spoke to the Jury.

And the Argument for mercy of Workes was this.

If ill workes deserue punishment, good Workes deserue rewarde.

The Argument for free-will was this.

If a man bee predestinated to do an euill Acte, why should hee bee punished for it. Why should a man bee damned for committing any offence if it bee not in his power to shunne it.

After this hee was outlawed by the Lord Chiefe Justice, who told him his Lo. Ends spoken must but it was all in vaine.

The Lord Chiefe Justice bid the Jury go together (the foreman being Sr Thomas Fowler Knight and Baronet of Islington) who after a little stayes, returned, and demanded, yo^u^ their Verdict, guilty of those 2 wicked wordes.

After the Lo: Chiefe Justice spake to the Lord Father Gerard as followeth.

Sr Isaak

Sr Isaak yo^u^ Lo. haue been arraigned of high treason, to wch yo^u^ pleaded not guilty, yo^u^ Lo. haue been tryed by the oathes of 24. men 17. Grand-Jury men 12. petty Jury according to diuision and Lo. haue been by them founde guilty.

Then the cheife substance of his speech was this.

That wee were the happiest people in the World, for while all the Christian Worlde were clashing together in Armes wee liued in peace and plenty And that those and all other blessings of this kingdome, wee owe, vnder God, to the iust and wise Gouernment of our most gratious king; Who is at this day yo^e^ most religious, prous, and gratious Prince in the Christian World.

That hee was the Kings Subiect and that hee was bounde to beare Allegeance to the kings goodnes thereof and to render thanks to God for his Ma^tie^ blessed Gouernment ouer vs.

That hee was a most wretched

Williams

University of Kansas
Kenneth Spencer Research Library
MS D153