or 2 min and 34 sec.*
- (c) the average time for each individual movement across the line was 4.37 seconds.

2. ~~A study of the number and location of held balls during games was made.~~ Complete information from 24 games shows that:

- (a) held balls occurred 220 times in one end circle for an average of 9.1 per game;
- (b) held balls occurred in the opposite end circle 163 times for an average of 6.8 per game;
- (c) held balls occurred 52 times in the center circle for an average of 2.2 per game;
- (d) held balls occurred for a total of 435 times for an average of 18.1 times during each game.

3. ~~A study was made to see how many~~ ^{number of} goals ~~were~~ made by the team securing possession of a jumped ball before control of it was lost to the opposition. Complete information from 24 games shows that:

- (a) goals were made 32 times for an average of 1.33 per game.

30 Conf. games.

Complete data for 18 games

Incomplete data for 6 games

No data for 6 games

Ill. State + Kans. St. were especially diligent in sending statistics following each game.

Okla. failed to send data on 4 games.

Mo. " " " " " " 2 "

Nebr. sent partial data on 4 "

Game	Time Consumed in Bringing Ball across line			Number of Held Balls				No. Goals after jump Ball
	Total time	Ave. Time	No. of Trials	End Circle	Center	End Circle	Total	
1/7 - Ks. - Okla., Lawr.	104.9 ✓	3.08 6.13	34	3	4	5	12	1
1/8 - Ia. St. - Ks. St., Ames				5	2	4	11	0
1/11 - Ks. - Ks. St., Man.	160	5.7	28	18	3	5	26	0
1/14 - Mo. - Neb., Line		1.64 [?]		16	2	0	18	2
1/15 - Ia. St. - Ks., Lawr. ✓	49.4	3.52 6.65	14	8	4	3	15	1
Ks. St. - Mo., Man.	197	6.8	29	11	4	17	32	0
1/17 - Ia. St. - Okla., Norman	157	3.41	43	3	2	8	13	0
1/19 - Ks. - Mo., Col.								
1/21 - Ia. St. - Mo., Col.								
1/22 - Ks. St. - Neb., Line		1.28 [?]		11	0	11	22	4
1/29 - Ia. St. - Neb., Ames	135	5.4	25	4	1	8	13	0
1/31 - Ks. St. - Okla., Nor								
2/2 - Ks. - Neb., Lawr.	61 ✓	2.11 4.45	28	9	1	2	12	0
2/5 - Ia. St. - Mo., Ames	238	5.8	47	5	1	10	16	0

Game

Time Consumed in
Bringing Ball Across
Div. Line after Goal

No. of Held
Balls

Jan. 11
K-R St
at
Manhattan

min. time	max. time	no. of trials	Total Ave. Time
2.5"	12"	28	160" 5.7"

End	Center	End to
18	3	5 26

Game

Time Consumed in
Bringing Ball Across Line

Number of Held Balls

No. Goals
after Jump
Ball

Game	Time Consumed in Bringing Ball Across Line			Number of Held Balls			Total	No. Goals after Jump Ball
	Total Time	Ave. Time	No. of Trials	End Circle	Center	End Circle		
2/5 - Neb. - Okla., Norman	no data							
2/7 - Mo. - K. St., Lawr.	59.5	1.8	33	8	4	3	15	0
2/9 - Neb. - Okla., Linc.		2.06 ²		28	1	0	29	4
2/10 - K. St. - Okla., Man.	145.7	4.4	33	11	1	6	18	1
2/12 - Ia. St. - K. St., Man.		5.2		9	1	10	20	0
2/12 - Mo. - Neb., Linc.	155.1	3.78	41	5	4	10	19	3
2/14 - Ia. St. - Neb., Linc.		1.68 ²		11	3	0	14	2
2/18 - Kans. - Okla., Nov.	no data							
2/18 - K. St. - Mo., Col.	222.2	4.35	51	6	3	5	14	5
2/21 - Ia. St. - Kans., Ames.	148	4.5	33	10	0	10	20	0
K. St. - Neb., Man.	219.5	6.65	33	13	4	7	24	0
Mo. - Okla., Nov.	no data							
2/26 - Ia. St. - Okla., Ames.	123	5.7	21	6	2	14	22	1
Kans. - Neb., Linc.	146	4.29	34	1	1	10	12	3

Game	Time Consumed in Bringing Ball Across Line			Number of Held Balls				No. Goals after jump ball
	Total Time	Ave. Time	No. of Trials	End Circle	Center	End Circle	Total	
2/28-Mo.-Okla. Col.	162.8	3.7	53	13	4	9	26	4
3/3-Kan-Mo., Laur.	43	3.58	12	6	0	6	12	1

Totals 2527.1 78.62 586 220 57 163 435 32

Average 18 games 140.4 4.37 31.4 9.1 2.2 6.8 18.1 1.33

Ave-24 games

Better Basketball

Harold Keith's notes:

p. 15, lines 3+4

18, " 9-12

21, " #5 _____ "2/5 the time"

25 - most of page

26, lines 3, 6+7 in 2nd part

27, last ¶ + top p. 28 "right!"

32, line 4; 4th ¶ "Hey, Guig, can I take a running jump? Might be able to make it in one."

p. 33, ¶ 4, (1930) "Oklahoma won no Big 6 games in 1930?"
"probably OU 27 1929
KU 25 1929"

p. 36, ¶ 3

44, 1st ¶

50, 2nd ¶

51, 2nd ¶

52, " " "Hard to hit though, double ring; connected with steel braces, more rigid."

56 - all of 1st part.

59 - ¶ 3

69 - ¶ 1, lines 3, 4, 5

71-75 underlined profusely

84-85 " "

p. 89 - ④ 2 + 5

p. 170 - Ex. 70 "nice action shot"

p. 182 - Ex. 82 "sweet action picture"

p. 235 - 2nd ④ ; line 7 - tall aggressive center :
"could be a fast guard"

p. 269 - Diag. 62 - "note fakes"

February 8, 1938.

Mr. Valentine Lentz,
Athletic Director,
St. John's College,
Annapolis, Md.

Dear Val:

We play our last game with the University of Missouri on March 3rd. After that it will take me some time to compile this data that I have and to get it in shape for a report. I am afraid I will have difficulty in getting it to you much before the convention, but I assure you that I will be happy to send it to you as soon as the work is completed.

There has been so much talk on the 12-foot basket in previous years that I have decided not to do more until someone else does something. I am not particularly interested in a 12-foot basket except to solve some of the problems that many of the coaches kick about but do so little work on. Somehow some of the people thought that a 12-foot basket was the only baby that I had in the nursery.

The work also on the 6-foot radius circle on all jump balls will not be ready until after the last game.

No, I have not done any dope on fatigue. I felt I would let some of the other boys work on that. I have always contended that there was a way to handle this rotation of the center jump just like we have a batting order in baseball, rather than to eliminate the jump. Running, jumping, leaping, vaulting, and climbing are the fundamental activities of man. So long as you have held balls and jump balls in basketball I see no reason why we should eliminate the jump.

If you take time out after each field goal or free throw and rotate the jump, then you would have all the good features of basketball and none of the bad. You would also give the spectators a mental breathing spell from one highlight play until the next.

All the coaches cry about the big "goon" under the basket, yet nobody does any work on the 12-foot basket, and none of them want to change because they are not progressive enough, or else not energetic enough to try out something that would correct it. What we need in our coaching organization is a few coaches who will actually do some research work and not just talk about it.

With every good wish, I am

Sincerely yours,

Director of Physical Education,
Varsity Basketball Coach.

UNIVERSITY OF KANSAS
LAWRENCE

DIVISION OF PHYSICAL EDUCATION AND
INTERCOLLEGIATE ATHLETICS

February 11
1 9 3 7

Mr. Sumner A. Dole
Connecticut State College
Storrs, Conn.

Dear Mr. Dole:

As Chairman of the Research Committee of the National Basket Ball Rules Committee, I am endeavoring to do a bit of research work on exceptionally tall men in the various National Collegiate Districts of the U.S.

Would you kindly list for me the names of all men in your district whether members of your conference or not who are taller than 6 ft. 3 in.? I especially want those over 6 ft. 5 in. and better. Will you please give me the school that they are affiliated with and their year in college as far as competition is concerned?

I would appreciate your writing me at once giving the names of the outstanding tall men that you know of and then write the other schools that you have heard of having tall men for definite confirmation.

I will appreciate your early cooperation.

With every good wish, I am

Sincerely yours,

Forrest C. Allen

Representative 5th Dist.
Nat'l Collegiate Ath. Ass'n.

FCA:IW

C. Nelson	- center -	6'3 1/2"	Trinity
Homer Boyer	"	6'3"	Assumption
Rogean	"	6'3"	N.H.U
John Pringle	"	6'4"	Conn State
Howard Matykin	sub "	6'3"	"
C. E. Keisinger	center	6'3"	e.g. a.

World you kindly list for me the names of all men in your district whether members of your conference or not who are taller than 6 ft. 3 in. I especially want those over 6 ft. 5 in. and better. Will you please give me the school that they are affiliated with and their year in college as far as competition is concerned?

I would appreciate your writing me at once giving the names of the outstanding tall men that you know of and then write the other schools that you have heard of having tall men for definite confirmation.

I will appreciate your early cooperation.

With every good wish, I am

Sincerely yours,

James D. Coffey

Representative 5th Dist.
Nat'l Collegiate Ath. Ass'n.

FOA:W

CHAIRMAN, L. W. ST. JOHN
Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio

VICE-CHAIRMAN, H. H. SALMON, JR.
40 Wall St., New York, N. Y.

SECRETARY, H. V. PORTER
11 So. LaSalle St., Chicago, Illinois

TREASURER, FLOYD A. ROWE
Board of Education, Cleveland, Ohio

NATIONAL BASKETBALL COMMITTEE

of the UNITED STATES AND CANADA

1937 ANNUAL QUESTIONNAIRE

This questionnaire is sent annually to representative basketball men of the country in order that their views may be presented at the annual meeting of the National Committee. The Committee will be grateful if you will check these answers and RETURN THE QUESTIONNAIRE PROMPTLY to

The Committee Member or State Athletic Officer whose name appears above will send all replies received up to March 6th to H. V. Porter, 11 South LaSalle Street, Chicago, Illinois.

1. Concerning rule changes which were made last spring:
 - a. Is the new rule on communication satisfactory? Yes **1533** No **5**
 - b. Do you favor the restraining circle around center circle? Yes **1480** No **55**
 - c. Do you favor the rule which allows four charged time out periods? Yes **1485** No **57**
 - d. Do you favor the present rule which allows a defensive player to strike the ball and hand of an opponent while the hand is on the ball? Yes **866** No **649**
2. Concerning center jumps:
 - a. Do you favor eliminating the center jump following a field goal? Yes **891** No **658**
 - b. If (a) should be adopted, ball should be thrown in (check one)
 - (1) Immediately from end of court **603**
 - (2) From end after being handled by official **631**
 - (3) From end of division line after being handled by official **113**
3. Should the following be legalized for those schools that choose to use the following modifications:
 - a. Backboards which would be placed four feet inside the end lines. Yes **554** No **943**
 - b. Baskets which deviate from the customary single net and ring type (such as the double ring recording device which causes a light to flash). Yes **415** No **961**
 - c. A smaller backboard designed so that more spectators at the end of the court will be able to see the ball go through the goal. Yes **204** No **1351**
4. Concerning the three second lane rule (check one)
 - a. Present rule is satisfactory **1024**
 - b. Remove all three second lane restriction **345**
 - c. Make restriction apply only to lane and half the circle **549**
5. a. Would you favor having all jumps following held ball at the nearest one of the three restraining circles? Yes **667** No **852**
 - b. If (a) should not be adopted and the center jump should be eliminated would you favor retaining the center restraining circle? Yes **883** No **522**
6. In your territory is the rule relative to "guarding from the rear" being properly enforced? Yes **969** No **495**
7. Would you favor making the free throw lanes wider? Yes **79** No **1449**
8. Would you favor changing the rule so that touching the ring or net is a violation even when the ball is not on or in the basket? Yes **316** No **1196**
9. Would you favor having the proper bouncing reaction of a ball specified and the requiring of factory tests which would determine the legal pressure which would secure that reaction and which would be stamped on the ball? Yes **1133** No **280**
10. If any particular section of the rules needs improvement give rule and section number

Comment on reverse side.

QUESTIONNAIRE COMMITTEE
W. E. MEANWELL
SAMUEL ROGERS
J. W. ST. CLAIR
OSWALD TOWER, EDITOR
H. V. PORTER, CHAIRMAN

This questionnaire checked by

Location

Report

1937 ANNUAL BASKETBALL QUESTIONNAIRE

1. Concerning rule changes which were made last spring:

a. Is the new rule on communication satisfactory?

	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>
New England States & New York (Maine, Connecticut)	52	
Atlantic Coast (New Jersey, Pennsylvania, West Virginia)	288	1
Central States (Ohio, Michigan, Indiana, Illinois, Missouri, Kansas)	673	3
Northwest States (Wisconsin, North Dakota, South Dakota)	103	
Southern States (Tennessee, Alabama, Arkansas, Louisiana, Oklahoma, Texas)	161	
Western States (Montana, Wyoming, New Mexico, Idaho, Utah, Arizona, Nevada, Washington, California, Oregon)	210	1
Canada	<u>46</u>	
Total:	1533	5

b. Do you favor the restraining circle around center circle?

	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>
New England States & New York	48	3
Atlantic Coast	288	1
Central States	647	22
Northwest States	100	2
Southern States	167	1
Western States	185	25
Canada	<u>45</u>	<u>1</u>
Total:	1480	55

c. Do you favor the rule which allows four charged time out periods?

	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>
New England States & New York	49	1
Atlantic Coast	281	5
Central States	662	12
Northwest States	101	3
Southern States	155	13
Western States	209	3
Canada	<u>28</u>	<u>20</u>
Total:	1485	57

d. Do you favor the present rule which allows a defensive player to strike the ball and hand of an opponent while the hand is on the ball?

	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>
New England states & New York	28	23
Atlantic Coast	130	155
Central States	404	269
Northwest States	49	52
Southern States	104	52
Western States	131	72
Canada	20	27
Total:	866	649

2. Concerning center jumps:

a. Do you favor eliminating the center jump following a field goal:

	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>
New England States & New York	26	27
Atlantic Coast	157	138
Central States	402	274
Northwest States	52	52
Southern States	79	87
Western States	150	58
Canada	25	22
Total:	891	658

b. If (a) should be adopted, ball should be thrown in

(1) Immediately from end of court

New England States & New York	15
Atlantic Coast	117
Central States	252
Northwest States	38
Southern States	51
Western States	112
Canada	17
Total:	602

(2) From end after being handled by official

New England States & New York	26
Atlantic Coast	112
Central States	288
Northwest States	43
Southern States	76
Western States	112
Canada	17
Total:	631

(3) From end of division line after being handled by official

New England States & New York	4
Atlantic Coast	21
Central States	50
Northwest States	8
Southern States	11
Western States	13
Canada	5

Total: 112

3. Should the following be legalized for those schools that choose to use the following modifications:

a. Backboards which would be placed four feet inside the end lines.

	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>
New England States & New York	13	35
Atlantic Coast	104	174
Central States	209	428
Northwest States	30	63
Southern States	107	96
Western States	83	120
Canada	8	27

Total: 554 943

b. Baskets which deviate from the customary single net and ring type (such as the double ring recording device which causes a light to flash.

	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>
New England States & New York	12	33
Atlantic Coast	80	177
Central States	167	436
Northwest States	35	60
Southern States	52	99
Western States	53	140
Canada	16	16

Total: 415 961

c. A smaller backboard designed so that more spectators at the end of the court will be able to see the ball go through the goal.

	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>
New England States & New York	6	43
Atlantic Coast	28	251
Central states	103	637
Northwest states	11	86
Southern States	26	128
western States	17	179
Canada	13	27

Total: 204 1351

4. Concerning the three second lane rule

a. Present rule is satisfactory

New England States & New York	18
Atlantic Coast	121
Central States	671
Northwest States	43
Southern States	70
Western States	82
Canada	<u>19</u>

Total: 1024

b. Remove all three second lane restriction

New England States & New York	18
Atlantic Coast	63
Central States	136
Northwest States	15
Southern States	47
Western States	52
Canada	<u>14</u>

Total: 345

c. Make restriction apply only to lane and half the circle

New England States & New York	14
Atlantic Coast	100
Central States	260
Northwest States	44
Southern States	46
Western States	71
Canada	<u>14</u>

Total: 549

5. a. Would you favor having all jumps following held ball at the nearest one of the three restraining circles?

	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>
New England States & New York	21	32
Atlantic Coast	124	170
Central States	279	378
Northwest States	45	54
Southern States	91	81
Western States	91	116
Canada	<u>16</u>	<u>21</u>

Total: 667 852

b. If (a) should not be adopted and the center jump should be eliminated would you favor retaining the center restraining circle?

	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>
New England States & New York	29	17
Atlantic Coast	177	88
Central States	377	251
Northwest States	51	40
Southern States	100	47
Western States	128	67
Canada	<u>21</u>	<u>12</u>
Total:	883	522

6. In your territory is the rule relative to "guarding from the rear" being properly enforced?

	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>
New England States & New York	37	13
Atlantic Coast	186	88
Central States	421	215
Northwest States	58	39
Southern States	105	58
Western States	140	62
Canada	<u>22</u>	<u>20</u>
Total:	969	495

7. Would you favor making the free throw lanes wider?

	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>
New England States & New York	4	48
Atlantic Coast	15	283
Central States	31	629
Northwest States	5	97
Southern States	9	154
Western States	13	194
Canada	<u>2</u>	<u>44</u>
Total:	79	1449

8. Would you favor changing the rule so that touching the ring or net is a violation even when the ball is not on or in the basket?

	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>
New England States & New York	15	37
Atlantic Coast	69	214
Central States	138	527
Northwest States	17	83
Southern States	38	125
Western States	33	170
Canada	<u>6</u>	<u>40</u>
Total:	316	1196

9. Would you favor having the proper bouncing reaction of a ball specified and the requiring of factory tests which would determine the legal pressure which would secure that re action and which would be stamped on the ball?

	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>
New England States & New York	32	19
Atlantic Coast	222	55
Central States	484	112
Northwest States	81	17
Southern States	121	33
Western States	158	37
Canada	35	7
Total:	1133	280

10. If any particular section of the rules needs improvement give rule and section number:

- 7-10-Note
- 4-B
- 15-9
- 8-6 and 8
- 3-b-note
- 5-4
- 7-9

RESULTS OF QUESTIONNAIRE POLL AT CLINIC
OF METROPOLITAN BASKETBALL WRITERS ASSOCIATION

Madison Square Garden, March 10

	28 College Coaches	61 School Coaches	14 Officials	9 Basketball Writers	112 Total
1. A - Yes	28	61	14	8	111
No	0	0	0	0	0
B - Yes	25	58	12	8	103
No	2	3	2	1	8
C - Yes	27	60	14	8	109
No	1	1	0	0	2
D - Yes	17	38	5	7	67
No	11	22	9	1	43
2. A - Yes	16	26	6	3	51
No	12	23	8	6	49
B - 1	12	9	3	4	28
2	11	29	7	2	49
3	3	3	2	3	11
3. A - Yes	7	19	4	2	32
No	21	40	10	4	75
B - Yes	2	4	4	4	14
No	23	49	8	3	83
C - Yes	1	5	1	3	10
No	27	52	13	5	97
4. A -	4	11	2	1	18
B	4	8	2	1	15
C	19	42	10	6	77
5. A - Yes	2	8	3	4	17
No	26	50	11	5	92
B - Yes	12	22	9	5	48
No	14	22	2	3	41
6. A - Yes	8	36	8	4	56
No	17	25	6	4	52
7. Yes	7	18	0	1	26
No	21	38	14	7	80
8. Yes	11	22	7	3	43
No	17	28	5	6	56
9. Yes	20	42	12	7	81
No	6	16	2	2	26

RECOMMENDATIONS

EQUIPMENT

- Use curved line 5 feet under basket.
- Change backboard - reduce size.
- Raise basket higher.
- Prohibit complicated sets of numbers and outline numbers.
- Move free throw line back or allow 3 points for field goal.
- Lane made 12 feet.
- Use rectangle 10 feet wide for lane.

FRONT AND BACK COURT

- Make front court 45 feet.
- Allow only 1 bounce in the back court to encourage defense to advance.
- Clarify action along center line.

3 SECOND AND 10 SECOND RULE

- Remove 3 second and 10 second rule.
- Permit pivot play in circle - 3 second rule apply to lane.
- Don't allow 3 second rule against zone defense.
- Legalize presence of offensive player in his free throw lane or circle for indefinite period so long as he is not in possession of ball.
- Once in possession he would be compelled to pass, dribble out or attempt goal.

JUMP BALL - CENTER JUMP

- Rule to give official authority to call jump ball to prevent injury in piling on when one or more players dive for ball.
- Rotate center position each quarter with any 4 of 5 players.
- If center jump removed - 5 time outs.
- Include in 14-11 the violation of entering circle too soon.
- If A gets ball in jump and B is in too soon, disregard violation.

FOULS

- Remove face guarding.
- Require offender to attempt free throw at opponents' basket. Count point if missed.
- Eliminate underhand shots made to draw fouls.
- Provide action during free throw by having ball tossed to free thrower frontout of bounds at end.
- Defensive man should not be fouled when standing or jumping in upright position and shooter brings arms or ball into defensive man's arm.
- 5 fouls fouls for disqualification.

FOULS (continued)

Deliberate fouling to save certain score too common. If basket made made do not call foul. If missed, call foul and award Free Throw. Allow declination of penalty if player continues motion to score. Clarify rule on extra throw for deliberate foul.

SUBSTITUTION AND DISQUALIFICATION

After 3rd (or 4th) Personal Foul award extra throw to offended team or team may substitute.

Six Personal Fouls before disqualification.

Five personal fouls before disqualification.

TIME OUT

High school time-outs 2 minutes.
Allow time-outs for injury only.

TIMERS AND SCORERS

Timer should sound signal for substitution.

Scorer instead of timer should determine whether ball left hands before time expired.

GENERAL

Clarify rule regarding overtime.

All Free throws at half.

Remove out of bounds decision when player forced out.

Rigid enforcement for bothering free thrower.

Greater attempt at statewide uniformity.

Eliminate special interpretations by leagues.