

carried away four Rings in his pocket tho' but one is mentioned in the Inventory & that too is called a Crystal tho' it was indeed an Amethyst To us who were Spectators this appeared to have a very great Weight but it seems it appeared in a very different Light to the Gentleman who Try'd him since it escaped both his Memory and his Notes.

Having twice mentioned that Gentleman I can't help doing him Justice on this Occasion by observing that (however in other respects his Enemies may asperse his Character) there is one part of the Judge in which I believe no Man ever came up to him I mean in being Council for the Prisoner

I am afraid I have been already too Prolix & will therefore lengthen this Letter no further than to assure you of my being with the utmost Deference & Respect

Decem^r. 20. 1729.
To Edward Hughes Esq

Your Obedient & Devoted
Humble Servant
John Campbell

Dear Frank,

I find that Mr. Bambridge however unlikely he is in succeeding will undoubtedly move the Court of Kings Bench for Judgment & Damages upon the Writ of Appeal and hopes great Advantages from the favour of the Gentleman who Try'd him on the first Indictment for the Murder I do not find from Coenor, that he has any Affidavits to support this Motion or at least if he has any he has not trusted him with them
however.