a Chattel Interest, exclusive of her Husband; but if the Husband dies, the Wife shall have them, because they were a Chose in action, & they shall not go to the Exors of the Husband; but if the Husband & Wife are divorced a Mensa & Thoro, & the Wife has Alimony allowed her, & she sues for Defamation, or other Injury, & recovers Costs, & the Husband releases them, yet the Wife shall recover them, because they come instead of that, which she has expended out of her Alimony, which was as separate Maintenance, & not in the power of her Husband, & this is the reason of [illegible] Case, But if the Wife has a Legacy left her, the Husband may release it — In the principal Case, a Prohibition was granted. . . .

Vid. Co. Lar. Gwins Case.

2 Rolls Ab. 301. pl. 2.

Prerogative
E.T. 3 Sess

Cumberlege. No 157 Cowper v Turner H. Stevens
Dr Wynne Dr Bever

Dr Bever. . . .

Wm Cowper of St Mary Newington Surry, in the Deanry of Croydon is the Deed — He made his Will dated the 22d of Sept.r 1766, thereof appointed Rebecca Wife of Tho.s Turner, his daur, sole Executrix — The Testator died the 26th of Sept.r 1766, having Goods &c in different Dioceses or Peculiars to the value of 5£ & upwards, sufficient to found the Jurisdiction of the Prerogative Court — On the 26 of Sept.r 1766. Rebecca Turner proved the Will, in common form, in this Court — The same Day Catherine Cowper Widow & Relict of the Deed proved a Will of a prior Date (viz. 8 Sept.r 1766) as Executrix therein named, in the Peculiar of Croydon —
A Citation was afterwards taken out & returnd agt Rebecca Turner Ex.x in the Will 22 Sept.r 1766, to bring in the Probate, & shew Cause why it should not be revoked, for want of Jurisdiction, at the promotion of Cath: Cowper
aforesd
25. M.T. 1766 Cumberlege appeared for Rebecca Turner
4 S. . . . . He brought in the Probate — H Stevens, for
propounded the Jurisdiction & asserted he gave an Allegation
This Cause comes on now, upon our Allon & the Answers of Mrs Cowper —

Art: Pleads the Jurisdiction, & that Cath: Cowper under the Will proved by her, had taken possession of the Deeds Effects; particularly his Books of Accounts, by which it would appear, the Deed had at his death, Goods, &c in divers Dioceses, or Peculiar Jurisdictions, amount.g to 5£ sufficient to found the Jurisdiction of this Court. . .

Answer Mrs Cowper admits, the Testator kept a Pawn-broker's Shop at St Mary Newington, but denies he had Goods &c to found the Jurisdiction — She admits having the Custody of 3 Books of Accounts of the Deed, which she intends to bring into the Registry; that it did not appear thereby, he had Left 5£ &c in diff.t Dioceses &c

2 Art: That Nehemiah Ward of the parish of St George Southwark was indebted to the Deed in 5£ or a larger Sum for Goods sold & delivered. . . .

Q. are Pawns Bona Notabilia?
Q. Can the Ch: take Cognizance of a Set Off? . . .

Mrs Cowper believes Ward was indebted £5.A.6½ to the Deed, who was indebted to Ward £2.0.1. & that there was a Balance of £3.A.5½ due from Ward to the Deed, nomore. .

That Samuel Stacey of St Geo. Southwark was indebted to the Deed £.0.12.6. for a Quarters Rent due at Mich.s preceding his death. .

The Deed died the 26th of Sept.r & the Rent was not due till the 29th, therefore it was no debt to the Deed. & did any Proportion belong to Deed?

Tho.s Mason of Stockwell, was indebted to the Deed £.0.6.0. & had a Watch of the Deed, in his Custody worth at least £3.3.0.

Answer Admits both these Items; Believes the Watch worth about £2. that Deed was indebted to Mason £1.10.0. which made the Balance £0.16.0

That Mrs Brinkly of Streatham owed Deed £2.15.6 & Mrs Biggs of Streatham owed Deed £.3.10.0

Answer Admits the 2 Items, but says Goods were pawnd with the Deed for the same, at Newington, which she apprehends confines the Locality of the Debt —
Now we apprehend, the Residence of the Debtors, & not the Locality of the Pawns, must determine the Question of Bona Notabilia

The proper Reply to these Answers is, that as it appears upon the face of them, that above 5£ was owing to the Deed from Persons who live out of the Peculiar, that is sufficient to found the Jurisdiction of this Court, as to the Balance. the Court cant enter into that Question, it being matter of Account; I wont lay any Stress on the Rent, because it dont appear of what Nature the Estate is — The Locality of the Debt, is determined by the Residence of the Debtors, except in Cases of Specialty —
Deposits are a kind of manual Mortgage of Personals; Pawns are of a superior Value to the Money lent, therefore to be a matter of Account, which disables this Court from the Cognisance thereof

v. Geo. 2. for better pay: of Rents. . . .

Dr Wynne — Taking the whole of Wards Debt to be due, it is no more than £3.A.5½ for the other Debt averred by us, must be a Set Off. So that this cant of itself make Bona Notabilia
The 3d Art: states Staceys being indebted ½ a Years Rent; our Objection is founded on the very Act of Parl.t cited by Dr Bever; for we say, the Party dying before the Rent became due, it goes to the next Person entitled to the Premises, & not to the Exor — Jsh: doubt whether the Watch, under the Circumstances stated, could be considered as a Thing of that Nature, as to be Bona Notabilia; If this no Debt, but was accidentally left with the Party, to prevent the Owner being robbed of it; It resembles the Case of Goods a Man has with him, who dies on a Journey —
as to the 7, 8 Arts it is clear the Money taken up, on the Pawns, could not be Debts at the Deeds death, because the

v. Salk: 578. . . .
NB. prior to 4 Act of Parl.t