backboards - Kansas securing 78 while the visitors were collecting 40 rebounds. The recovery of rebounds seems to be the most outstanding difference in the teams.

The ball handling of the teams shows that Kansas caught and passed 2041 times and the opponents 1092 times. This difference could be entirely due to various styles of play. However, when one considers the errors in ball handling, such as wild passes, fumbles and held balls obtained by opponents, we see that Kansas made 55 errors and the opponents made 57 errors in ball handling. While the number of errors remained about the same, it should be pointed out that the opponent's ball handling error rate (4.9%) was almost twice that of the home team (2.6%).

In considering the total negative evaluation points, both Kansas and the opposition made about the same number of mistakes (237 for Kansas and 244 for the visitors). However, Kansas earned 3327 positive evaluation points as compared to 1997 positive evaluation points earned by the opponents. When these figures are reduced to playing efficiency, we find that the home team has a playing efficiency of 93.4% as compared with 89.1%.

The data show that for each score point the visitors earned 17.2 evaluation points and Kansas earned 22.2 evaluation points. By deducting the 5 evaluation points for each score point one sees that 12.2 evaluation points were earned as compared with 17.2 for Kansas. While Kansas had the ball earning the extra evaluation points it is certain that the opposition was not scoring. However, as pointed out previously, the various styles of play may effect the total number of evaluation points, but the style should not have a great deal of effect on errors in ball handling.

In making direct comparisons between specific teams, a summary table made up from the evaluation summaries shows much the same facts as the totals between Kansas and the opposition.

TABLE IV.

Summary from Evaluation Chart:

Team	Score	Goals	% of goods	Free throws	Throws hee	Personal Fours	Errors in ball	Total passes and cartches	Sall bandling	Recovery of	Players y efficiency	
Kansas	48	17	33	14	77	13	18	623	2.8	39	91.3	
School A	33	12	16	9	56	15	18	441	3.9	41	91.4	
Kansas	35	16	35	3	37	5	27	738	3.5	43	91.9	
School B												
Kansas	56	23	31	10	63	9	10	680	1.4	66	96.6	
School C							13		3.8		89.1	