reason by him the said Mr Roys alledged in Court it was then by him humbly desired that the said goods so seized and detayned as aforesaid might be redelivered to him the said Richard Chambers. It was thereupon yesterday ordered by this Court by and wth the consent of the said Mr Attorney generall that the said Mr Attorney should the same day put in his Answere to the said Bill, and then upon the motion of the said Mr Roys made againe this day the Court would give further order in the said Cause as should bee fittinge. Nowe upon the motion of the said Mr Roys informinge this Court that the Answere of the said Mr Attorney bringe nowe this morninge come in, and the said Mr Attorney for most reasons in the said Bill contayned referringe himselfe to the Answere of the aforesaid officers of his Maties Customes house and further that he conceaveth that the aforesaid goods for wch the aforesaid duties bee required might instead

bee seized and kept in his Maties hands not as goods forfeited for not payment of those duties, but as pignorated into his Maties hands untill the plaintiffs had satisfied those duties (as by the said Answere may appeare) and therefore the said Mr Roys humbly desired that the said goods might bee speedilie delivered forth to the said plaintiffs. The Court consideringe that the same was a matter of greate waight and consequence did thinke fit to give any present resolution in the said Cause contrynge the duties themselves or contrynge the possession of the said goods but doe thinke fit, And it is this present day so ordered by this Court that the said matter shalbee moved againe upon the first Thursday of the next Term before the Lords Treasurer Chancellor and Barons there and then upon hearinge the said Answere of his Maties Attorney read and the answere of the other defendts and upon hearinge of Counsell